RECORD COPY

REON

SACRAMENTO PLANT

REPORT NO. RN-S-0213
TO
AEC-NASA SPACE NUCLEAR PROPULSION OFFICE
CFDTS PRE-TEST PREDICTIONS
APRIL 1965

NERVA PROGRAM

CONTRACT SNP-1

VOLUME II

MASTER

AEROJET-GENERAL CORPORATION
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

RECORD COPY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

REPORT NO. RN-S-0213
CFDTS PRE-TEST PREDICTIONS
VOLUME II

ROCKET ENGINE OPERATIONS - NUCLEAR

NERVA PROGRAM APRIL 1965 CONTRACT SNP-1

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

AEROJET-GENERAL CORPORATION
A SUBSIDIARY OF THE GENERAL TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY

52

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SCHEMATIC	1
2.0 TANK-TO-PUMP LINE	2
3.0 TURBOPUMP AND TURBINE	3
4.0 PUMP DISCHARGE LINE	5
5.0 NOZZLE	6
6.0 REFLECTOR, SHIELD, SUPPORT PLATE AND CORE	7
7.0 HOT BLEED SYSTEM	8
8.0 TURBINE INLET LINE AND TPCV	10
9.0 ROPE NOZZLES	11

SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

COMPONENT DATA FOR CFDS

The enclosed data represented the best estimate of the performance of the hardware components being used in the CFDS system at the time of the Pretest Predictions calculations made in March 1965. Where possible, the actual component hardware test acceptance data were used. The data are not necessarily representative of the components being delivered for the NRX/EST or the X-Engines and should not be extrapolated to other systems. The data were used by systems analysis in the current studies of the CFDS system only and will be upgraded as new information is obtained.

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SCHEMATIC

A schematic of the CFDS system is shown in Figure 1. Each of the major components is described analytically by the Systems Analysis and Control Division. The component performance data are presented in the following sections:

2.0 TANK-TO-PUMP LINE

Figure 2 is a schematic of the CFDTIS line from the propellant tank to the pump. The design pressure drops for the various components were used to define an overall loss coefficient for the entire line by the following equation:

$$K = \frac{2g_c \rho A^2 \Delta P}{\dot{w}^2 144} \quad (1)$$

where g_c = gravitational constant = $32.2 \frac{\text{lbm}}{\text{lbf}} \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{sec}^2}$

ρ = density of hydrogen lbm/ft^3

A^2 = flow area = 54.457 in^2

$\dot{w}$ = design flow rate lbm/sec

ΔP = total design pressure drop from tank to pump

K = overall loss coefficient for line = 15.48

Figure 3 presents the line pressure drop as a function of flow rate for various line designs. The present CFDTIS line corresponds to that with the Pacific "Y" valve.

References: Source Data Drawing No. 706919
REON Memorandum 7471:M2110, dated 3 March 1965

3.0 TURBOPUMP AND TURBINE

The operating maps describing the Mark III Mod 4 pump performance are presented in Figures 4 through 10. In Figure 11 are the pump efficiency data supplied to systems analysis, while Figure 12 presents these data as extrapolated and used in the CFDTS analyses. Since the test data were available only for $0.1 \leq Q/N \leq 0.6$, extrapolation was required for pump efficiencies at Q/N values outside of this range. The pump efficiency data in Figure 12 were employed in conjunction with the pump operating maps to define the pump performance over the entire range of parameters.

Figure 13 defines the turbine efficiency over all ranges, the data being evaluated at total-to-static turbine conditions. Since the 12013 program requires the turbine efficiency evaluated at total-to-total turbine conditions, the following conversion was employed:

$$\eta_{t_{TT}} = \eta_{t_{TS}} \left[1 + \frac{k R T_x^{\circ}}{(k+1)(h_i^{\circ} - h_x^{\circ})} \right]_I$$

where:

- $\eta_{t_{TT}}$ = turbine efficiency for total-to-total turbine conditions
- $\eta_{t_{TS}}$ = turbine efficiency for total-to-static turbine conditions
- k = specific heat ratio
- T_x° = total isentropic turbine exit temperature, °R
- h_i° = total turbine inlet enthalpy, Btu/lbm
- h_x° = total turbine exit enthalpy, Btu/lbm
- R = Universal Gas Constant

and the subscript "I" refers to isentropic conditions.

The turbine flow function, $\frac{W_c \sqrt{T_t}}{P_t} = 0.477$

where: W_t = turbine inlet flow, lb/sec

T_t = turbine inlet temperature, °R

P_t = turbine inlet pressure, psia

The moment of inertial of the shaft was taken as 487.77 in² lbm. (Reference: verbal from P. Soo).

4.00 PUMP DISCHARGE LINE

The pump discharge line is described in Figure 14.

Because the check valve has an opening pressure of 1.7 psia, a constant pressure loss of 1.7 psi was assumed. For the rest of the line, a loss coefficient of 2.83 was used.

References: REON Memoranda 7471:M2110 and 7441:5103M

5.0 NOZZLE

The coolant flow area profile of the S/N-23 nozzle is shown in Figure 15.

