APR 14 1967

MASTER

224

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

operated by

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

NUCLEAR DIVISION

for the

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

ORNL - TM - 1735

NASA CR-72172

RELEASED FOR ANNOUNCEMENT
IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS

A PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING OPTIMUM DIMENSIONS OF ALPHA
RADIOISOTOPE CAPSULES EXPOSED TO VARYING STRESS AND TEMPERATURE

J. P. Nichols
D. R. Winkler

NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REVIEWED,
NO INVENTIONS OF PATENT INTEREST
TO THE A.E.C. ARE DISCLOSED THEREIN.

604/17/67

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

ORNL-TM-1735
NASA CR- 72172

CFTET PRICES

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

H.C. \$ 3.00; MN .65

A PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING OPTIMUM DIMENSIONS OF ALPHA
RADIOISOTOPE CAPSULES EXPOSED TO VARYING STRESS AND TEMPERATURE

J. P. Nichols

D. R. Winkler

RELEASED FOR ANNOUNCEMENT
IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE ABSTRACTS

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights, or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

These evaluation studies at ORNL were supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Lewis Research Center, under an Interagency Agreement with the Atomic Energy Commission - C-64217-A.

APRIL 1967

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	1
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Mathematical Model	2
3.0 CAPSUL Program	7
3.1 Least Squares Analysis of Creep Data (MOPT = 1).	9
3.1.1 LSTSQ	11
3.1.2 MATQ	11
3.1.3 Input Information	11
3.2 Calculation of Capsule Dimensions for Maximum Specific Power (MOPT = 2 or 3).	13
3.2.1 DR	17
3.2.2 WR	18
3.2.3 DF.	18
3.2.4 RZERO	19
3.2.5 LIMIT	19
3.2.6 WHT	20
3.2.7 VSU, CONVERT	20
3.2.8 MAX	20
3.2.9 Input Information	21
3.3 Capsule Lifetime Analysis (MOPT = 4)	22
4.0 Results of Analysis of Creep Data	22
4.1 Predicted Creep Life Functions	23
4.2 Analysis of Errors in Time Extrapolations	30
4.3 Analysis of Constant Stress Rate Tests	36
References	39
Appendix A - Units and Glossary of Symbols	41
Appendix B - Example Problems.	48
Appendix C - CAPSUL Program List	56

A PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING OPTIMUM DIMENSIONS OF ALPHA
RADIOISOTOPE CAPSULES EXPOSED TO VARYING STRESS AND TEMPERATURE

J. P. Nichols
D. R. Winkler

ABSTRACT

A method and computer program were developed for calculating the creep and optimizing the dimensions of capsules filled with alpha-emitting radioisotopes. The method solves an integral equation that was developed assuming linear accumulation of partial creep lives and relating life to time-dependent stress and temperature using the Larson-Miller parameter. The computer program, CAPSUL, is written in Fortran language for the IBM 360/75 computer. The program makes a least squares fit of the creep life function using conventional constant stress, constant temperature creep data. Dimensions of capsules having maximum thermal power per unit of weight, volume, or area are calculated for a given creep life and pressure-temperature history using a numerical Lagrange Multiplier formulation. The program also calculates the life to a prescribed strain for capsules of given dimensions and pressure-temperature history. The method has been used to analyze creep data for the alloys 304 stainless steel, Hastelloy N, Cb-1% Zr, FS-85, and T-222.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In capsules containing alpha-emitting radioisotopes for use in space power packages, it is desirable to provide maximum power per unit of weight, volume, or projected area within the constraints imposed by the need to maintain capsule integrity during normal operation and in the event of one or more accidental conditions. Because of the continuous generation of helium gas, together with decay of the thermal power, such capsules are characterized by time-dependent stress and temperature. Very high initial temperatures cause creep to be an important consideration in the design.

A model and computer program were formulated for calculation of the strain and optimum dimensions of capsules within the desired constraints.

The following sections will describe the model and the computer program and present an analysis of experimental creep data that tend to confirm the model. A glossary of symbols, example problems, and a program list are included as appendices.

2.0 MATHEMATICAL MODEL

We wish to develop a phenomenological model of creep resulting from time-varying stress and temperature in certain metals for which the only available experimental data are ultimate strength properties at low temperatures and constant load, constant temperature creep properties at high temperatures. A precise analysis of the problem requires an equation of state that relates strain rate to stress, temperature, strain, and time. No single equation is available, but approximate equations may be developed for restricted classes of materials. One such equation, which has been substantiated for a number of metals^{1,2} and plastics,³ assumes that the fractional creep life for a given stress and temperature is independent of other fractions sustained under different conditions and that these fractions may be accumulated linearly. Stated mathematically:

$$1 = \int_0^{\Theta} \frac{dt}{\theta[\sigma(t), T(t)]} \quad (1)$$

where

$\theta[\sigma, T]$ = a function, hereafter called the "creep life function,"
that determines the life to a prescribed strain or rupture
for a given stress, σ , and temperature, T ,

t = time since application of the load,

Θ = resultant life to prescribed strain or rupture for time-varying stress and temperature.

A suitable creep life function can be determined by empirically fitting an equation to experimental data for stress as a function of a time-temperature parameter. Several time-temperature parameters, including those of Orr, Sherby, and Dorn; Manson and Haferd; and Larson and Miller, have been evaluated for this purpose; and it was found that the Larson-Miller

parameter provided the most accurate correlation for a wide selection of metals.⁴ Larson and Miller⁵ have related the creep life at a given stress to the absolute temperature by an equation that may be derived from the Arrhenius rate law,

$$T \ln K\theta = \text{constant, Larson-Miller parameter} .$$

The constant K has the physical connotation of "maximum rupture rate." The common logarithm of K is called the Larson-Miller constant and is in the range of 10 to 30 for most metals when time is measured in hours.

The development of the creep life function for the present model is illustrated in Fig. 1. Shown is a typical plot relating the logarithm of measured nominal, uniaxial stress to the Larson-Miller parameter. The creep data are obtained at temperatures generally above one-third the absolute melting temperature by measuring the time to a specified strain or rupture under conditions of constant load (constant nominal stress) and temperature. The data for ultimate strength (or stress for a specified "instantaneous" elastic and plastic strain) as a function of temperature are determined under conditions of constant imposed strain rate which is not necessarily the "natural" strain rate measured in creep experiments. We have assumed that the actual life measured in ultimate strength tests is a good approximation of the equivalent creep life, particularly for those materials which exhibit little strain hardening (nearly constant nominal stress for nominal strain greater than the yield), because (1) the life approximates unity and has little effect on the $K\theta$ product, and (2) the stress is insensitive to the Larson-Miller parameter in the low-temperature range at which the ultimate strength data are used to supplement creep data.

As temperature increases the creep (or time dependent) strain for a given finite life becomes a progressively larger fraction of the total strain and the fractional strain from elastic and "instantaneous" plastic strain becomes progressively more negligible. At high temperatures the logarithm of the applied stress for many materials^{4,5} is a linear function of the Larson-Miller parameter having intercept $\ln \alpha$ and slope m . We have assumed that the creep component of stress, σ_c , has this linear form at all temperatures.

ORNL DWG 66-11005 R1

1. For T large, $\sigma \cong \sigma_c$

$$\ln \frac{\sigma_c}{a} = -m (T \ln K \theta)$$

2. For T small, $\sigma \cong \sigma_u$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sigma_u^\gamma} + \frac{1}{\sigma_c^\gamma}$$

$$\sigma = \left[\frac{a^\gamma \sigma_u^\gamma}{a^\gamma + \sigma_u^\gamma (K \theta)^\gamma m T} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{K} \left(\frac{a}{\sigma \sigma_u} \right)^{\frac{1}{mT}} (\sigma_u^\gamma - \sigma^\gamma)^{\frac{1}{\gamma m T}}$$

Fig. 1. Derivation of $\theta (\sigma, T)$.

