

APAE Memo No. 98

SHIELDING CALCULATIONS
for
APPR-1 DEMINERALIZER
SHIPPING CONTAINERS

Issued April 26, 1957

By: R. C. DeYoung

Alco Products, Inc.
Post Office Box 414
Schenectady, New York

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Distribution

Copies:

- 1 - U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Schenectady Operations Office
Post Office Box 1069
Schenectady, New York
Attention: J. A. Anderson
- 2 - 6 U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
1901 Constitution Avenue
Washington 25, D. C.
Attention: Classified Technical Library for
J. B. Lampert
- 7 - 31 U. S. Atomic Energy Commission
Reference Branch, Technical Information Service
Extension
Post Office Box 62
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
Attention: M. Day
- 32 - 33 Nuclear Power Branch
Engineer Research & Development Laboratories
Fort Belvoir, Virginia
Attention: Major J. A. Bacci
- 34 - 35 Union Carbide Nuclear Company
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
X-10 Laboratory, Records Department
Post Office Box "P"
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- 36 - 46 Alco Products, Inc.
Post Office Box 414
Schenectady, New York
- 36 - K. Kasschau
- 37 - J. L. Meem
- 38 - H. L. Weinberg
- 39 - J. G. Gallagher
- 40 - A. L. Medin
- 41 - R. C. DeYoung
- 42 - G. W. Knighton
- 43 - Reactor Analysis Section File
- 44 - 46 File (3)

I. INTRODUCTION

Calculations have been performed to determine the thickness of lead shielding required for a shipping container for a demineralizer used with the APPR-1 reactor facility. The shielding is based on a 24 hour shutdown time.

II. ACTIVITY ON DEMINERALIZER

The activity on the demineralizer has been determined by the method and with the assumptions presented in Reference 1. Activities for several periods of demineralizer loading have been calculated. The loading period is defined as that period of time with reference to continuous reactor operation during which the demineralizer was in use.

The cobalt activity has been calculated on the assumption that the cobalt in the system, neglecting the flux suppressors, is 0.5% of the nickel content and that flux suppressors of the type described in Reference 2 are present.

The calculated activities are presented in Table 1.

TABLE I

DEMINERALIZER ACTIVITY

<u>Leading Period Months</u>	<u>Activity Due to Specific Isotopes (Disintegrations / sec-cm³x 10⁻⁶)</u>				<u>Total Activity Curves</u>
	<u>Cr⁵¹</u>	<u>Fe⁵⁵</u>	<u>Fe⁵⁹</u>	<u>Co⁶⁰</u>	
3-6	15.2	2.9	0.4	2.1	31.62
6-9	15.5	4.3	0.4	3.3	36.08
9-12	15.5	6.0	0.4	4.2	40.07
12-15	15.5	7.2	0.4	5.1	43.29
15-18	15.5	8.2	0.4	5.9	46.05

III. SHIELDING CALCULATIONS

The gamma sources were based on the activities presented in Table I. The gamma yield from Co^{60} was taken to be one 1.17 Mev. and one 1.33 Mev. gamma per disintegration. For Fe^{59} every two disintegrations were assumed to give one 1.10 Mev. and one 1.30 Mev. gamma. Activities from other isotopes did not influence the shielding calculations for various reasons; small gamma yield, low gamma energy, short half-life, etc.

For the shielding calculations the demineralizer was assumed to be a cylinder 12 inches in diameter and 30 inches in height. The resin was assumed to be water in determining the source self-shielding.

It was assumed, on the basis of the dimensions shown on drawings B9-48-2007 and B9-48-2032, that 1.218 inches of steel would be associated with the lead in the shield.

The buildup factors were calculated by weighting the buildup factors for Fe and Pb, (Reference 3), by the respective mean free paths associated with each.

The absorption coefficients were obtained from Reference 4.

Based on I.C.C. requirements the shield thickness was found to be determined by the allowable dose rate one meter from the shield surface. The calculations were based on the equations and curves presented in Reference 4.

The results of the calculations are graphically presented in Figure 1.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

From Figure 1 the required lead thickness to adequately shield an APPR-1 demineralizer during transfer is 3.5 inches. This is believed to be a conservative answer based on the

assumptions made for the analysis. The reliability of the values assumed for many parameters; corrosion rates, flow rate through demineralizer, distance traveled in recoil, etc. is doubtful. However, measurements taken during operation can determine any serious deviation from the expected activity and, in this event, steps can be taken to guarantee that the source will not exceed that for which the shipping container has been designed.

REFERENCES

1. Giesler, H. W., R. C. DeYoung, S. S. Rosen, & A. N. Crouch
Corrosion Product Activity in The Primary Coolant and the
Demineralizer for the APPR-1A and the APPR-1, APAE Memo
No. 84.
2. Johnson, W. R., and P. V. Oby
Neutron Flux Suppression in APPR Control Rods, APAE Memo
No. 79.
3. Moteff, J., Miscellaneous Data for Shielding Calculations,
APEX 176.
4. Rockwell, T., Reactor Shielding Design Manual, McGraw-Hill
Book Company, Inc.

FIGURE 1

SHIELD THICKNESS FOR APPROX DEMINERALIZER GASK

NOTE: LOADING PERIOD DENOTES PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS REACTOR OPERATION DURING WHICH DEMINERALIZER IS IN USE. ALL PERIODS ARE 3 MONTHS LONG

