DASA 1854

AIR-BLAST STUDIES WITH EIGHT SPECIES OF MAMMALS

**Donald R. Richmond, Edward G. Damon, I. Gerald Bowen,
E. Royce Fletcher, and Clayton S. White**

**Technical Progress Report
on
Contract No. DA-49-146-XZ-372**

**This work, an aspect of investigations dealing with
the Biological Effects of Blast from Bombs, was
supported by the Defense Atomic Support Agency of
the Department of Defense.**

**(Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any
purpose of the United States Government.)**

**Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research
Albuquerque, New Mexico**

August 1966

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

FOREWORD

This report, in the field of Blast and Shock Biology, presents (1) the pressure-duration relationship and lethality for dogs and goats, (2) threshold lung injury study for dogs, and (3) lethality curves for six small mammalian species compiled with "long"-duration blast waves.

Although the results do not provide final answers in some areas, they are of immediate importance in the medical field and to weapons-effects analysts. Specifically, the response data apply only to exposure against a reflecting surface; however, with appropriate adjustments, they may apply to other conditions of exposure.

Continuing work in this field will facilitate the formulation of better estimates of man's tolerance to air blast, treatment of blast injuries, and development of protective principles.

ABSTRACT

1

A series of three experiments were carried out. (1) A total of 204 dogs and 115 goats were exposed to shock-tube and high-explosive-produced reflected shock waves ranging in duration from 400 to 1.5 msec. LD₅₀ values, calculated by probit analysis, showed similar patterns for both species, increasing at the shorter durations by a factor of 4 or 5 above those for long durations. (2) Sixty dogs were exposed in a shock tube to "sharp"-rising overpressures of near 400-msec duration at six-dose levels ranging from 9.2 to 35.8 psi. The degree of lung injury was graded and threshold for petechial hemorrhage determined. (3) Dose-response curves were compiled using data for 200 mice, 110 hamsters, 150 rats, 120 guinea pigs, 48 cats, and 40 rabbits exposed to "long"-duration reflected pressures in a shock tube. The tolerances (LD₅₀) for all six species are compared. Pathological observations for all species and lung-weight data for cats and hamsters are included.

Criteria for relating biological response to the various parameters of the blast wave are discussed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The valuable technical assistance of Mr. Charles S. Gaylord, Mr. Peter A. Betz, Mr. Donald E. Pratt, Mr. William Hicks, Mr. Dennis D. Branch, Mr. Kabby Mitchell, Jr., Mr. Keith G. Saunders, Mr. Raymond T. Sanchez, and Mr. Richard Q. Lee during the course of this work is acknowledged.

The authors are indebted to Dr. Thomas L. Chiffelle for guidance in the area of pathology, for help in describing both gross and microscopic findings, and for assessing the significance of blast-induced lesions, particularly in the case of the lung.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Foreword	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
Introduction	1
Methods	1
General	1
Shock Tubes	3
High-Explosive Charges	3
Pressure-Time Instrumentation	3
Experiment I — Response for Dogs and Goats	6
Experiment II — Threshold Lung Injury in Dogs	6
Experiment III — Response of Small Animals	6
Results	7
Response for Dogs and Goats — Experiment I	7
Lethality	7
Pathological Findings	7
Animals Sacrificed After 24 Hours	16
Threshold Lung Injury in Dogs — Experiment II	16
Respiratory and Heart Rates	21
Eardrum Rupture	21
Response of Small Animals — Experiment III	21
Dose-Response Curves	21
Gross Pathological Findings	30
Discussion	30
Response for Dogs and Goats	30
Threshold Lung Injury in Dogs	36
Response of Small Animals	36
Interspecies Comparison	36

LIST OF TABLES

1.	List of Experiments and Animals Used	2
2.	Dog Mortality as Related to Pressure-Time	8
3.	Goat Mortality as Related to Pressure-Time	9
4.	The LD ₅₀ -24-Hour Reflected Pressures at Various Durations for the Dog	10
5.	The LD ₅₀ -24-Hour Reflected Pressures at Various Durations for the Goat	11
6.	Incidence and Degree of Lung Hemorrhage and Eardrum Rupture in Dogs	17
7.	Results of Probit Analysis on Small-Animal, 24-Hour Lethality.	31

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Pressure-Time Recorded in Various Shock-Tube Arrangements	4
----	--	---

2.	Pressure-Time Recorded in Various Shock-Tube Arrangements	5
3.	Pressure-Duration Relationship and 50-Per Cent Lethality for Dogs and Goats	12
4.	Lung Weights for Dogs Exposed to "Sharp"-Rising Pressures of Various Durations	13
5.	Lung Weights for Goats Exposed to "Sharp"-Rising Pressures of Various Durations	14
6.	Incidence of Coronary Air Embolism in Dogs and Goats Exposed to "Sharp"-Rising Pressures of Various Durations Produced by Different Pressure Sources	15
7.	Lungs of Air-Blasted Dogs Showing No Hemorrhage (upper) and Petechial Hemorrhage (lower).	18
8.	Lungs of Air-Blasted Dogs Showing Isolated Hemorrhage (upper) and Confluent Hemorrhage (lower).	19
9.	Relation Between Lung Injury in Dogs and Air-Blast Dose	20
10.	Heart and Respiratory Rates of Dogs with No and Petechial Lung Hemorrhage Compared with Ranges for One Week Pre-Exposure	22
11.	Heart and Respiratory Rates of Air-Blasted Dogs with Isolated and Confluent Lung Hemorrhage Compared with Ranges for One Week Pre-Exposure	23
12.	Probit Mortality Curve for Mice Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 329-346-Msec Duration	24
13.	Probit Mortality Curve for Hamsters Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 345-372-Msec Duration	25
14.	Probit Mortality Curve for Rats Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 332-345-Msec Duration.	26
15.	Probit Mortality Curve for Guinea Pigs Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 337-350-Msec Duration	27
16.	Probit Mortality Curve for Cats Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 358-380-Msec Duration.	28
17.	Probit Mortality Curve for Rabbits Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 339-364-Msec Duration	29
18.	Lung Weight for Cats	32
19.	Lung Weight for Hamsters	32
20.	Partial Impulse of Reflected Blast Waves Resulting in 50-Per Cent Mortality	33
21.	LD ₅₀ Conditions for Various Orientations of Guinea Pigs	35

AIR-BLAST STUDIES WITH EIGHT SPECIES OF MAMMALS

Donald R. Richmond, Edward G. Damon, I. Gerald Bowen
E. Royce Fletcher, and Clayton S. White

INTRODUCTION

Two of the major problems in the biomedical effects of blast and shock area are (1) the precise relationship between the physical components of the air-blast wave and biological response, and (2) how blast injuries, both anatomical and physiological, affect man's general behavior and work performance.

The first problem has received more attention by far. Although some usable criteria have been set forth, such as peak pressure, side-on pressure impulse, reflected pressure impulse, and pressure duration, none of these generally fit well with the results observed; not even in the apparently simple situation of exposure in the open. Furthermore, existing estimates of man's tolerance to blast are tentative. They were obtained by the extrapolation of data from only five species of mammals using surface area (body weight^{2/3}) or body weight as biological parameters.^{1, 2, 3} Before more refined estimates of man's tolerance can be made, the response data of additional species should be obtained to make available a wider range of biological parameters for analysis.

In regard to the second problem, systematic investigations on the behavioral responses to blast are lacking, except those concerned with hearing alterations produced by high noise levels.^{4, 5, 6} In order to proceed in this problem area, the threshold for the various blast injuries must be learned.

The objectives of these studies were (1) to determine the median lethal pressures for "fast"-rising overpressures as functions of duration (LD₅₀'s) for dogs and goats; (2) to relate the degree of lung injury in dogs to graded levels of sublethal blast for determining threshold for lung injury; and (3) to compile dose-response curves with "long"-duration blast waves for small animal species including mice, hamsters, rats, guinea pigs, cats, and rabbits.

METHODS

General

A list of individual experiments with the number of animals used and their body weights (mean and range) is given in Table 1. Both sexes were used in all groups.