At temperatures below approximately one-third the absolute melting temperature the creep strain becomes negligible with respect to the elastic and plastic strain. In this region the stress is generally constant, σ_u , over a large domain of the parameter. This behavior, as well as the behavior at high temperatures, is accommodated by a resultant stress function that is generated by adding reciprocals of the time dependent (creep) and time independent components of stress. An empirically fitted constant, γ , provides for appropriate curvature in the transition region.

The computer program, to be described in the next section, has provision for determination of the constants, α , m , and K by least squares analysis of a set $[\sigma, T, \theta]$ of creep data. The constants σ_u and γ are selected by the investigator by analysis of a curve of ultimate strength as a function of temperature and/or by iteration to determine the best fit of combined ultimate strength-creep data in a time-temperature domain of interest.

The general formulation of the approximate equation of state is obtained by substituting the creep life function (Fig. 1) in Eq. (1) and making provisions for individual safety factors on ultimate strength, S_u , and creep strength, S_c .

$$1 = \kappa \int_0^{\Theta} \left\{ \frac{S_c^\gamma \sigma_u^\gamma \sigma^\gamma(t)}{\alpha^\gamma [\sigma_u^\gamma - S_u^\gamma \sigma^\gamma(t)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma m T(t)}} dt = D \quad (2)$$

In principle, the integral in Eq. (2) can be evaluated numerically for any time behavior of stress and temperature. For radioisotope fuel capsules we have chosen to neglect the effect of strain on the volume of the capsule and reinforcing effects by layers other than the primary structural material. End effects are also neglected since the capsules have length-to-diameter ratio greater than 2. The stress is considered to be the maximum nominal tensile stress, the circumferential stress at the inner wall of the primary container

$$\sigma(t) = \frac{P(t)}{E} \left[\frac{R(4)^2 + R(3)^2}{R(4)^2 - R(3)^2} \right] \quad (3)$$

where

P = pressure in the capsule,

E = weld efficiency,

R(4) = outer radius of primary container,

R(3) = inner radius of primary container.

The time-dependent pressure is calculated from the ideal gas law since the helium gas is well above the critical point. The volume of gas is the void volume at the center of the capsule plus any additional void in the fuel region. The moles of gas are those that are present initially plus those that are formed by decay of the radioisotope.

The heat flux is in transient equilibrium with the power in capsules containing long-lived radioisotopes. Assuming that the overall heat transfer coefficients do not vary appreciably with temperature, the temperature of the helium gas and the container wall vary with time in the following way:

$$T(t) = T_a + (T^0 - T_a)e^{-\lambda t}, \quad (4)$$

where

T^0 = initial temperature,

T_a = ambient temperature,

λ = decay constant.

Explicit formulae for the individual temperatures, volumes, etc., are given in the following section which describes the program, CAPSUL.

Equation (2) reduces to one previously derived by Kennedy⁶ under conditions of high constant temperature and constant stress rate, $\dot{\sigma}$. High temperature implies $\sigma = \dot{\sigma}t \ll \sigma_u$; therefore, if the safety factors are unity, Eq. (2) reduces to:

$$1 = \kappa \int_0^{\Theta} \left(\frac{\dot{\sigma}t}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{mT}} dt = \kappa (\dot{\sigma}/\alpha)^{\frac{1}{mT}} \frac{\frac{1}{mT} + 1}{\frac{1}{mT} + 1}, \quad (5)$$

where $\frac{1}{mT}$ and α/κ^{mT} are the constants "n" and "A" used by Kennedy.

Kennedy,⁶ and later McCoy,^{7,8,9} verified that this equation is valid for several materials (including 304 and 309 stainless steels, T-111,

T-222, and Cb-1% Zr) by comparing creep rupture lives obtained at high constant temperatures and constant stress with those at the same temperature but constant stress rate. These data serve, indirectly, to validate Eq. (2). The use of the CAPSUL program to re-evaluate these constant stress rate experiments by direct numerical integration is described in Sect. 4.3. The adequacy of the model can be tested for other materials and at lower temperatures by performing experiments in which stress and temperature are known functions of time and evaluating the integral in Eq. (2) either analytically or numerically.

3.0 CAPSUL PROGRAM

CAPSUL is a Fortran program for the IBM 360/75 computer. The program calculates the life to a prescribed creep strain and optimum dimensions of alpha radioisotope fuel capsules exposed to varying stress and temperature. The capsules (Fig. 2) are right cylinders with multilayered walls and elliptical end caps. Independent variables are R_0 , the inside radius of the capsule; $X(2)$, the thickness of the fuel layer; and $X(4)$, the thickness of the primary container wall.

The program has eight principal and eleven subsidiary subroutines. LSTSQ determines constants (α , κ , m) in an equation for rupture life (or life to a prescribed strain) as a function of stress and temperature by a least squares fit of creep-ultimate strength data. Once the constants are determined, LSTSQ is normally bypassed for calculations with the same material and design life criterion. The subroutine MAX¹⁰ uses a numerical Lagrange multiplier formulation to find a maximum of one of three thermal power functions (thermal power per unit projected area of a flat array of capsules, per unit volume of a rectangular parallelepiped that encloses a capsule and its auxiliary structural material, or per unit weight of capsule, each calculated in subroutine WR) subject to a time-integrated stress-temperature constraint (subroutine DR) that is dictated by a prescribed rupture or strain life. The subroutine RZERO calculates the allowable inside radius as a function of thermal power, if it is required that the capsule surface temperature not exceed a given value if the capsule is buried in an infinite medium of earth. The subroutine LIMIT examines the

ORNL DWG. 66-8409RI

Fig. 2. Reference Design of Fuel Capsule

dimensions of the optimized capsules for adherence to limits dictated by engineering considerations. If the wall thickness or radius of the capsule is too small or too large, the appropriate dimension is fixed at its nearest limit and MAX or the subroutine DF is used to determine the maximum power function in the remaining variable(s). The subroutine DF calculates a single remaining variable to satisfy the stress-temperature constraint. The program subroutine THETAC calculates the rupture life or life to a prescribed strain of a capsule with specified dimensions. It is used to determine the life of capsules that have been optimized on the basis of another criterion. The subsidiary programs are WHT, which calculates the weight of the fuel capsule; CONVERT and VSU, which convert the capsule dimension variables to and from the MAX nomenclature; and SETUP, GTAIAM, VECT, CONVG, OUTPUT, ARITH, MATQ, and STEP, which are used by MAX. Library subroutines are SQRT, ABS, ALOG, ALOG10, SETFAULT, and EXP.

The main program, CAPSUL, reads and writes all input data, guides the selection of subroutines for prescribed options, and prints pertinent results. The program operates in any of four sequences. Each sequence selection requires a complete complement of data cards and is termed a "case." There is no upper limit on the allowable number of cases per run.

The sequence for a given case is determined by an input integer, MOPT. The first sequence (MOPT = 1) is used to provide a fit of the creep life function (Fig. 1) by a least squares analysis of creep data. The second sequence (MOPT = 2) fits the creep life function and calculates optimum capsule dimensions for a prescribed life. The third sequence (MOPT = 3) calculates optimum capsule dimensions when the constants of the creep life function are given as input. The fourth sequence (MOPT = 4) calculates the life (or safety factor for a prescribed life) of a capsule with given dimensions and material properties. The following sections will describe the formulation of the subroutines for these sequences and provide input information. A list of the program is given in Appendix C.

3.1 Least Squares Analysis of Creep Data (MOPT = 1)

This sequence begins with the reading of the constants MOPT, K, SIGU (σ_u), and GAMMA (γ). Next, the program reads and stores information

from K data cards containing K triples [SIGMA(I), T(I), THETA(I)] of creep and/or ultimate strength data. Values of SIGMA(I) greater than SIGU are not permitted. The main program calls subroutine LSTSQ (which, in turn, calls MATQ) and values of the fitted constants (ALPHA, XM, XKO) are computed. The main program then computes the following quantities:

1. The value of stress, SIGB(I), predicted by the fitted creep life function for each pair [T(I), THETA(I)].
2. The value of life, THETB(I), predicted by the fitted creep life function for each pair [SIGMA(I), T(I)].
3. The Larson-Miller parameter,
 $LMP(I) = T(I) \log_{10} [(XKO)(THETA(I))]$, for each triple of data.
4. DLTH(I), the common logarithm of the ratio THETA(I) to THETB(I).
5. DLSG(I), the common logarithm of the ratio SIGMA(I) to SIGB(I).
6. SELTH, SELSG, and RESIG, the standard errors in the common logarithm of creep life, common logarithm of stress, and relative stress, respectively.