Other pertinent nozzle data used to define the CFDTS nozzle model are:

<u>Cold Side</u>		<u>Hot Side</u>	
<u>Inches from Exit</u>	<u>Jacket Thickness, in</u>	<u>Inches from Exit</u>	<u>Area, in²</u>
0	1.0	0	598.0
2.5	0.625	10.5	356.3
31.5	0.825	21.0	178.0
49.0	0.875	31.5 (throat)	58.8
52.0	2.00	32.0	59.86
53.7	2.00	32.5	62.2
54.9	0.40	33.5	73.14
62.9	0.40	40.0	180.0
		46.4	368.0
		52.9	2198.0
		62.9	2198.0

The U-tube thickness was constant at 0.014 inches.

Reference: REON Memorandum 7456:M2523

6.0 REFLECTOR, SHIELD, SUPPORT PLATE AND CORE

	Reflector	Shield		Support Plate	Core
		Pass 1	Pass 2		
Total Flow Area, in ²	85.0	81.62	68.4	280.8	231.0
Total Wetted Perimeter, in	1432.0	757.5	547.0	3006.0	9531.0
Total Length, in	53.5	11.85	14.0	5.06	53.5
Void Fraction	0.094	0.0817	0.0638	0.31	0.204

Reference: WANL TME-483

7.0 HOT BLEED SYSTEM

7.1 Diluent Bleed Line

Figure 16 presents the CFDTS diluent bleed line description.

This line was calculated to have a loss coefficient of 10.71. Diluent valve is considered wide open with the C_v of 200 being included in the loss coefficient.

7.2 Hot Bleed Port and Jacketed Line

The dimensions used to define the CFDTS hot bleed port and jacketed line appear in Figure 17. Figure 18 presents the loss coefficients used for each segment of this component. For the bleed port the experimental loss coefficient from the flange to the upstream side of the injection holes was found to be 36.8. The loss coefficients are indicated on Figure 18.

There are 630 injection holes, 0.100 inch ID. The hot side of the jacket line is 120 inches long, 3.87 inch ID. The friction factor is 0.004. The mixing orifice in the hot bleed port has a loss coefficient, as defined by Equation (1), of 2.025.

Reference: REON Memorandum 7471:M2110

8.0 TURBINE INLET LINE AND TPCV

The turbine inlet line for CFDTs appears in Figure 19.

Figure 19

TURBINE INLET LINE

For the line up to the TPCV a loss coefficient, defined by Equation (1), of 3.18 was used. A similar loss coefficient of 0.043 for the line from the TPCV to the turbine was used.

The loss coefficient for the TPCV as a function of the gate position appears in Figure 20.

Reference: REON Memorandum 7471:M2110

9.0 ROLL NOZZLES

Each CFDTIS roll nozzle has a throat diameter of 3.09", giving a total throat flow area of 15 in².

Reference: REON Memorandum 7441:5103M

T = 35°R
ΔT W/F =
75 lb/sec

$$\Delta P = \frac{K \cdot U^2}{2g \cdot A^2} = \frac{K \cdot U^3}{K}$$

$$IK = \frac{K \times 144}{2 \times g \times A^2}$$

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

NERVA MARK III MOD 4 PUMP PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
 NPSP = 2 PSI DENSITY = 4.33 LB/FT³

FIGURE 4

NERVA MARK III MOD IV TURBO PUMP ASSEMBLY PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
 NPSP = 5 PSI DENSITY = 4.33 LB/FT³

FIGURE 5

NERVA MARK III MOD 4 PUMP PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
 PRESSURE RISE VS FLOW RATE
 NPSP = 15 PSI DENSITY = 4.30 LB/FT³

FIGURE 6

NERVA MARK III MOD 4 PUMP PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
 PRESSURE RISE VS FLOW RATE
 NPSP = 35 PSI DENSITY = 4.30 LB/FT³

FIGURE 7

NERVA MARK III MOD 4 PUMP PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
NPSP = 45 - 75 PSI DENSITY = 4.30 LB/FT³

FIGURE 8

NERVA MARK III MOD 4 PUMP PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
 NPSP = 20 PSI DENSITY = 4.30 LB/FT³

FIGURE 9

NERVA MARK III MOD 4 PUMP PREDICTED PERFORMANCE
 PRESSURE RISE VS FLOW RATE
 NPSP = 25 PSI DENSITY = 4.30 LB/FT³

FIGURE 10

FIGURE 11

MARK III MOD 4 TURBOPUMP EFFICIENCY

FIGURE 12

Reference: 7450: M0020

FIG. 15

SN 023 NOZZLE COOLANT FLOW AREA VS AXIAL DISTANCE

FIGURE 17
HOT BLEED SYSTEM
CFDTS DIMENSIONS

NOTE: TOTAL LENGTH OF
JACKETED LINE IS 120
INCHES.

FIGURE 18
HOT BLEED SYSTEM
CFDTS LOSS COEFFICIENTS

NOTE: TOTAL LENGTH OF
JACKETED LINE IS 120
INCHES. LOSS COEFFICIENTS
ARE FOR EACH SEGMENT OF
12 INCHES.