In all tests, the animals were mounted against a reflecting surface either left-side-on against the endplate of a shock tube or prone on a concrete pad with the charge detonated directly overhead. Consequently, the peak pressure, or dose, was always taken as the pressure in the reflected shock front.

TABLE 1
LIST OF EXPERIMENTS AND ANIMALS USED

Experiment		Number of Animals*	Body Weight**
I	Response for:		
	Dogs (mongrel)	204	16.5 kg (11.4-25.4)
	Goats (mixed breed)	115	21.9 kg (16.1-29.5)

II	Threshold Lung Injury:		
	Dogs (mongrel)	60	15.7 kg (11.4-22.3)

III	Response of Small Animals:		
	Mice (Webster strain)	200	20.7 g (13-28)
	Hamsters (Mesocricetus auratus)	110	89 g (71-114)
	Rats (Sprague-Dawley)	150	200 g (134-267)
	Guinea Pigs (English short-hair)	120	424 g (352-544)
	Cats (mixed breed)	48	2.48 kg (1.3-4.1)
	Rabbits (New Zealand White)	40	3.70 kg (3.1-4.7)

* Both sexes in all groups.
** Mean and range.

Shock Tubes

Diagrams of the shock-tube configurations employed along with representative pressure-time patterns generated by each are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Each configuration consisted of a compression chamber separated by a plastic diaphragm (Du Pont Mylar®). The compression chamber was air-pressurized to a predetermined level and the diaphragm ruptured to generate a shock wave in the expansion chamber. Since all chambers were open to the outside (vented or gapped), the air inside was initially at one atmosphere absolute pressure (12.0 psia). The expansion chambers were closed distally with a steel plate (endplate) against which the animals were mounted. Incident shock waves reflecting from this endplate subjected the specimens to "sharp"-rising reflected pressures.

In the dog tests, starting with arrangement A' already described,² which produced a "sharp"-rising pressure pulse of 300-400-msec duration, the tube configuration was modified by shortening the compression and expansion chambers and introducing additional openings in the expansion section to reduce the pressure duration. The duration of the pressures in arrangements A', I, and I₂ averaged 400, 79.4, and 53.8 msec, respectively (Figure 1). The flat-top portion of these respective pulses measured 30, 15-16, and 4-5 msec. Arrangements J, K, and L produced pulses of 33.6-, 20.9-, and 14.8-msec durations with flat-top durations of 1.3-2.5, 1.0-1.1, and 0.8-0.9 msec, respectively (Figure 2).

Goats were exposed in tube configurations A', I₂, K, and L with additional modifications to I₂, K, and L that produced pressure durations of 62.0, 38.8, and 17.0 msec, respectively.

High-Explosive Charges

High-explosive charges were detonated singly over the center of a 30 x 30-ft, 6-in. reinforced concrete pad previously described.⁷

Charges consisted of bare 64- and 8-lb cast TNT spheres (13 and 6-1/2 in., respectively, in diameter) with spherical 2-oz boosters of Type 9404 PBX at their geometrical centers. Explosives were fired by electric blasting caps (Military J2, Hercules Herco-Tube®) set at the exact center of the charges through a detonator well of 5/16-in. diameter.

Eight 64-lb charges were detonated at 19- to 20-ft burst heights and seventeen 8-lb charges at burst heights of 7 to 9 ft.

Pressure-Time Instrumentation

Details of gauges, gauge mountings, electronic components, and calibration procedures have already been reported.⁷ Piezoelectric gauges were used throughout these experiments for pressure-time measurements. In the shock tubes, gauges with quartz crystals (Kistler Model 401) and sensors of lead metaniobate (Susquehanna Model ST-2) were mounted in the walls of the tubes. On each test, at least one gauge was mounted side-on 3 in. upstream of the endplate and one face-on in the endplate. Pencil gauges (Atlantic Research Model LC-33) having sensors of lead zirconate were used to measure pressures from the high explosives. The sensing elements of these gauges were always 0.75 in. above the surface of the concrete pad.

Figure 1. Pressure-Time Recorded in Various Shock-Tube Arrangements.

Figure 2. Pressure-Time Recorded in Various Shock-Tube Arrangements.

Experiment I — Response for Dogs and Goats

Using the shock-tube configurations just described, dogs were exposed to air blasts ranging in duration from 400 to 15 msec. Animals were tested, one at a time, mounted in harnesses side-on against the endplate with their right sides facing the incident shock wave.

For high-explosive tests, the animals were exposed to reflected blast waves of 4.6- to 1.5-msec durations that were generated from charges detonated overhead. In these tests, specimens were harnessed and mounted prone on the concrete pad. They were always in the region of regular reflection. The weight-of-charge and height-of-burst determined the number of specimens exposed per shot. Five to six animals were exposed with the 64-lb charges and only two or three on the 8-lb firings.

Except for seven dogs that died unobserved during the night, those killed by the blast were autopsied within 10-15 minutes after death. Of the survivors, six tested in shock-tube J were serially sacrificed, one each on days 3, 7, 14, 21, 31, and 60. Most of the remaining survivors were sacrificed at 24 hours by intravenous injections of sodium pentobarbital, exsanguinated, and then autopsied.

The experimental procedures for goats paralleled those for the dogs, except for the few modifications in the shock tubes. In addition, 12 survivors from shock-tube K were observed for 30 days.

Experiment II — Threshold Lung Injury in Dogs

Shock-tube configuration A" was used to expose mongrel dogs to reflected overpressures of 320-348-msec duration. Groups of 10 animals were individually exposed to six dose levels ranging between 9.2 and 35.8 msec. The methods of exposure were identical to those for dogs in Experiment I.

In these tests, the animals were sacrificed and autopsied one hour post-shot. All gross lung injuries were recorded and the lungs were weighed, photographed, and portions taken for histological study.

Heart and respiratory rates were recorded pre-shot, post-shot, and at 10-minute intervals for one hour. The heart rate was measured by the pulse and electrocardiograph (Sanborn Model 62) and the respiratory rate by excursions of the chest wall.

Experiment III — Response of Small Animals

Groups of small animals comprised of mice, hamsters, rats, guinea pigs, cats, and rabbits were exposed, by types, in shock-tube arrangement A" to graded pressure levels with durations of 329-380 msec.

Animals were mounted against the endplate of the shock tube, right-side-on to the incident wave in semi-cylindrical cages constructed of wire mesh or expanded metal with the curved surface outward. These cages had maximal open areas of approximately 90 per cent so they would not offer protection against the blast wave. Cages were sized to fit the specimens being exposed to hold them side-on. Ten of the smaller animals and two cats or rabbits were exposed per test.

All animals killed by the blast were autopsied 10 or 15 minutes after death. With few exceptions, survivors were sacrificed within 24 hours

with either Nembutal or chloroform, exsanguinated, and then autopsied.

RESULTS

Response for Dogs and Goats - Experiment I

Lethality

The fraction of dogs and goats that died within 24 hours from each pressure source, in relation to the reflected pressure levels and durations, is given in Tables 2 and 3. The results of the probit analysis of these data are summarized in Tables 4 and 5 to show the LD₅₀-24-hour reflected pressures at various durations.⁸ In several instances, the LD₅₀ was calculated from one datum point.⁷ Constants for the probit regression equation adjusted to an average slope are included. The 50-per cent lethality points, as related to duration, are plotted in Figure 3. Included in the graph are iso-impulse lines for reflected pressure computed from the measured peak pressures and durations, using equations developed at BRL,⁹ and scaled to an ambient pressure of 12 psia.

The constant lethality curves plotted in Figure 3 are similar for both animals with the tolerance of the goats slightly higher than the dogs.

Of the 12 goat survivors from shock-tube K, three expired on day 2 and one on day 3. There were no additional deaths between 3 and 30 days.