The quantities SELTH, SELSG, and RESIG are calculated as follows:

$$SELTH = \left[\frac{1}{K-3} \sum_{I=1}^K (DLTH(I))^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$SELSG = \left[\frac{1}{K-3} \sum_{I=1}^K (DLSG(I))^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$RESIG = \left[\frac{1}{K-3} \sum_{I=1}^K \left(\frac{SIGMA(I) - SIGB(I)}{SIGB(I)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

The program prints the values K, SIGU, GAMMA, ALPHA, XM, XKO, SELTH, SELSG, and RESIG and the array I, SIGMA(I), T(I), THETA(I), LMP(I), THETB(I), SIGB(I), DLTH(I), DLSG(I).

The program then reads another data card containing the integer K . If the new value of K is greater than the previous value, the program reads an additional number of triples of creep data equal to the difference in the two values of K . These new data are stored together with the previous data and the entire calculational procedure is repeated. This procedure, which permits a sequential analysis of data which are ordered with respect to one of the variables [usually $\text{THETA}(I)$], continues until the program reads a card with $K = 0$. After reading a card with $K = 0$, the program proceeds to the next case.

Execution time of this sequence is less than one minute for analysis of 500 (the maximum allowable) number of triples of creep data that are read in at a single time.

3.1.1 ISTSQ

This subroutine prepares the elements of a matrix equation for determination of the constants $\text{ALPHA}(\alpha)$, $\text{XM}(M)$, and $\text{XKO}(K)$ by a least squares analysis of the creep life function (Fig. 1). The function is linearized by writing it in logarithmic form (see Appendix C). This procedure is an approximation in the sense that the sum of the squares of the residuals of the logarithms are minimized rather than those of the original variables.

3.1.2 MATQ

This subroutine solves the matrix equation $AX = Y$ for X using modified Gaussian elimination (pivotal reduction using column pivots). A CO-OP description of this subroutine is given by Clark and Kam.¹⁰

3.1.3 Input Information

The sequence, $\text{MOPT} = 1$, uses only the data cards of type "a", "b", and "c", shown in Table 1. The cards of type "a" and "b" are followed by K cards of type "c". For sequential analysis the initial set is followed by stacks having a single card of type "b" followed by $K' - K$ cards of type "c". Here, K' and K are the present and immediately preceeding values of K , respectively. The last card of type "c" in a case

Table 1. The Format of Input Data Cards for the CAPSUL Program

Card Type	Format	MOPT	Data
a	3I2	1,2,3,4	MOPT, NMAX, NQ
b	I3,2F10.0	1,2	K, SIGU, GAMMA
c	3F20.0	1,2	SIGMA(I), T(I), THETA(I)
d	8F10.0	2,3,4	GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, TA
e	8F10.0	2,3,4	T8, A, ETA, BETA, DELTA, C, G, TA1
f	E10.3, 2I3	2,3,4	LAMDA, N, NN
g	9F8.0	2,3,4	X(I), I = 1,9
h	9F8.0	2,3,4	P(I), I = 1,9
i	9F8.0	2,3,4	XK(I), I = 2,10
j	3E10.0	3,4	ALPHA, YK, XM
k	4F10.0	2,3	X4U, X4L, R3U, R3L
l	4E20.0	2,3,4	SIGU, SC, SU, PHI
m	4E20.0	2,3	Q, DELRO, DELX2, DELX4
n	4E20.0	2,3	TAU, T81, T82, XLD
o	4E20.0	2,3	RO, X(2), X(4), THET
p	5I4	2,3	IX, NLAM, NITER, ITER, IMOST
q	4F10.0	2,3	G, C, CRIT, ALAM
r	4E20.0	4	Q, DELTH, DELOME, THMAX
s	4E20.0	4	TAU, T81, XLD, RO
t	3E20.0	4	X(2), X(4), THET

is followed by a card of type "b" with $K = 0$. The last case is followed by a card of type "a" with $MOPT = 0$.

3.2 Calculation of Capsule Dimensions for Maximum Specific Power ($MOPT = 2$ or 3)

The sequence begins by reading and writing $MOPT$, $NMAX$, and NQ plus 46 normally unchanging constants. If $MOPT = 2$, the program calls the entire sequence for $MOPT = 1$ to generate the three constants in the creep life function from experimental data; if $MOPT = 3$, these constants are read in and written. The program then reads and writes an additional 29 constants. Next, the program iterates (calling VSU and DR) to modify the initial estimates of $X(2)$ and $X(4)$ such that the constraining function is approximately satisfied ($0.3 \leq D \leq 4.0$). The iteration proceeds by multiplying the previous value of $X(2)$ by 0.95 if D is too large or by multiplying the previous $X(2)$ by 1.05 and the previous $X(4)$ by 0.95 if D is too small. The calculation stops, prints pertinent data, and proceeds to the next case if an appropriate value of D is not determined in 100 iterations.

The subroutine VSU generates a set of independent variables [$ALF(K)$ and their increments, $DEL(K)$ and $WEL(K)$] for the MAX format from the capsule-dimension variables. The set of capsule-dimension variables to be used in a case is determined by the integer $NMAX$.

$NMAX = 1$	Variables are $R0$, $X(2)$, and $X(4)$.
$NMAX = 2$	Variables are $R0$ and $X(2)$.
$NMAX = 3$	The outer radius $R(8)$ is specified. This option is used to generate capsules with given outside dimensions.
$NMAX = 4$	The radius $R(3)$ is specified.
$NMAX = 5$	The radius $R0$ is to be computed by the subroutine $RZERO$, assuming that the capsule is buried in an infinite medium.

The subroutine DR performs a numerical integration of Eq. (2) and generates a value of the constraining function D for a current set of variables. The subroutine DR calls the subroutine CONVERT if $NMAX \neq 0$ and $NQ \neq 0$. The subroutine CONVERT reconverts the MAX variables to capsule dimensions, depending on the value of NMAX. If $NMAX = 5$, the subroutine CONVERT calls the subroutine RZERO to generate a burial-limited value of RO that is compatible with the current values of $X(2)$ and $X(4)$.

The main program calls the subroutine MAX if values of the variables are determined that approximately satisfy the constraining equation. MAX uses a numerical Lagrange multiplier formulation to find stationary values of the function W subject to the constraining equation $D = C$ (C is the constrained value). The numerical technique seeks a minimum in a defined function YSQ by making successive linear approximations along the path of steepest descent. The function YSQ is the square of a vector that is zero when the constraining equation is satisfied and the gradients of the functions D and W are parallel, the condition for a local maximum or minimum in the function W. The function W is to be maximized in the present calculation; the minimum value is zero if $X(2) = 0$. The calculation proceeds by making outer and inner iterations. The outer iterations (counted by M) are steps in the domain of the function YSQ resulting from the linear approximation. Inner iterations (counted by LSTOP) prevent overstepping which might result in a divergent sequence.

The subroutine MAX calls the subroutines DR and WR to generate values of the constraining function D and the thermal power function W for use in tests and numerical computation of derivatives. The function W for a given case is chosen by the input integer NQ:

$NQ = 1$	Thermal power per unit of projected area
($W = W1$).
$NQ = 2$	Thermal power per unit volume of a circumscribed rectangular parallelepiped ($W = W2$).
$NQ = 3$	Thermal power per unit of weight ($W = W3$).

After each iteration, MAX writes current values of the following quantities:

M	Number of the outer iteration.
D	Value of the constraining function.
W	Value of the thermal power function (W_1 , W_2 , or W_3 , depending on NQ) that is to be maximized.
YSQ	The function which has a value of zero at the desired solution point. The square of a vector Y .
Z	$YSQ \cdot C^2$ (equal to YSQ for $C = 1$).
ISTOP	Number of the inner iteration within the outer iteration M .
$Y(K)$	Components of the solution vector Y .
$ALF(K)$, $K = 1, NR$	Values of the independent variables and the Lagrange multiplier.
$R(I)$, $I = 0, 9$	Outer radius of the nine regions of the capsule.
L	Length of the capsule.
AV2	Thermal power of the capsule.
W_1, W_2, W_3	The specific thermal power functions.
WT	Weight of the capsule.

The MAX calculation stops when either the function YSQ becomes smaller than a prescribed convergence criterion, $(CRIT)^2$, or a prescribed number of inner ($M \leq ITER$) and outer ($IMOST \leq ISTOP$) iterations is exceeded. It is recommended that the present type of calculation be stopped by the number of iterations since it is very difficult to predict an acceptable maximum value of the solution vector. The selection of a maximum allowable number of iterations has one disadvantage; it is often the case that variables determined in other than the last iteration provide a better solution of the problem. Since the properties of the capsule are completely described after each iteration, the "best" set of dimensions can be

determined by reviewing the printed matter and selecting the iteration for which (1) the constraint $D = 1.00$ is approximately satisfied, (2) the value W is maximum, and (3) YSQ is minimum.

When the MAX calculation stops, control is returned to the main program. The last set of calculated dimensions are then examined by the subroutine LIMIT to determine if the variables $R(3)$ and $X(4)$ are within the preselected domains $R3L \leq R(3) \leq R3U$ and $X4L \leq X(4) \leq X4U$. If the variables [first $X(4)$, then $R(3)$] are too small or too large, the appropriate dimension is fixed at its nearest limit; NMAX is changed to reflect a decrease in the number of independent variables; and control is returned to the main program. If the previous value of NMAX was 1 (new value 2 or 4), the entire calculation is repeated (starting with the iteration to determine suitable values of the variables and proceeding into the MAX subroutine) using the last computed values of $R0$ and $X(4)$ or $X(2)$ and $X(4)$ as initial estimates. If the previous value of NMAX was 2, 3, 4, or 5, the routine DF is called to calculate a value of the single remaining independent variable, $X(2)$, that satisfies the constraining equation, $DH = 1.00$. When the calculation is completed, the main program writes a final list of the variables; these numbers are different from those computed in the last MAX iteration only if the program DF has been called.

The MAX calculational procedure does not insure convergence to the constrained maximum value of the function W . If the investigator is unsure of the neighborhood of the solution point, he should submit several cases with different initial estimates of the variables. If results are erratic, the tolerance limits on the functions (Φ and Q) may not be sufficiently small. Increments in the variables ($DELRO$, $DELX2$, and $DELX4$) must be chosen such as to cause only small changes in the functions.

The execution time of this sequence for 100 iterations (inner plus outer) with 100 initial increments (N) in the domain of integration is approximately 3.5 minutes. The execution time is approximately proportional to the product of ITER and N .

3.2.1 DR

Subroutine DR calculates the value, DH, of the constraining function, D, using Simpson's rule with N increments. An abbreviated formulation of the function, the more important internal dependent variables (the integrand and stress and temperature functions) follows. More specific formulations are relegated to the list of the subroutine (Appendix C). Symbols are defined in Appendix A.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{TERM} \\
 & \text{DH} = \int_{\text{TNIIT}}^{\text{TERM}} F \, dt \\
 & F = \kappa \left[\frac{S_c \sigma_u \sigma}{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{mT^4}} \left[\sigma_u^\gamma - S_u^\gamma \sigma^\gamma \right]^{-\frac{1}{\gamma m T^4}} \\
 & \sigma = \frac{RR}{E(V0 + \eta \cdot V2)} \left[\frac{R(4)^2 + R(3)^2}{R(4)^2 - R(3)^2} \right] \left[\frac{PS(V0 + \eta V2)}{RR \cdot TS} + \frac{H \cdot V2 \cdot P(2)}{XM} (1 - e^{-\lambda \cdot T}) \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left[TA + (T0 - TA)e^{-\lambda \cdot T} \right] \\
 & T4 = TA + (T40 - TA)e^{-\lambda T} \\
 & T40 = T8 + \frac{AV2}{2\pi \cdot I2} \sum_{J=4}^8 \frac{1}{XK(J)} \left[\frac{R(J)}{R(J+1)} \right] \\
 & T0 = T40 + \frac{AV2}{2\pi \cdot I2 \cdot XK(3)} \ln \frac{R(3)}{R(2)} + \frac{A}{4 \cdot XK(2)} \left[R(2)^2 - R(1)^2 - 2 \cdot R(1)^2 \ln \frac{R(2)}{R(1)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

DR doubles the number of increments in the domain of integration a maximum of 16 times to satisfy a convergence criterion, PHI. The input number PHI is compared to the ratio of the difference in the last two values of D to the last value. The statement "failed to converge" is

written if 16N increments are not sufficient. The library subroutine SETFAULT is used to set exponential underflows to zero.

The subroutine DR will accommodate functions other than those for which it is primarily intended (constant stress and/or temperature and constant derivatives of stress) by such devices as redefining the constants, setting $T8 = TA$, and dropping terms by setting leading constants equal to zero. In making such formulations, one must avoid negative arguments of logarithms (including values that are to be raised to a power) and division by zero.

3.2.2 WR

This subroutine calculates values of one of three specific thermal power functions for use as the function W in MAX. Choice of the function is determined by the current value of NQ. If the subroutine is called with $NQ = 0$, the values of all three thermal power functions are calculated. If $NQ = 3$, the subroutine calls subroutine WHT to calculate the capsule weight. The three functions are:

$$W1 = \frac{AV2}{4 \cdot X1D \cdot R(8) \cdot [R(8) + BETA]}$$

$$W2 = \frac{AV2}{8 \cdot X1D \cdot R(8) \cdot [R(8) + BETA] \cdot [R(8) + DELTA]}$$

$$W3 = \frac{AV2}{\sum_{I=1}^9 V(I) \cdot P(I)}$$

3.2.3 DF

This subroutine uses Newton's method to calculate a value of $X(2)$ to satisfy the constraint $D = 1$. The calculation proceeds until $D - 1 \leq Q$.

3.2.4 RZERO

This subroutine calculates R0 from the following equation that relates the outside radius of a cylindrical capsule buried in an infinite conducting medium to the thermal power and temperature difference (difference between the maximum capsule surface temperature and ambient temperature of the conducting medium).

$$R0 + \sum_{J=1}^8 x(J) = \frac{AV2 \cdot \sinh^{-1}(XLD)}{4\pi \cdot XK(10) \cdot XLD \cdot (T82 - TA)}$$

In this equation AV2 (see list for subroutine WR) is a function of R0. The variable R0 is initially estimated by an approximate formula and then calculated by iteration using Newton's method until the relative change in AV2 is less than 10^{-6} or 10 iterations have occurred. Convergence is normally accomplished in less than five iterations because the initial approximation is good and the derivatives are computed analytically. If the current values of the variables X(2) and X(4) are such that R0 is negative, R0 is set equal to 0.2.

3.2.5 LIMIT

This subroutine examines the last set of variables computed by MAX to determine if X(4) and R(3) are within the preselected domains $X4L \leq X(4) \leq X4U$ and $R3L \leq R(3) \leq R3U$. If the current value of NMAX is 1 and X(4) is too large or too small, the variable X(4) is set at its nearest limit and the entire MOPT sequence is repeated for NMAX = 2. If the current value of NMAX is 1 and $X4L \leq X(4) \leq X4U$, but R(3) is too large or too small, the variable R(3) is set at its nearest limit and the calculational sequence is repeated for NMAX = 4. If the current value of NMAX is 2 and R(3) is too large or too small, R(3) is set at its nearest limit and the independent variable X(2) is calculated to satisfy the constraint D = 1.00. If the current value of NMAX is 3, 4, or 5 and X(4) is too large or too small, X(4) is set at its nearest limit and X(2) is, again, calculated by the subroutine DF.