Pathological Findings

The pathological findings for both specimens followed a definite pattern reviewed before; namely, pulmonary hemorrhage with bloody froth at the nose and mouth, air embolism, disruption of the tissues lining portions of the gastrointestinal tract, sinus hemorrhage, and eardrum rupture.¹⁰ There was an occasional ruptured liver or spleen, or both, at the higher dose levels. A few of the results should be emphasized.

First, neither the severity of the lung damage (judged visually or based on lung weight) nor the incidence of coronary air emboli varied importantly with pressure duration in fatalities (Figures 4, 5, and 6). That is to say, at LD₅₀ levels the nature and severity of blast lesions did not change significantly over the range of durations studied. Based on one-hour lethality in dogs, there was 81-per cent incidence of coronary air embolism from the shock-tube pressure sources and 84 per cent from high explosives. Comparable figures for goats were 71 per cent and 73 per cent.

Second, the lung weights of the survivors were less than those of the nonsurvivors (Figures 4 and 5).

Third, air emboli were not always limited to the arterial side of the circulatory system as previously supposed, but were found in the venous side as well. These air or gas bubbles were found in mesenteric, phrenic, intercostal arteries and veins as well as the heart and in the brain. Several of the animals that died beyond 1/2 to 1 hour also sustained air emboli.

TABLE 2

DOG MORTALITY AS RELATED TO PRESSURE-TIME

Pressure Source	Reflected Pressure, psi	Duration, msec	24-Hour Mortality	
			No. Dead Total	Percent
<u>Shock Tube:</u>				
A"	39.2	400	0/5	0
	44.1		1/10	10.0
	48.1		6/10	60.0
	53.0		9/10	90.0
			LD ₅₀ = 47.9 psi	
I	42.5	79	1/7	14.3
	47.3		2/6	33.3
	50.7		2/3	66.7
			LD ₅₀ = 49.5 psi	
I ₂	38.2	54	0/4	0
	43.4		1/9	11.1
	48.7		3/7	42.8
	59.4		1/1	100
			LD ₅₀ = 50.8 psi	
J	46.2	34	4/10	40.0
			LD ₅₀ = 47.9 psi	
K	38.1	21	1/8	12.5
	40.8		1/5	20.0
	48.8		2/4	50.0
	51.4		4/6	66.7
	54.5		4/5	80.0
			LD ₅₀ = 47.3 psi	
L	43.4	15	1/9	11.1
	50.0		2/9	22.2
	53.3		5/9	55.6
	59.5		5/9	55.6
			LD ₅₀ = 54.8 psi	
<u>High Explosives:</u>				
64 lb:				
20' & 21' HOB	73.0	5.0	1/11	9.1
20' HOB	81.9	4.6	2/6	33.3
20' HOB	88.8	4.6	3/6	50.0
20' HOB	95.6	3.9	4/6	66.7
		Mean	4.5	LD ₅₀ = 88.6 psi
8 lb:				
8' & 9' HOB	109.0	2.1	1/8	12.5
			LD ₅₀ = 128.7 psi	
7.5' HOB	201.8	1.7	7/9	77.8
			LD ₅₀ = 180.6 psi	
7' HOB	240.1	1.5	9/12	75.0
			LD ₅₀ = 217.7 psi	

TABLE 3
GOAT MORTALITY AS RELATED TO PRESSURE-TIME

Pressure Source	Reflected Pressure, psi	Duration, msec	24-Hour Mortality	
			No. Dead Total	Percent
<u>Shock Tube:</u>				
A''	44.9	400	2/10	20.0
	51.4		4/10	40.0
	56.9		3/5	60.0
	59.3		4/5	80.0
			LD ₅₀ = 52.6 psi	
I ₂	52.2	62	3/10	30.0
			LD ₅₀ = 55.9 psi	
K	47.6	40	1/6	16.7
	55.4		4/8	50.0
	58.6		5/7	71.4
	60.8		6/7	85.7
			LD ₅₀ = 54.3 psi	
L	54.2	17	1/5	20.0
	57.6		2/4	50.0
	62.6		5/6	83.3
	68.2		5/5	100
			LD ₅₀ = 56.9 psi	
<u>High Explosives:</u>				
64 lb-19' HOB	98.7	4.4	1/5	20.0
	106.1		3/5	60.0
	111.9		3/5	60.0
			LD ₅₀ = 106.8 psi	
8 lb-7' HOB	256.0	1.5	6/12	50.0
			LD ₅₀ = 256.0 psi	

TABLE 4
THE LD₅₀-24-HOUR REFLECTED PRESSURES
AT VARIOUS DURATIONS FOR THE DOG

Pressure Source	Number of Animals	LD50, psi	Duration, msec	Adjusted Probit Equation Constants	
				intercept, a	slope, b
<u>Shock Tube:</u>					
A"	35	47.9 (44.8-51.4)	400	-21.680	15.876*
I	16	49.5 (44.9-55.4)	79.4	-21.901	15.876
I ₂	21	50.8 (46.2-57.0)	53.8	-22.076	15.876
J**	10	47.9 (42.6-54.3)	33.6	-21.680	15.876
K	28	47.3 (43.5-51.6)	20.9	-21.590	15.876
L	36	54.8 (51.2-59.2)	14.8	-22.605	15.876
<u>High Explosives:</u>					
64 lb	29	88.6 (82.1-96.6)	4.6	-25.916	15.876
8 lb**	8	128.8 (109.6-157.2)	2.1	-28.496	15.876
	9	180.6 (154.3-206.2)	1.7	-30.827	15.876
	12	217.7 (190.4-243.5)	1.5	-32.116	15.876

* Standard error of the slope constant ± 2.585 .

** Calculated from one datum point.

TABLE 5

THE LD₅₀-24-HOUR REFLECTED PRESSURES
AT VARIOUS DURATIONS FOR THE GOAT

Pressure Source	Number of Animals	LD50, psi	Duration, msec	Adjusted Probit Equation Constants	
				intercept, a	slope, b
<u>Shock Tube:</u>					
A"	30	52.6 (49.0-56.9)	400	-25.087	17.480*
I ₂ **	10	55.9 (50.2-64.6)	62.0	-25.549	17.480
K	28	54.3 (50.0-58.2)	38.8	-25.330	17.480
L	20	56.9 (51.2-61.7)	17.0	-25.677	17.480
<u>High Explosives:</u>					
64 lb	15	106.8 (97.2-117.9)	4.4	-30.456	17.480
8 lb**	12	256.0 (230.6-284.2)	1.5	-37.095	17.480

* Standard error of the slope constant ± 3.983 .

** Calculated from one datum point.

Figure 3. Pressure-Duration Relationship and 50-Per Cent Lethality for Dogs and Goats.

Figure 4. Lung Weights for Dogs Exposed to "Sharp"-Rising Pressures of Various Durations. (See Table 2 for the Pressure Sources Used.)

Figure 5. Lung Weights for Goats Exposed to "Sharp"-Rising Pressures of Various Durations. (See Table 3 for the Pressure Sources Used.)

Figure 6 Incidence of Coronary Air Embolism in Dogs and Goats Exposed to "Sharp"-Rising Pressures of Various Durations Produced by Different Pressure Sources. (See Tables 2 and 3 for the Pulse Durations Associated with Each Source.) (One-Hour Mortality)

Fourth, eardrum-rupture data for dogs show that, at LD50 pressures, eardrum rupture ranged between 86 and 100 per cent. No duration effect could be detected.

Animals Sacrificed After 24 Hours

The results of the pathological findings for serially sacrificed dogs will be included in a future report dealing with the effects of cortisone, combiotic, and papase on lung injuries.

Eight goats that survived from shock-tube K were sacrificed at 30 days. Based on results from the other groups, these animals undoubtedly had extensive pulmonary hemorrhage and perforated eardrums; however, at autopsy, their lung weights were in the control range (average, 0.89 per cent) and appeared normal except for remnants of hemoglobin pigment — hemosiderin. All but 5 of the 16 eardrums were intact, indicating an apparent healing during this time period.*

Threshold Lung Injury in Dogs — Experiment II

The mean incident and reflected pressures for the six pressure ranges to which dogs were exposed along with the degree of lung injury are given in Table 6. The pulmonary hemorrhages were arbitrarily separated into four categories: (1) petechial hemorrhages; (2) small, isolated hemorrhages 1-2 in. in diameter; (3) confluent hemorrhages which were consolidations of the isolated areas; and (4) entire lobes when nearly all the area of a particular lobe was involved — the latter were only encountered in the lethality study. Typical lungs from these tests, ranging from no damage to confluent hemorrhage, are shown in Figures 7 and 8.