3.2.6 WHT

This subroutine calculates the radii, length, and weight of the fuel capsule using the current values of the variables R_0 , $X(2)$, and $X(4)$. The weight, WT , is calculated as the sum of the product of volume and density of each region.

3.2.7 VSU, CONVERT

These two subroutines convert the capsule dimension variables to and from the variables used in MAX. Depending on the current value of $NMAX$, VSU generates the variables $ALF(K)$ from R_0 , $X(2)$, and/or $X(4)$ and the increments $DEL(K) = WEL(K)$ from $DELRO$, $DELX2$, and/or $DELX4$. CONVERT reconverts to capsule dimensions and calls $RZERO$ if $NMAX = 5$.

3.2.8 MAX

The MAX program, used as a subroutine in the CAPSUL program, was written by F. H. S. Clark and F. B. K. Kam of ORNL. The reader is referred to their report¹⁰ or to the program list (Appendix C) for detailed information. The following will describe the sequence of calculations in MAX and a few changes that were made in the program for use in CAPSUL.

The main routine MAX begins by computing numbers that are to be used as convergence criteria and setting the outer iteration index M equal to one. Subroutine SETUP is then called. SETUP, which calls the subroutines DR and WR, produces values of the functions D and W and all their first and second derivatives at the current trial set of independent variables, $ALF(K)$. When control returns from SETUP to MAX, a test is made on the input number $NIAM$. If $NIAM = 0$, the subroutine GTALAM is called to generate an initial estimate of $AIAM$, the Lagrange multiplier. A value of $NIAM$ other than zero signals that the initial estimate of $AIAM$ has been provided as input. Subroutine VECT is called next to generate components of the solution vector Y .

Subroutine CONVG is next called. This subroutine tests to determine if YSQ is less than the product of G (< 1) and its value in the previous iteration. If either (1) M is equal to one, (2) YSQ is less than the computed product, or (3) the input integer $IMOST$ is equal to zero (a

change), an index, JWAY, is set equal to one. If these criteria are not satisfied, JWAY is set equal to zero and the components of the step that was last made in the domain of the function Y are multiplied by 0.5. The index JWAY is set equal to -1 if the number of these (JWAY = 0) inner iterations has exceeded the input integer, IMOST.

After CONVG, subroutine OUTPUT writes current values of the pertinent indices, variables, and functions and returns control to MAX. The MAX program then tests the index, JWAY, to determine whether to stop the case and proceed to the next one (JWAY = 1), to try an inner iteration with a reduced step in the domain of the function (JWAY = 0), or to proceed with convergence tests (JWAY = 1). If JWAY = 0, the function YSQ is reevaluated with the reduced increments until either the conditions for JWAY = 1 or -1 are met.

If JWAY = 1, tests are made to determine if the trial solution is converged or if the prescribed number (ITER) of outer iterations in M have been made. If either of these questions is answered affirmatively, the calculation is halted and input for the next case is called. Otherwise, subroutine ARITH is called. In this subroutine elements of a matrix (A) are evaluated at the new trial point. Next, subroutine MATQ is called. This solves for X the matrix equation $(A)X = Y$. The index M is increased by one and subroutine STEP is called, with subsequent operations following as previously described.

3.2.9 Input Information

The sequence for MOPT = 2 or 3 requires, in order, one each of the data cards "a" and "d" through "i" (Table 1). For MOPT = 2, these initial cards are followed by cards of type "b" and "c", stacked in the same order as for MOPT = 1; again, the last card of type "c" is followed by a card "b" with K = 0. The next card, of type "j", is included only if MOPT = 3. One each of the remaining data cards of type "k" through "q" then follows for either MOPT = 2 or 3. The last case is followed by a card of type "a" with MOPT = 0. The input constants T81, TA1, and NN are not used for MOPT = 2 or 3; consequently, these fields may be left blank.

3.3 Capsule Lifetime Analysis (MOPT = 4)

This sequence begins by reading and writing 67 input constants, the first of which is MOPT (NMAX and NQ must be zero). The program then calls the subroutine THETAC. This subroutine uses the subroutine DR and Newton's method with an initial estimate of life, THET, to calculate a value of the resultant life, THETA, that satisfies the constraint $D = 1.00$. In this case, D is the sum of two integrals. The first integral, over the time domain from zero to the input number TAU, uses the value T8 as the initial steady state temperature of the outer surface of the capsule and TA as the temperature of the environment. The second integral, over the time period TAU to THET, uses T81 as the initial (time zero) steady state temperature of the surface of the capsule and TA1 as the temperature of the environment. A value of TAU = 0 sets the first integral equal to zero.

If, on any iteration, THET is larger than an input constant, THMAX, and D is less than one, THET is set equal to THMAX and a new variable, OMEGA, is computed by Newton's method to satisfy the constraint $D = 1$. OMEGA is a number that multiplies the safety factors SC and SU.

After each iteration, the program writes the current values of either THETA, D, and the contribution of the second integral to D; or THMAX, D, and OMEGA. The calculation stops and returns control to the main program when $D - 1$ is less than the input number, Q.

Execution time of the program with $N = NN = 100$ is generally less than 20 seconds.

The sequence for MOPT = 4 requires, in order, one each of the data cards "a", "d" through "j", "l", and "r" through "t" (Table 1). The last case is followed by a card of type "a" with MOPT = 0.

4.0 RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF CREEP DATA

Creep data for several alloys have been analyzed to confirm the applicability of the model used in the CAPSUL program. The following sections will present a statistical analysis of the predicted creep life functions for three commercial materials, an analysis of the errors in time extrapolations, and results obtained in predicting constant stress rate data from conventional, constant stress, creep data.

4.1 Predicted Creep Life Functions

Creep life functions for three commercial alloys (304 stainless steel, Hastelloy N, and Cb-1% Zr) were generated using the MOPT = 1 option of the CAPSUL program. The fitted creep life function for rupture of 304 stainless steel (Fig. 3) was made using 189 reduced creep data points¹¹ for 18 heats of bar and plate, covering the temperature domain from 900 to 1700°F and rupture life to 100,000 hr. The reported data, at decade intervals, were generated by interpolation or extrapolation of the larger body of experimental creep data for a given heat of material and temperature. Only three of the 100,000-hr data points were obtained from experiments terminated at approximately 100,000 hr. The other thirteen data points were obtained by extrapolation of data from experiments terminated at earlier times in the 10,000 to 100,000-hr decade. The constants γ and σ_u were chosen prior to the least squares analysis to force a fit of the ultimate strength vs temperature (0.1-hr rupture) data in the temperature domain above 400°F. A parametric plot of the derived creep rupture function (Fig. 4) shows that the fit is good over the entire range of the variables. The frequency distribution of the error (Fig. 5) in the logarithm of the measured life and stress with respect to values predicted by the fitted function is approximately gaussian. The distribution of the error in relative stress is also approximately normal; the relative standard error in stress is 0.17 (68% confidence level).

The fitted creep life function (Fig. 6) for rupture of Hastelloy N (INOR-8) was made using 93 data points^{12,13} for five heats of rods, sheet, and plate, covering the domains in temperature from 1100 to 1800°F and rupture life to 14,400 hr. A parametric plot of the predicted function and data at four temperatures is shown in Fig. 7. In this case, the distribution of error (Fig. 8) is not gaussian, but the frequency peaks on both sides of the fitted function. This phenomenon is explained by the fact that approximately half of the data were obtained with the commercial Hastelloy N alloy which is somewhat stronger than the initial version of the alloy, which was called INOR-8. If it is assumed that the frequency distribution is gaussian, the relative standard error in stress is 0.16.

Fig. 3. Master Larson-Miller Plot for Creep Rupture in 304 Stainless Steel. 18 heats. 189 data points. $1360 \leq T \leq 2160$. $10 \leq \theta \leq 100,000$.

ORNL DWG 66-11000

Fig. 4. Parametric Plot of the Derived Creep Rupture Function for 304 Stainless Steel Compared with Experimental Data. 18 heats of bar and plate. $1360 \leq T \leq 2160$. $\theta \leq 100,000$ hr.

Fig. 5. Distribution of the Error in the Logarithm of Measured Rupture Life and Stress for 304 Stainless Steel with Respect to Values Predicted by a Least-Squares Fit of the Creep Rupture Function. Area normalized to 1.00.

ORNL DWG 66-10999

Fig. 6. Master Larson-Miller Plot for Creep Rupture in Hastelloy N. 5 heats. 93 data points. $1560 \leq T \leq 2260$. $\theta \leq 14,400$ hr.

ORNL DWG. 66-11004

Fig. 7. Parametric Plot of the Derived Creep Rupture Function, $\theta(\sigma, T)$, for INOR-8 Compared with Experimental Data. The function was determined by a least-squares fit of an assumed functional type using 93 data points measured with 5 heats at 7 temperatures over a rupture life range of 0.5 to 14,000 hr.

Fig. 8. Distribution of the Error in Measured Rupture Life and Stress for Hastelloy N with Respect to Values Predicted by a Least-Squares Fit of the Creep Rupture Function. Area normalized to 1.00.

The creep life function for rupture of Cb-1% Zr (Fig. 9) was generated using data^{14,15} from 25 heats of sheet, bar, and plate, covering the temperature domain from 1600 to 2200°F and rupture life to 1733 hours. The abnormally large amount of scatter in the data, shown in the parametric plot (Fig. 10), is caused, primarily, by changes that were made in the composition and heat treatment of the alloy that resulted, accidentally or deliberately, between the periods of testing. The frequency distribution of the error (Fig. 11) is approximately gaussian. The relative standard error in stress is 0.14.

The analysis of these creep data indicates that the general creep life function chosen for the model can provide a good description of constant stress, constant temperature creep behavior in 304 stainless steel, Hastelloy N, and Cb-1% Zr. Based on the results of others^{4,5} who have correlated creep data with the Larson-Miller parameter (but, generally not extending the fit into the ultimate strength range), we assume that the same conclusion will apply to many other metals and alloys (probably including most alloys of copper, nickel, iron, aluminum, and the refractory metals). It is apparent from the analysis that the ultimate strength data are useful for complementing the creep data in the high-stress, low-temperature region.

The parametric plots of the fitted functions and data show that the distribution of data with respect to the fitted functions tends to be random in that the relative error does not vary significantly over the domain of the variables. In general, we have found that the frequency distribution function is most nearly gaussian when either one heat or many heats of an alloy are analyzed. There may be significant deviation from gaussian behavior if only a few heats of material, of varying properties, are analyzed.

4.2 Analysis of Errors in Time Extrapolations

The accuracy of the model for extrapolation of creep life in the three commercial alloys was investigated by making fits of constant stress and temperature creep data with rupture life less than a selected time; using these fitted functions to predict the stress as a function of

ORNL DWG. 66-10996

Fig. 9. Master Larson-Miller Plot for Creep Rupture in Cb-1% Zr. 25 heats. $2060 \leq T \leq 2660$. $\theta \leq 1733$ hr.

Fig. 10. Parametric Plot of the Derived Creep Rupture Function of Cb--1% Zr Alloy Compared with Experimental Data. 25 heats. 104 data points. $2060 \leq T \leq 2660$. $\theta \leq 1733$. ORNL data points closed; Pratt & Whitney data points open.

ORNL DWG 67-66

Fig. 11. Distribution of the Error in the Logarithm of Measured Rupture Life and Stress for Cb-1% Zr with Respect to Values Predicted by a Least-Squares Fit of the Creep Rupture Function. Area normalized to 1.00.

temperature to cause rupture at a greater time; and comparing the predicted stress with the actual average stress which caused failure at the greater time. The accuracy of the extrapolation, expressed in terms of the maximum relative error (or bias) in the predicted stress as a function of temperature, is then compared to the standard error (error at 67 percent confidence level since the distribution of stress data is approximately gaussian) in relative stress caused by the normal scatter of data about the predicted best-fit function. The model is then known to provide for adequate extrapolation of creep within the time range such that the bias in the predicted stress is small as compared to the standard error.

The first column of Table 2 shows the material, number of heats, and temperature range of the creep data that were analyzed. The next two columns show the values of the selected times and the corresponding number of data points (with rupture life less than the selected time) for which best-fit functions were evaluated. The fourth column shows the values of rupture life for which stress as a function of temperature was predicted by the model. The fifth column shows the standard error in relative stress for each of best-fit functions. The last column shows the maximum bias in the predicted stress, defined as the ratio of the maximum difference between the predicted and mean-measured stress to the mean-measured stress within the temperature range of the experiments.

Two very striking, but tenuous, conclusions may be drawn based on the results of Table 2.

1. It appears that, for a large number of metals, the present creep model can provide a fit of creep data such that the standard error in relative stress will not exceed 15 to 17 percent. Knowledge of the statistics of the fit and the frequency distribution function will permit the choice of a design stress for a predetermined confidence level.
2. In each of the commercial alloys analyzed, the bias in predicted stress for a long-time extrapolation becomes small, using only 100 to 200-hr creep data. For these alloys, the creep data for times greater than 100 to 200 hours is superfluous. The data seem to suggest that if

Table 2. Statistics and Predictability of Creep Rupture Data for Several Alloys

Material No. of Heats Temp. Domain, °F	No. of Data Points	Maximum Lifetime in Data (hr)	Life to be Predicted (hr)	Relative Standard Error in Stress	Maximum Absolute Relative Bias in Predicted Stress
304 Stainless Steel ^a 18 heats 900 ≤ T ≤ 1700	15	10	100,000	0.03	-1.0
	63	100	100,000	0.13	-0.10
	123	1,000	100,000	0.14	-0.09
	173	10,000	100,000	0.16	-0.05
	189	100,000	100,000	0.17	0.0
Hastelloy N 5 heats 1100 ≤ T ≤ 1800	26	100	10,000	0.16	0.2
	40	200	10,000	0.16	0.08
	55	500	10,000	0.17	-0.04
	69	1,000	10,000	0.16	-0.02
	81	2,000	10,000	0.16	0.008
	93	15,000	10,000	0.16	0.0
Cb - 1% Zr 25 heats 1600 ≤ T ≤ 2200	49	80	1,500	0.09	0.25
	66	150	1,500	0.10	0.07
	83	300	1,500	0.12	-0.04
	92	750	1,500	0.13	0.05
	104	1,800	1,500	0.14	0.0

^aNote use of previously reduced data described on p. 23.

creep data are available that span two to three decades in time (1 to 200 hours, for example), then a fitted function can be determined that will permit extremely long extrapolations in time with the error of the extrapolation being less than the normal scatter in moderate-time creep rupture data. This conclusion assumes, of course, that the long-term environment is the same as the test environment.

The data also suggest a possible method for avoiding experiments of unnecessarily long term in creep determinations. The creep program would begin by generating first short time; then, progressively, longer time data. The composite data would then be fitted, sequentially, as each new, longer-time data point is generated; and the fitted function would be used to predict the conditions to cause failure (or a given strain) at a very long time (perhaps 100,000 hours). The creep program would be terminated when sufficient data are generated such that sequential data cause small and random (as opposed to monotonic) changes in the predicted conditions to cause long-term failure.

4.3 Analysis of Constant Stress Rate Tests

The accuracy of the model in predicting creep, under conditions of varying stress, was investigated by analyzing constant stress rate tests with the CAPSUL program. The time to rupture of the alloy T-222 and time to 1 percent strain of the alloy FS-85 exposed to a constantly increasing stress rate and constant temperature were calculated (Fig. 12) using a creep life function that was generated using conventional creep and ultimate strength data^{9,16} over a wide range of stress and temperature. The predicted stress rates agree with the measured data for the alloy T-222 within experimental error. Agreement with the FS-85 data is satisfactory, but it appears that the predicted results are biased at the particular temperature at which the experiments were conducted.