In test range I, which received reflected pressures of 8.5-10 psi, no lung damage was detected. In the second range, with reflected pressures of 11.7-16.2 psi, 6 of 10 dogs sustained petechial lung hemorrhages and 4 were negative. In range III, exposed to a mean pressure of 19.9 psi, 8 of the animals had small, isolated hemorrhagic areas of 1-2 square inches. The remaining 2 animals had little more than petechial hemorrhages except for hyperemia and ecchymotic areas. In the three remaining ranges, the degree of lung hemorrhage rose from 10 cases having isolated areas (range IV) to 8 with confluent hemorrhages in range VI. There was one fatality in the higher pressure ranges.

The portion of the lung in which petechial hemorrhage first appeared was along the posterior (parietal) surface of the diaphragmatic lobes in the form of rib markings and on the visceral (ventral) surface of the cardiac fossa. These same portions of the lungs are usually the site of more severe lung injury in animals subjected to higher pressure levels.

A comparison of the lung weight, in terms of per-cent body weight at each pressure dose, is shown in Figure 9. Included in the figure are

*Since the experiments reported above were completed, renal and cardiac infarcts have been found in cattle and sheep sacrificed 30 days after exposure to blast. They have not yet been noted in dogs, goats or other animals surviving blast, but probably will be.

TABLE 6

INCIDENCE AND DEGREE OF LUNG HEMORRHAGE AND EARDRUM RUPTURE IN DOGS

Group	Pressure, psi		Lung Weight Percent Body Weight	Remarks	Eardrum Rupture
	Incident Shock	Reflected Shock ^a			
I	3.7	9.2 (8.5-10.0)	0.86±0.04 ^b (0.68-1.14)	No lung hemorrhage present.	2/20 ^c (10%)
II	5.6	13.5 (11.7-16.2)	0.84±0.04 (0.67-1.10)	4 cases with no lung hemorrhage, 6 with petechia.	18/20 (90%)
III	7.6	19.9 (19.3-21.0)	0.94±0.05 (0.68-1.16)	2 cases of lungs with petechia, 8 with small isolated areas of hemorrhage.	14/20 (70%)
IV	9.5	25.6 (25.0-26.7)	0.98±0.03 (0.84-1.13)	10 cases of lungs with small isolated areas of hemorrhage.	20/20 (100%)
V	10.8	31.2 (30.3-32.5)	1.19±0.08 (0.86-1.67)	3 cases of lungs with small isolated areas and 7 with con- fluent hemorrhages.	20/20 (100%)
VI	12.4	35.8 (33.8-38.6)	1.28±0.11 (0.82-2.10)	2 cases of lungs with small isolated areas and 8 with con- fluent hemorrhages.	19/20 (95%)

^a Pressure durations: 320-348 msec.

^b Mean, standard error of the mean, and range.

^c Number ruptured over the number exposed.

Figure 7. Lungs of Air-Blasted Dogs Showing No Hemorrhage (upper) and Petechial Hemorrhage (lower).

Figure 8. Lungs of Air-Blasted Dogs Showing Isolated Hemorrhage (upper) and Confluent Hemorrhage (lower).

RELATION BETWEEN LUNG INJURY IN DOGS AND AIR-BLAST DOSE

Degree of Hemorrhage:

+	None	●	Confluent
○	Petechial	●	Entire Lobes
⊙	Small Isolated		Control Range

Note: Underlined symbols indicate deaths

Figure 9

the lung-weight data from dogs exposed to pressure in the lethal range in that part of Experiment I involving shock-tube configuration A". It can be seen from Figure 9 that the lung weight does not increase importantly until range V, which received 30.3-32.5 psi. The mean for this range (31.2) was statistically higher than the four ranges that received lower pressures.

The lung hemorrhages observed grossly were microscopically verified. At the range I level, emphysematous changes were noted microscopically in the experimental animals, but similar findings were also seen in the control group and no difference between them could be established. However, emphysema was definitely more marked in experimental animals exhibiting hemorrhages 0.5 cm or greater in diameter; viz., in the pressure range III animals and in a few in pressure range II.*

Disruption of the alveolar septa was routinely found in and adjacent to hemorrhagic areas. Peribronchial clefting (two cases) was first noted in range II. Peribronchial and perivascular clefting was recorded in the majority of the tissue samples from dogs in ranges III-VI. Stripping of the bronchial epithelium was commonly observed in lung tissue beginning in range III.

Respiratory and Heart Rates

Figures 10 and 11 give the heart and respiratory rates of four animals that are more or less representative of the average for their respective ranges. Although the variations encountered were considerable, the following general remarks apply. At the two lowest pressure levels (ranges I and II), the respiratory rate and heart rate remained unchanged (Figure 10, dogs 392 and 387). In ranges III and IV, the respiratory rates nearly doubled at about 20-40 minutes following exposure (Figure 11, dog 390). Within these ranges, the pulse rate remained unaffected, although, in some instances, it quickened. At the two highest pressure levels, where the majority had confluent hemorrhage, the respiratory rates rose immediately after exposure, breathing was rapid and shallow, and there was a possible slowing of the heart (Figure 11, dog 394).

Eardrum Rupture

The incidence of eardrum rupture as related to pressure level for "long"-duration pulses is shown in Table 6. The high incidence of rupture for all animals, except those at the lowest pressure range, indicates that the effective dose for 50-per cent eardrum rupture under the conditions of this experiment lies between group I (9.2 psi with 10 per cent) and group II (13.5 psi with 90 per cent).

Response of Small Animals — Experiment III

Dose-Response Curves

Probit mortality curves for each species, relating mortality in probit units to the log reflected pressure, are shown in Figures 12-17. Calculated LD₅₀ values, 95-per cent confidence limits, and probit equations

*Appreciation is expressed to Dr. Thomas L. Chiffelle who kindly made the data reported here available.

Figure 10. Heart and Respiratory Rates of Dogs with No and Petechial Lung Hemorrhage Compared with Ranges for One Week Pre-Exposure.

Figure 11. Heart and Respiratory Rates of Air-Blasted Dogs with Isolated and Confluent Lung Hemorrhage Compared with Ranges for One Week Pre-Exposure.

Figure 12. Probit Mortality Curve for Mice Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 329-346-Msec Duration.

Figure 13. Probit Mortality Curve for Hamsters Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 345-372-Msec Duration.

Figure 14. Probit Mortality Curve for Rats Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 332-345-Msec Duration.

Figure 15. Probit Mortality Curve for Guinea Pigs Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 337-350-Msec Duration.

Figure 16. Probit Mortality Curve for Cats Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 358-380-Msec Duration.

Figure 17. Probit Mortality Curve for Rabbits Exposed to Reflected Pressures of 339-364-Msec Duration.

are included on each curve and summarized in Table 7. The LD₅₀'s for the mice (26.4 psi), hamsters (28.6 psi), and rabbits (24.9 psi) were not significantly different at the 95-per cent confidence level — the rat's (30.6 psi) was above the other rodents with the cat's (43.6 psi) above that of the rat.

Gross Pathological Findings

The injuries found in small animals were of the same nature as those observed in the dogs and goats.

The mean lung weights for cats and hamsters, for the various pressure levels, are compared in Figures 18-19. Lung-weight data for mice, rats, guinea pigs, and rabbits have been previously reported.¹¹ The graphs show that the lung weight, except for high-pressure groups, generally increased with the dose and the survivors were below the nonsurvivors.

Most of the animals received eardrum ruptures indicating that the pressures, for the most part, in the lethal range to which they were exposed were far above that required for this injury — one hamster at the lowest dose level survived with eardrums intact. All cat eardrums were perforated.