McCoy⁸ has analyzed these same creep data using a simpler, but less general, model. McCoy fitted conventional creep data, at the specific temperature of interest, by assuming that the logarithm of stress is linearly related to the logarithm of the creep life, an assumption that

ORNL DWG 67-64

Fig. 12. Predicted and Observed Creep Behavior of T-222 and FS-85 at a Constant Stress Rate and Constant Temperature.

is equivalent to the assumption used in the present method if the temperature is high. McCoy's predictions are also good, but no better than those predicted by the present method in spite of the fact that his model was based on data only for the specific temperature of interest. McCoy required two equations to provide a good fit of the FS-85 data over the stress rate domain of the experiments.

The procedure for determining the 1-percent-creep function for the FS-85 is shown as an example of the $MOPT = 1$ sequence of the CAPSUL program in Appendix B. Also shown is one of the constant stress rate calculations for rupture of the T-222 alloy as an example of the $MOPT = 4$ sequence.

REFERENCES

1. E. L. Robinson, Trans. Am. Soc. Mech. Engrs. 74(5), 778-81 (1952).
2. S. V. Serenson and V. S. Strelayev, "Creep Resistance and Low-Cycle Fatigue of Fibreglass Plastics," pp. 3-93 to 3-98 in Proceedings of Joint International Conference on Creep, Institute of Mechanical Engineers, 1963.
3. A. J. Kennedy, Processes of Creep and Fatigue in Metals, Wiley, New York, 1963, pp. 402-28.
4. E. C. Larke and N. P. Inglis, "A Critical Examination of Some Methods of Analyzing and Extrapolating Stress-Rupture Data," pp. 6-33 to 6-47 in Proceedings of Joint International Conference on Creep, Institute of Mechanical Engineers, 1963.
5. F. R. Larson and J. Miller, Trans. Am. Soc. Mech. Engrs. 74(5), 765-75 (1952).
6. C. R. Kennedy, Metals and Ceramics Div. Ann. Progr. Rept. May 31, 1962, ORNL-3313, pp. 44-45.
7. H. E. McCoy, Metals and Ceramics Div. Ann. Progr. Rept. June 30, 1965, ORNL-3870, pp. 122-23.
8. H. E. McCoy, "Creep-Rupture Properties Under Conditions of Constant and Varying Stresses," Nucl. Appl. 2(6), 481-84 (1966).
9. H. E. McCoy, Creep Rupture Properties of the Tantalum-Base Alloy T-222, ORNL-TM-1576 (Sept. 1966).
10. F. H. S. Clark and F. B. K. Kam, A Generalized One-Constraint Lagrange Multiplier Numerical Formulation, ORNL-3742 Rev. (June, 1965).
11. W. F. Simmons and J. A. Van Echo, The Elevated Temperature Properties of Stainless Steels, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, Pa., 1965.
12. R. W. Swindeman, The Mechanical Properties of INOR-8, ORNL-2780 (Jan., 1961).

REFERENCES (contd)

13. J. T. Venard, Tensile and Creep Properties of INOR-8 for the Molten Salt Reactor Experiment, ORNL-TM-1017 (February, 1965).
14. H. E. McCoy, Creep Properties of the Nb-1% Zr Alloy, ORNL-TM-905 (August, 1964).
15. Creep Rupture Data for Cb-1 Zr Alloy, CNLM-4267, Pratt and Whitney (Sept. 1962).
16. R. L. Stephenson, Creep-Rupture Properties of FS-85 Alloy and Their Response to Heat Treatment, ORNL-TM-1456 (July 1966).

APPENDIX A

Units and Glossary of Symbols

Units

Length	-	inch
Mass	-	pound
Time	-	hour
Temperature	-	degrees Rankine
Heat	-	Btu

Symbols

A, AO, A2	Thermal power per unit of fuel region.
ALAM, ALF(IX + 1)	The Lagrange multiplier.
ALF(K), K = 1,19	Independent variables in MAX.
ALPHA, α	The fitted value of creep stress for a Larson-Miller parameter of zero.
AV2	Thermal power of the fuel region.
BETA	One-half the surface-to-surface distance between parallel columns of capsules.
C	Constrained value of DH.
CRIT	An input value of a convergence criterion for MAX.
D, DH	Value of the constraining function, Eq. (2).
DEL(K), K = 1,19	The increment in the variables ALF(K) in calculating DH.
DELOME	The increment in OMEGA.
DELTA	Thickness of the structural liner surrounding the capsule.
DELRO	The increment in RO.

DELTH	The increment of THET.
DELX2	The increment in X(2).
DELX4	The increment in X(4).
DISG(I), I = 1,500	The common logarithm of SIGMA(I)/SIGB(I).
DLTH(I), I = 1,500	The common logarithm of THETA(I)/THETB(I).
E	Capsule weld efficiency.
ETA	Volume fraction of gas space in the fuel region.
F	Integrand of the constraining function.
G	A number slightly less than 1.0 in the convergence criterion for MAX.
GAMMA, γ	A constant in F, usually 1.0 or 2.0.
H	Weight fraction of alpha-emitting isotope in the fuel.
I	An index.
ITER	Maximum number of outer iterations in MAX.
IX	Number of independent variables in MAX.
J	An index.
JAM	An integer that counts and limits to 100 the number of iterations to adjust input estimates of X(2) and X(4) such that $0.3 \leq DH \leq 4.0$.
K	Maximum number of data points in LSTSQ. Elsewhere an index.
L	An index in THETAC. Overall length of the fuel capsule in the main program.
L2	Length of fueled section of capsule.
L4	Length of the straight section of the capsule.
LAMDA, λ	Decay constant of the radioisotope.

LMOST	Maximum number of inner iterations in MAX.
LMP(I), I = 1,500	Value of the Larson-Miller parameter.
LSTOP	An index which counts inner iterations in MAX.
M	An index which counts outer iterations in MAX. An index in RZERO.
MOPT	An input index which decides the sequence to use in the main program
N, NN	The initial number of (even) increments for Simpson's rule integration in DR. If MOPT = 2 or 3, N is the initial number of increments in the time domain 0.0 to THET. If MOPT = 4, N is the initial number of increments in the domain 0.0 to TAU and NN is the initial number of increments in the domain TAU to THET.
NITER	An input index to determine if a convergence criterion is to be used in MAX.
NLAM	An input index to determine if GTALAM is to be used to estimate the initial value of the Lagrange multiplier.
NMAX	An input index that specifies the set of independent capsule dimension variables that are subject to optimization.
NNN	An index that causes additional creep data cards to be read and analyzed by LSTSQ together with data previously in storage.
NQ	An input index that decides the function to be maximized.
NR	The index of the Lagrange multiplier, IX + 1.
OMEGA, XK(1)	A number that multiplies the safety factors SC and SU in THETAC to satisfy DH = 1.0 if THET > THMAX.
P(I), I = 1,9	Density of the material in region I of the capsule.
PHI	An input convergence criterion for the Simpson's Rule integration in DR.

PS	Initial pressure in the fuel capsule.
Q	An input convergence criterion on DH for use in the subroutines DF and THETAC.
R(I), I = 1,8	Outer radius of region I of the capsule.
RESIG	The standard error in relative stress for the fitted creep life function.
RK	YSQ·G, a test quantity in MAX.
RO	The outer radius of the void region of the capsule.
R3L	The imposed lower limit for the outer radius of region 3 of the capsule.
R3U	The imposed upper limit for the outer radius of region 3 of the capsule.
SC, S_c	The safety factor on creep stress.
SELTH	The standard error in the common logarithm of creep life for the fitted creep life function.
SELSG	The standard error in the common logarithm of stress for the fitted creep life function.
SIGB(I), I = 1,500, σ	The value of stress predicted by the fitted creep life function for the values T(I) and THETA(I).
SIGMA(I), I = 1,500	The measured value of stress in the input creep data.
SIGT	The current value of stress as a function of time in DR.
SIGU, σ_u	An imposed upper limit on stress as a function of the Larson-Miller parameter.
SU, S_u	The safety factor on SIGU.
T, t	Time since application of the stress.
T(I), I = 1,500	The measured value of absolute temperature in the creep data.
TA	Ambient temperature of the earth (MOPT = 2 or 3) and the first environment (MOPT = 4).