DISCUSSION

Response for Dogs and Goats

The results of the present study show the resistance of dogs and goats to "fast"-rising overpressures increases markedly at the shorter pulse durations. The LD₅₀ values increase by a factor of 4 or 5 from the longest to the shortest enduring blast wave. The region where the curves break (15-20 msec) has been termed the critical duration.⁷ To the right of this critical time, biological response correlates with the peak reflected pressure; to the left, the reflected pressure impulse approaches the best fit to the data. It has been suggested that the critical duration is associated with the natural period of vibration of the system.¹² For durations which are "short" or "long" compared to the natural period, the results are governed by impulse or peak pressure, respectively.

According to Figure 20, a partial impulse criterion appears to fit the dog and goat results over the entire range of the pulse durations thus far studied. The partial impulse criterion takes into account only the initial portion of the pulse for a time that is related to the animal's size. By repeated trials a scaled time was found — $0.6m^{1/3}$ msec at Albuquerque altitude where m is the mass of the animal — which resulted in a near-constant, scaled partial impulse for the LD₅₀ blast waves reported here; namely, 30 psi · msec/kg^{1/3}, on the average, as can be noted in Figure 20. For 16.5-kg dogs, an impulse of 76.4 psi · msec delivered over 1.53 msec corresponds to the median lethal dose; for 22.2-kg goats, the values were 8.42 psi · msec applied over the initial 1.69 msec of the pulse. Comparable

TABLE 7
RESULTS OF PROBIT ANALYSIS ON SMALL-ANIMAL
24-HOUR LETHALITY

Animal Species	Number of Animals	LD50, psi	Probit Equation Constants	
			intercept, a	slope, b
Mice	200	26.4 (25.3-27.6)	-10.742	11.077±1.340
Hamsters	110	28.6 (27.5-29.7)	-21.133	17.933±3.060
Rats	150	30.6 (29.7-31.3)	-27.405	21.812±3.275
Guinea Pigs	120	25.6 (25.2-26.0)	-59.811	46.047±8.180
Cats	48	43.6 (41.0-46.8)	-23.014	17.091±4.420
Rabbits	40	24.9 (23.0-28.0)	-14.769	14.167±3.984

Note: Pressure Durations: 329-380 msec.

Figure 18. Lung Weight for Cats. Control Data for 10 Cats – Lung Weight, 0.75 ± 0.04 (0.50-1.03) with Body Weight, 2.746 Kg (1.677-3.996).

Figure 19. Lung Weight for Hamsters. Control Data for 25 Hamsters – Lung Weight, 0.56 ± 0.02 (0.44-0.82) with Body Weight of 84.6 g (69-101).

Scaled Partial Impulse, $(I_0/m^{1/3})(12/P_0)^{1/2}$, of Reflected Blast Waves Resulting in 50% Mortality of 16.5-kg Dogs (○) and 22.2-kg Goats (□) Plotted as a Function of Scaled Duration $(t_+/m^{1/3})(P_0/12)^{1/2}$, where

I_0 is overpressure impulse in psi-msec from arrival of blast wave to time t_0 ,
 t_0 is $0.6m^{1/3}(12/P_0)^{1/2}$ msec,
 m is body mass of the animal in kg,
 P_0 is ambient pressure in psi, and
 t_+ is duration of the blast wave in msec

Figure 20. Partial Impulse of Reflected Blast Waves Resulting in 50-Per Cent Mortality.

figures for a 70-kg mammal would be 124 psi · msec delivered during the first 2.47-msec portion of the wave. The above data and those in Figure 20 apply to ambient pressures of 12.0 psia, but can be applied to other altitudes using the given scaling relations. Details of the derivations of these scaling methods have already been reported.³

Preliminary calculations show that the partial impulse criterion applies to recently obtained LD₅₀ values for several other large species. That is, for 52-kg sheep, an LD₅₀ on the order of 160 psi reflected pressure and about 3-msec duration was obtained with 64-lb charges detonated overhead as in the present study, and for 54-kg sheep (LD₅₀ of 53 psi) and 180-kg cattle (LD₅₀ of 43 psi) which were subjected to reflected pressures enduring for 212 and 184 msec, respectively, in a shock tube.¹³ So far, this criterion has only been applied to blast waves that rise in a near-instantaneous manner. Further analyses should determine whether the partial impulse criterion related to blast waves that rise in two or more steps, as encountered close to reflecting surfaces where the incident and reflected shock fronts are applied to the target at different times.

In the present experiments, the subjects undoubtedly received the maximal reflected pressures for the corresponding incident shock front. Therefore, the reflected pressures were measured and considered to be the peak pressure in regard to their dose. Since in the shock tube with the end closed and on the concrete pad the flow associated with the incident shock wave is rapidly reversed by the reflection, there is little, if any, dynamic pressure involved. However, for animals exposed in the free-stream, results from full-scale tests indicate that the dynamic pressure and/or some fraction of the reflection from the animal itself apparently adds to the side-on pressure dose.¹⁴ In this regard, the data given in Figure 21 for guinea pigs exposed in the freestream of a shock tube at different orientations to the incident shock front are pertinent.¹⁵

Figure 21, applying to LD₅₀ conditions, shows the relation between different exposure positions and overpressures when the latter are expressed in terms of the incident, reflected, and dynamic pressures. The data are reasonably consistent and in fair agreement if the "effective" pressure or dose is chosen properly; viz., (a) the incident pressure plus the dynamic pressure for the guinea pigs exposed in either the vertical or prone, side-on positions;

(b) the incident pressure for prone exposures either head-on or tail-on to the advancing pulse, and

(c) the reflected pressure for animals mounted side-on against a reflecting surface.

Although this may be an oversimplification, these findings do suggest that the response data obtained for animals in the reflected-pressure geometry of this study can be transferred to the freestream situation by taking into account the dynamic pressure for broadside or upright targets. The results may be directly applied for targets oriented end-on; one qualification being that the waves are "sharp"-rising. As far as the partial-pressure-impulse criterion is concerned, the dynamic pressure impulse will be considered in subsequent analyses for targets exposed in the prone, broadside or vertical positions.

Figure 21. LD50 Conditions for Various Orientations of Guinea Pigs.

Threshold Lung Injury in Dogs

In these studies, the threshold for petechial lung hemorrhage in dogs was found to be at a mean reflected pressure of 13.5 psi (11.7-16.2) which was approximately 28 per cent of the LD₅₀ value and generated by incident shock waves on the order of 5-6 psi. Petechial hemorrhages did not alter the respiratory and heart rates or cause significant histological changes in the lung tissue. Tests to relate respiratory-function impairment to comparable degrees of pulmonary injury are currently under way.

The threshold value for petechial hemorrhage for dogs in this study is near that for goats (10 psi side-on and 12 psi total pressure at a 230-msec duration). The latter were exposed side-on in the open 960 ft from a 500-ton explosion.¹⁶ For blast waves of shorter durations, much higher pressures would be required to produce equivalent lung injury.

Response of Small Animals

Of the six small animal species tested in this study, the cat was found to be the most resistant to blast. The cat's LD₅₀ was 43.6 psi—near that of the dog and goat. The only data in literature for cats give the lethal limit as 6 meters (19.8 ft) from a 50-kg charge (110 lb) from which one can calculate a pressure of 45 psi and from a 4-5-msec duration.¹⁷ The orientation of the subjects was not stated.

As far as the other species are concerned, no information on hamsters has been reported in the literature for comparison. For the mice, rats, and rabbits, the values obtained in the present study were 4-5 psi below those previously reported for these animals exposed to "long"-duration pressures in the same shock tube, but in cages having less open area — 60 to 75 per cent compared to 90 per cent in the present study.² The value for guinea pigs was near 11 psi — lower than that previously found.

Interspecies Comparison

The results of this study indicate that, for "long"-duration blast waves, animal tolerance does not vary as greatly with respect to their sizes as it does with those enduring for short times. The LD₅₀ for mice (26.4 psi) was less than that for goats (53.0 psi) by only a factor of two, and yet their body weights differed by several orders of magnitude. This relatively small interspecies difference strengthens existing estimates for man's response to long pulses.

REFERENCES

1. Fisher, R. B., P. L. Krohn, and S. Zuckerman, "The Relationship Between Body Size and the Lethal Effects of Blast," Report R. C. 284, Ministry of Home Security, Oxford, England, December, 10, 1941.
2. Richmond, D. R., V. R. Clare, V. C. Goldizen, D. E. Pratt, R. T. Sanchez, and C. S. White, "Biological Effects of Overpressure. II. A Shock Tube Utilized to Produce Sharp-Rising Overpressures of 400 Milliseconds Duration and Its Employment in Biomedical Experiments," Technical Progress Report No. DASA 1246, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., April 7, 1961. Also in Aerospace Med., 32: 997-1008, 1961.
3. Bowen, I. G., A. Holladay, E. R. Fletcher, D. R. Richmond, and C. S. White, "A Fluid-Mechanical Model of the Thoraco-Abdominal System with Applications to Blast Biology," Technical Progress Report No. DASA 1675, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., June 14, 1965.
4. Hirsch, F. G., J. Longhurst, D. R. McGibney, and H. H. Sander, "The Effects of Noise in Blast-Resistant Shelters," USAEC Civil Effects Test Group Report, WT-1180, Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., June 25, 1957.
5. Fletcher, J. L., G. D. Roberson, and M. Loeb, "Effects of High Intensity Impulse Noise and Rapid Changes in Pressure upon Stapedectomized Monkeys," Report No. 610, U. S. Army Medical Research Laboratory, Fort Knox, Ky., August 21, 1964.
6. Broadbent, D. E. and W. Burns, "Effects of Noise on Hearing and Performance," Report R. N. P. 65/1057, O. E. S. 422, Medical Ministry of Defence, England, April 1965.
7. Richmond, D. R., V. C. Goldizen, V. R. Clare, and C. S. White, "The Overpressure-Duration Relationship and Lethality in Small Animals," Technical Progress Report No. DASA 1325, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., September 10, 1962.
8. Finney, D. J., Probit Analysis. A Statistical Treatment of the Sigmoid Response Curve (2nd Edition), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1952.
9. Goodman, H. J., "Compiled Free-Air Blast Data on Bare Spherical Pentolite," BRL Report No. 1092, Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md., February 1960.
10. White, C. S. and D. R. Richmond, "Blast Biology," Chapter 63 in Clinical Cardiopulmonary Physiology, edited by Ross C. Kory and Burgess L. Gordon, Grune and Stratton, Inc., New York, 1960.
11. Richmond, D. R., V. C. Goldizen, V. R. Clare, D. E. Pratt, F. Sherping, R. T. Sanchez, C. C. Fischer, and C. S. White, "Biologic Response to Overpressure. III. Mortality in Small Animals Exposed in a Shock Tube to Sharp-Rising Overpressures of 3 to 4

- Msec Duration," Technical Progress Report No. DASA 1242, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., December 1961.
12. Von Gierke, H. E., "Biodynamic Response to the Human Body," Appl. Mech. Rev., Vol. 17, No. 12: 951-958, December 1964.
 13. Richmond, D. R. and E. G. Damon: Defense Atomic Support Agency Project, Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research, Albuquerque, N. M., unpublished data.
 14. Betz, P. A., T. L. Chiffelle, E. G. Damon, and D. R. Richmond, "Program 4 - Project 4.1. The Effects of a 500-Ton Explosion on Goats Exposed in the Higher Pressure Regions," in "Biomedical Program, 500-Ton Explosion (Final Report)," DASA Report No. 1656, Tab "A," Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., 1965.
 15. Richmond, D. R. and K. Mitchell: Defense Atomic Support Agency Project, Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research, Albuquerque, N. M., unpublished data.
 16. Pratt, D. E., T. L. Chiffelle, E. G. Damon, and D. R. Richmond, "Program 4 - Project 4.4. Threshold Lung Injury in Goats from a 500-Ton Explosion," in "Biomedical Program, 500-Ton Explosion (Final Report)," DASA Report No. 1656, Tab "D," Defense Atomic Support Agency, Department of Defense, Washington, D. C., 1965.
 17. Werner, Cl. F., Ztschr. F. Hals-, Nasen- u Ohren-heilk., XLVIII, 503, 1943. (Referenced by T. Benzinger in "Physiological Effects of Blast in Air and Water," Chap. XIV-B, German Aviation Medicine, World War II, pp 1225-1259, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1950.)

DISTRIBUTION

ARMY AGENCIES

Chief of Research and Development, Life Sciences Division, Dept. of the Army, ATTN: CRDLS, Washington, D.C. 20310 (1 copy)
Chief of Research and Development, Dept. of the Army, ATTN: CRDNCB, Washington, D.C. 20310 (1 copy)
Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Dept. of the Army, ATTN: DFISS, Washington, D.C. 20310 (1 copy)
Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, ATTN: ENGTE-E, Washington, D.C. 20315 (1 copy)
Directorate of Transportation, U.S. Army Materiel Cmd., Washington, D.C. 20315 (1 copy)
Commanding General, Medical Research and Development Cmd., Dept. of the Army, ATTN: MEDDH-N, Main Navy Building, Washington, D.C. 20360 (2 copies)
Commanding General, Medical Research and Development Cmd., Dept. of the Army, ATTN: MEDPS-CS, Main Navy Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20360 (2 copies)
Commanding General, Medical Research and Development Command, Dept. of Army, ATTN: MEDDH-RS, Main Navy Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20360 (2 copies)
U.S. Army CDC Artillery Agency, Fort Sill, Oklahoma 73503 (1 copy)
President, Aviation Test Board, U.S. Army Aviation Center, Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362 (1 copy)
Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Dept. of the Army, ATTN: Directorate of CBR and Nuclear Operations, Washington, D.C. 20310 (1 copy)
Commandant, U.S. Army C&GS College, ATTN: Archives, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027 (1 copy)
Commandant, U.S. Army Air Defense School, ATTN: Command & Staff Dept., Fort Bliss, Texas 79906 (1 copy)
Commanding Officer, U.S. Army CDC Armor Agency, Fort Knox, Kentucky 40120 (1 copy)
Commanding Officer, U.S. Army CDC Artillery Agency, Fort Sill, Oklahoma 73503 (1 copy)
Commanding Officer, U.S. Army CDC Infantry Agency, Fort Benning, Georgia 31905 (1 copy)
Commanding Officer, U.S. Army CDC CBR Agency, Fort McClellan, Alabama 36205 (1 copy)
Commandant, U.S. Army CBR, Weapons School, Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, Utah 84022 (1 copy)
Commanding General, Army Medical Service School, Brooke Army Medical Center, ATTN: DCCO, Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234 (1 copy)
Commanding General, The Engineer Center, ATTN: Asst Cmdt Engineer School, Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 (1 copy)
Commanding General, 9th Hospital Center, ATTN: CO, U.S. Army Nuclear Medicine Research Det., Europe, APO New York 09180 (1 copy)
Commanding Officer, U.S. Army Chemical Research and Development Lab., Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21040 (1 copy)
Commandant, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, D.C. 20012 (5 copies)
Commanding General, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, ATTN: Technical Library, Natick, Massachusetts 01760 (1 copy)

Commanding Officer, U.S. Army, Combat Development Cmd., Institute of Nuclear
 Studies, Fort Bliss, Texas 79906 (1 copy)
 Commanding General, U.S. Army Combat Development Cmd., ATTN: CDC CD-F, Fort
 Belvoir, Virginia 22060 (2 copies)
 Commanding General, U.S. Army, Combat Development Command, ATTN: Combat
 Support Group, Fort Belvoir, Va 22060 (1 copy)
 Commanding Officer, U.S. Army, Combat Development Cmd, Medical Service Agency,
 Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234 (1 copy)
 Commanding General, U.S. Army, Combat Development Cmd, Combat Arms Group, Fort
 Leavenworth, Kansas 66027 (1 copy)
 Director Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Walter Reed Army Medical Center,
 625 16th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20012 (1 copy)
 Director, Waterways Experiment Station, P.O. Box 631, ATTN: Library, Vicksburg,
 Mississippi 39180 (1 copy)
 Director, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Mary-
 land 21005 (2 copies)
 Redstone Scientific Info Center, U.S. Army Missile Cmd, ATTN: Chief, Document
 Section, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35808 (1 copy)
 Commanding General, Army Materiel Command, ATTN: AMCRD-BN, Washington, D.C.
 20315 (1 copy)
 Commanding Officer, U.S. Army Nuclear Defense Laboratory, ATTN: Librarian,
 Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21040 (1 copy)
 Commanding General, Army Materiel Command, ATTN: EOD Division, Washington,
 D.C. 20315 (1 copy)
 Office of the Secretary of the Army, Assistant Director of OCD, ATTN: Research,
 Washington, D.C. 20310 (5 copies)

NAVY AGENCIES

Chief of Naval Operations, Navy Department, ATTN: OPO3EG, Washington, D.C. 20350
 (1 copy)
 Chief of Naval Operations, Navy Department, ATTN: OP-75, Washington, D.C. 20350
 (1 copy)
 Chief of Naval Operations, Navy Department, ATTN: OP-922G2, Washington, D.C.
 20350 (1 copy)
 Chief of Naval Personnel, Tech Library, Code 11B, Navy Department, Washington, D.C.
 20370 (1 copy)
 Chief of Naval Research, Navy Department, ATTN: Code 811, Washington, D.C. 20390
 (1 copy)
 Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, Navy Department, ATTN: Code 74, Washington,
 D.C. 20390 (2 copies)
 Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, Navy Department, ATTN: Code 71, Washington,
 D.C. 20390 (1 copy)
 Chief, NAVSHIPS, Navy Department, ATTN: Code 423, Washington, D.C. 20390 (1 copy)
 Chief, NAVSHIPS, Navy Department, ATTN: Code 364, Washington, D.C. 20390 (1 copy)
 Director, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20390 (1 copy)
 Commander, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Maryland 20910 (1 copy)
 CO, U.S. Naval Mine Defense Laboratory, Panama City, Florida 32401 (1 copy)
 CO, U.S. Naval Unit, U.S. Army Chemical School, Fort McClellan, Alabama 36205
 (1 copy)

Nuclear Medicine Division, School of Submarine Medicine, U.S. Naval Submarine Medical Center, Box 600, U.S. Naval Submarine Base, New London, Groton, Connecticut 06340 (1 copy)
 CO, U.S. Naval Hospital, ATTN: Director, REEL, NNMC, Bethesda, Md. 20014 (1 copy)
 CO and Director, U.S. NRDL, ATTN: Tech Info Div, San Francisco, California 94135 (4 copies)
 CO, Nuclear Weapons Training Center, Atlantic, U.S. Naval Base, ATTN: Nuclear Warfare Department, Norfolk, Virginia 23511 (1 copy)
 CO, Nuclear Weapons Training Center, Pacific, U.S. Naval Air Station, North Island, San Diego, California 92135 (1 copy)
 CO, U.S. Naval Damage Control Training Center, Naval Base, ATTN: NBC Defense Course, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112 (1 copy)
 Superintendent, U.S. Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California 93940 (1 copy)
 U.S. Naval Schools Command, T.I., NBC Defense Dept., Box 104, Bldg 194, ATTN: Tech Library, San Francisco, California 94130 (1 copy)
 Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Air Development Center, ATTN: NAS, Librarian, Johnsville, Pennsylvania 18974 (1 copy)
 Officer in Charge, U.S. Naval Supply Research and Development Facility, Naval Supply Center, Bayonne, New Jersey 07002 (1 copy)
 Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Medical Research Institute, National Naval Medical Center, Technical Reference Library, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (2 copies)
 Commandant, U.S. Marine Corps, ATTN: Code A03H, Washington, D.C. 20380 (1 copy)
 U.S. Naval School of Aviation Medicine, U.S. Naval Aviation Medical Center, ATTN: Director of Research, Pensacola, Florida 32508 (1 copy)
 Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Weapons Laboratory, Dahlgren, Virginia 22448 (1 copy)
 David Taylor Model Basin, Code 258, Washington, D.C. 20007 (2 copies)
 Commander, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Department of the Navy, Washington, D.C. 20390 (1 copy)
 Commander, U.S. Naval Applied Science Laboratory (Code 900), Naval Base, Brooklyn, New York 11251 (1 copy)
 U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, Code 4563, China Lake, California 93555 (2 copies)

AIR FORCE AGENCIES

HQ USAF (1 copy)
 HQ USAF (AFMSPA) T-8, Wash DC 20333 (1 copy)
 HQ USAF (AFMSR), T-8, Wash DC 20333 (1 copy)
 HQ USAF, Chief of Operations and Analysis, Wash DC 20330 (1 copy)
 HQ USAF (AFRSTA), Wash DC 20330 (1 copy)
 HQ USAF (AFTAC), 6801 Telegraph Road, Alexandria, Va. 22310 (1 copy)
 HQ USAF (AFRDD), Wash DC 20330 (1 copy)

AIR FORCE MAJOR COMMANDS

AFSC (SCS-7), Andrews AFB, Wash DC 20331 (1 copy)
 AFSC (SCB), Andrews AFB, Wash DC 20331 (1 copy)
 AFSC (SCTR), Andrews AFB, Wash DC 20331 (1 copy)
 ADC, Asst for Atomic Energy, ADLDC, Ent AFB, Colorado 80912 (1 copy)
 AUL, Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112 (1 copy)
 SAC (OA), Offutt AFB, Nebraska 68113 (1 copy)
 SAC (SUP), Offutt AFB, Nebraska 68113 (1 copy)

TAC (OA), Langley AFB, Virginia 23365 (1 copy)
AU, Office of the Surgeon, Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112 (1 copy)
ATC, Office of the Surgeon, Randolph AFB, Texas 78148 (1 copy)

AFSC ORGANIZATIONS

AFSC Scientific and Tech Liaison Office, RTD, ATTN: AFUPO, Los Angeles, California
90045 (1 copy)
HQ, RTD (RTTW), Bolling AFB, Wash DC 20332 (1 copy)
HQ, FTD (TDFBD), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
HQ, BSD (BSR-1), Norton AFB, California 92409 (1 copy)
HQ, ASD (ASMM), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
HQ, RTD(SEG/SENS), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
AFAPL(APS), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
AFAL(AVS), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
AFML(MAS), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
HQ SSD(SSTR), AFUPO 45, Los Angeles, California 90045 (1 copy)
RADC(EMEAM), Griffiss AFB, NY 13440 (1 copy)
AFWL(WLR), Kirtland AFB, New Mexico 87117 (1 copy)
AFRPL(RPPP), Edwards AFB, California 93523 (1 copy)
HQ ESD (ESLE), L. G. Hanscom Fld, Bedford, Mass. 01731 (1 copy)
HQ AMD (AMR), Brooks AFB Tex 78235 (1 copy)
HQ AMD (AMRB), Brooks AFB Tex 78235 (1 copy)
HQ AMD (AMRO), Brooks AFB Tex 78235 (1 copy)
AFSWC (SWLA), Kirtland AFB NMex 87117 (1 copy)
AFWL (WLIL), Kirtland AFB NMex 87117 (1 copy)
AFWL (WLRB), Kirtland AFB NMex 87117 (2 copies)
USAF SAM (SMB), Brooks AFB Tex 78235 (1 copy)
6570 AMRL, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (2 copies)
6571 ARL, Holloman AFB NMex 88330 (1 copy)

OTHER AIR FORCE AGENCIES

AFCRL, ATTN: CRQST-2, L. G. Hanscom Fld, Bedford, Mass. 01731 (1 copy)
Director, USAF Project Rand, AF Liaison Officer, Rand Corp (Library), 1700 Main St.,
Santa Monica, California 90401 (1 copy)
Medical Service School (Rad Branch), Sheppard AFB Tex 76311 (1 copy)
HQ OAR (RROS), 1400 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, Virginia 22209 (1 copy)
AFOSR (SRGL), 1400 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, Virginia 22209 (1 copy)
AFIT (Tech Library), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1 copy)
Air Force Western Test Range (WTOSM), Vandenberg AFB, California 93437 (1 copy)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AGENCIES

DDC, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20 copies)
Director of Defense Research and Engineering, ATTN: Tech Library, Washington, D. C.
20301 (1 copy)
Director of Defense Research and Engineering, ATTN: Chief, Medical Services Division,
Office of Science, Washington, D. C. 20301 (1 copy)
Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: Document Library, Washington, D. C.
20301 (3 copies)

Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: STMD (For TTCP), Washington, D. C. 20301 (12 copies)
 Commander, Test Command, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 (1 copy)
 Commander, Field Command, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Sandia Base, ATTN: Surgeon, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 (1 copy)
 Commander, Field Command, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: FCTG, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 (1 copy)
 Director, Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (2 copies)
 Director, Weapons Systems Evaluation Group, Washington, D. C. 20305 (1 copy)
 U. S. Documents Officer, Office of the United States National Military Representative-SHAPE, APO, New York, New York 09055 (1 copy)
 Director, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, D. C. 20012 (1 copy)
 Director, ARPA, DOD, ATTN: Tech Info Officer, the Pentagon, Washington, D. C. 20301 (1 copy)
 Director, DIA, ATTN: DIAAP-IKZ, Washington, D. C. 20301 (1 copy)

OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES

Atomic Energy Commission, ATTN: Asst. Director for Medicine & Health Research, DBM, Washington, D. C. 20545 (1 copy)
 Atomic Energy Commission, Civil Effects Branch, Div of Biology and Medicine, Washington, D. C. 20545 (150 copies)
 Atomic Energy Commission, Div of Technical Information Extension, P. O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, Tenn. 37830 (300 copies)
 Director, National Aeronautics & Space Admin., Washington, D. C. 20546 (1 copy)
 National Aeronautics and Space Admin., ATTN: Director, Life Sciences Program, Washington, D. C. 20546 (1 copy)
 National Library of Medicine, Accessions Branch, 8600 Wisconsin Ave., Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (1 copy)
 Federal Air Surgeon, (AM-1) HQ Federal Aviation Agency, 800 Independence Ave., S. W., Washington, D. C. 20553 (1 copy)
 Public Health Service, 4th and Jefferson Drive, S. W., Washington, D. C. 20201 (1 copy)
 Public Health Service, Southwest Radiological Health Lab., ATTN: Tech Library, P. O. Box 684, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (1 copy)
 USPHS, Research Branch, Division of Radiological Health, 1901 Chapman Av., Rockville, Md. 20853 (1 copy)
 USPHS, S. E. Radiobiology Health Laboratory, PO Box 61, Montgomery, Alabama 36101 (1 copy)
 Lawrence Radiation Lab., U. of Calif., P. O. Box 808, ATTN: Information Integration Group, Livermore, California 94550 (1 copy)
 Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Ave., ATTN: Medical Div., Argonne, Illinois 60440 (1 copy)
 Batelle N. W. Laboratory, P. O. Box 999, ATTN: Dr. Bair, Rickland, Washington 99352 (1 copy)
 Brookhaven National Laboratory, Tech Information Div., Documents Group, Upton, New York 14100 (1 copy)
 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, ATTN: Biomedical Research Group, P. O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 (1 copy)

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, U. of California, P. O. Box 808, ATTN: Biomedical Research Div., Livermore, California 94550 (1 copy)

NON FEDERAL AGENCIES

National Academy of Sciences, ATTN: Richard Park, 2101 Constitution Ave., Washington, D. C. 20418 (5 copies)

Eric H. Wang Civil Engineering Research Facility, Box 188, University Station, U. of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106 (1 copy)

Southwest Research Institute, ATTN: Technical Library, 8500 Culebra Road, San Antonio, Texas 78228 (1 copy)

Medical College of So. Carolina, Dept of Surgery, ATTN: Dr. M. S. Rittenbury, 55 Doughty St., Charleston, So. Carolina 29403 (1 copy)

Cincinnati General Hospital, Radioisotope Lab., ATTN: Dr. E. L. Saenger, Cincinnati, Ohio 45229 (1 copy)

New York State Veterinary College, Cornell U., Dept. of Physical Biology, ATTN: Dr. Comar, Ithaca, New York 14850 (1 copy)

Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education & Research, 5200 Gibson Blvd., S. E., ATTN: Dr. C. S. White, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108 (50 copies)

The Rand Corporation, 1700 Main St., Santa Monica, Calif. 90401 (1 copy)

U. of Rochester, School of Medicine & Dentistry, Dept of Radiation Biology, P. O. Box 287, Station 3, Rochester, New York 14620 (1 copy)

U. of Rochester, P. O. Box 287, Station 3, ATTN: Atomic Energy Project Library, Rochester, New York 14620 (1 copy)

U. of New Mexico, ATTN: Library, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106 (1 copy)

U. of Pennsylvania Medical School, Medical Library, ATTN: Librarian for Blast Biology, Philadelphia, Penn. 19104 (1 copy)

San Francisco State College, 1600 Holloway Ave., ATTN: Dr. Curtis Newcombe, San Francisco, Calif 94132 (1 copy)

Baylor University, ATTN: Document Library, 5th and Speight, Waco, Texas 76703 (1 copy)

University of California, ATTN: Director Radiobiology Lab., Davis, California 95616 (1 copy)

Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine & Radiation Biology School of Medicine, U. of Calif., ATTN: Library, 900 Veteran Ave., Los Angeles, Calif 90024 (1 copy)

Colorado State University, ATTN: Dir Collaborative Radiological Health Laboratory, Ft. Collins, Colo 80521 (1 copy)

Mass Institute of Technology, ATTN: MIT Library, Cambridge, Mass 02139 (1 copy)

Polaroid Corp, ATTN: Tech Library, 119 Windsor St., Cambridge, Mass 02139 (1 copy)

Medical College of Virginia, ATTN: Dept of Biophysics, 523 N. 12th St., Richmond, Virginia 23219 (1 copy)

Sandia Corporation, P. O. Box 5800, ATTN: Dir of Research, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 (2 copies)

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research Albuquerque, New Mexico		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE Air-Blast Studies with Eight Species of Mammals			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Progress			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Donald R. Richmond; Edward G. Damon; I. Gerald Bowen; E. Royce Fletcher; and Clayton S. White			
6. REPORT DATE August 1966		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS 17
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DA-49-146-XZ-372		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) DASA 1854	
b. PROJECT NO. 03.012			
c.		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Distribution of this report is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY DASA	
13. ABSTRACT A series of three experiments were carried out. (1) A total of 204 dogs and 115 goats were exposed to shock-tube and high-explosive-produced reflected shock waves ranging in duration from 400 to 1.5 msec. LD50 values, calculated by probit analysis, showed similar patterns for both species, increasing at the shorter durations by a factor of 4 or 5 above those for long durations. (2) Sixty dogs were exposed in a shock tube to "sharp"-rising overpressures of near 400-msec duration at six-dose levels ranging from 9.2 to 35.8 psi. The degree of lung injury was graded and threshold for petechial hemorrhage determined. (3) Dose-response curves were compiled using data for 200 mice, 110 hamsters, 150 rats, 120 guinea pigs, 48 cats, and 40 rabbits exposed to "long"-duration reflected pressures in a shock tube. The tolerances (LD50) for all six species are compared. Pathological observations for all species and lung-weight data for cats and hamsters are included. Criteria for relating biological response to the various parameters of the blast wave are discussed.			

14. KEY WORDS		LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Blast LD50 - Animal Shock Overpressure-Duration Blast Injury Biological Effects Biological Criteria							

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.