TA1	Ambient temperature of the second environment (MOPT = 4), see T81.
TAU	Lifetime in the initial service environment for use in THETAC.
TERM	The upper limit of the integral in DR either TAU or THET.
THET, THETA, Θ	Resultant life of the fuel capsule exposed to varying stress and temperature.
THETA(I), I = 1,500	The measured value of life to a prescribed creep criterion in the input creep data.
THETB(I), I = 1,500, Θ	The value of life predicted by the fitted creep life function for the values SIGMA(I) and T(I).
THMAX	An imposed upper limit on the value of THET in THETAC.
TNIT	The lower limit of the integral in DR, either zero or TAU.
TS	Temperature of the gas when the capsule is sealed.
T0	The initial steady state temperature of the helium gas in the fuel capsule as calculated in DR.
T4	The instantaneous value of the steady state temperature of the inner wall of the primary structural material.
T40	The initial steady state temperature of the inner wall of the primary structural material.
T8	The imposed initial steady state of the outer surface of the capsule. In THETAC this is the initial temperature in the time period zero to TAU.
T81	In subroutine THETAC the imposed initial steady state temperature of the surface of the fuel capsule in the time period TAU to THETA expressed as the temperature at zero time (i.e., at full thermal power).

T82	The initial steady state temperature of the surface of the capsule assuming that it becomes buried in an infinite medium of conductivity $XK(10)$ at zero time.
$V(I)$, $I = 1,9$	Volume of region I of the capsule.
$V0$	Volume of the void region.
$V2$	Volume of the fuel region.
W , WH	The specific thermal power function that is to be maximized ($W1$, $W2$, or $W3$).
$WEL(K)$, $K = 1,19$	The increment in the variables $ALF(K)$ in calculating WH .
WT	Weight of the fuel capsule.
$W1$	Thermal power of the capsule per unit of projected area.
$W2$	Thermal power of the capsule per unit of volume of a circumscribed rectangular parallelepiped.
$W3$	Thermal power of the capsule per unit of weight.
$X(I)$, $I = 1,9$	Thickness of region I of the capsule.
$X(K,1)$, $XX(K,1)$, $K = 1,19$	An increment in the variable $ALF(K)$.
XID	The overall length-to-diameter ratio of the capsule.
XM , m	A fitted constant in the creep life function.
XKO , YK , H	A fitted constant in the creep life function.
$XK(I)$, $I = 2,8$	Thermal conductivity of region I of the capsule.
$XK(9)$	Thickness of the capsule bushing (see Fig. 2).
$XK(10)$	Temperature averaged thermal conductivity of the infinite medium in which the capsule is immersed.
$X4L$	The imposed lower limit on the thickness of the primary structural material of the capsule.

X4U	The imposed upper limit on the thickness of the primary structural material of the capsule.
YK	See XKO.
YSQ	The square of the vector $\bar{y}$, which is the function minimized in MAX.
ZM	Atomic weight of the alpha-emitting radioisotope.

Capsule Regions (Fig. 2)

0	Helium
1	Inner fuel liner
2	Radioisotope fuel
3	Outer fuel liner
4	Primary containment wall
5	Diffusion barrier
6	Gas gap
7	Corrosion barrier
8	Radiant coating
9	Fuel spacer
10	An infinite heat conducting medium

APPENDIX B

Example Problems

B1. MOPT = 1

This sequence is used to generate constants in the creep life function for 1 percent strain in the alloy FS-85. A photograph of the output is shown in Table B1. The creep data are the 28 data points ($K = 28$) reported by Stephenson.¹⁶ The ultimate strength at room temperature (SIGU) is taken to be 80,000 psi. A moderately large value of 2.0 is chosen for the constant GAMMA to provide a rather abrupt change in the behavior of the function in the transition range. Shown on the second and third rows of the output are the computed constants (ALPHA, XM, XKO) and the standard errors in life and stress (SELTH, SELSG, and RESIG) relative to the fitted function. The array of basic experimental data [SIGMA(I), T(I), THETA(I)] is shown in columns two through four of the output. Other columns list pertinent calculated results [IMP(I), THETB(I), SIGB(I), DLTH(I), and DISG(I)] for each data point.

Table B1

K = 28 SIGU = 80000. GAMMA = 2.00

ALPHA = 0.336050E 08 XM = 0.916876E-04 XKO = 0.133654E 14

SELTH = 0.418337E 00 SELSG = 0.974960E-01 RESIG = 0.202662E 00

I	SIGMA(I)	T(I)	THETA(I)	LMP(I)	THETB(I)	SIGB(I)	DLTH(I)	DLSG(I)
1	35000.	2260.	4.10	0.31050E 05	0.11064E 02	0.41041E 05	-0.43112E 00	-0.69151E-01
2	30000.	2260.	24.00	0.32784E 05	0.26966E 02	0.30627E 05	-0.50602E-01	-0.89825E-02
3	25000.	2260.	128.00	0.34427E 05	0.73123E 02	0.22490E 05	0.24316E 00	0.45943E-01
4	22500.	2260.	205.00	0.34889E 05	0.12771E 03	0.20544E 05	0.20554E 00	0.39505E-01
5	21000.	2260.	470.00	0.35704E 05	0.18296E 03	0.17467E 05	0.40975E 00	0.80005E-01
6	25000.	2460.	0.74	0.31968E 05	0.44193E 01	0.35334E 05	-0.77612E 00	-0.15025E 00
7	20000.	2460.	6.30	0.34256E 05	0.12936E 02	0.23247E 05	-0.31247E 00	-0.65341E-01
8	17500.	2460.	32.00	0.35993E 05	0.24202E 02	0.16478E 05	0.12131E 00	0.26122E-01
9	15000.	2460.	110.00	0.37312E 05	0.49364E 02	0.12587E 05	0.34799E 00	0.76154E-01
10	14000.	2460.	130.00	0.37490E 05	0.67727E 02	0.12133E 05	0.28318E 00	0.62165E-01
11	13000.	2460.	130.00	0.37490E 05	0.94983E 02	0.12133E 05	0.13630E 00	0.29980E-01
12	10000.	2460.	600.00	0.39124E 05	0.31147E 03	0.86428E 04	0.28473E 00	0.63345E-01
13	17500.	2660.	0.75	0.34583E 05	0.19628E 01	0.21818E 05	-0.41782E 00	-0.95772E-01
14	16000.	2660.	2.50	0.35974E 05	0.28826E 01	0.16542E 05	-0.61841E-01	-0.14460E-01
15	15000.	2660.	4.00	0.36517E 05	0.37946E 01	0.14815E 05	0.22890E-01	0.53835E-02
16	12500.	2660.	15.00	0.38044E 05	0.81978E 01	0.10821E 05	0.26239E 00	0.62632E-01
17	10000.	2660.	55.00	0.39544E 05	0.20846E 02	0.79165E 04	0.42133E 00	0.10147E 00
18	9000.	2660.	80.00	0.39977E 05	0.32309E 02	0.72310E 04	0.39376E 00	0.95044E-01
19	7000.	2660.	121.00	0.40455E 05	0.91485E 02	0.65418E 04	0.12144E 00	0.29402E-01
20	7000.	2660.	200.00	0.41036E 05	0.91485E 02	0.57914E 04	0.33968E 00	0.82313E-01
21	5000.	2660.	152.00	0.40719E 05	0.36632E 03	0.61900E 04	-0.38201E 00	-0.92720E-01
22	5000.	2660.	205.00	0.41064E 05	0.36632E 03	0.57568E 04	-0.25210E 00	-0.61213E-01
23	2000.	2660.	1000.00	0.42895E 05	0.15792E 05	0.39168E 04	-0.11984E 01	-0.29190E 00
24	10000.	3060.	0.30	0.38565E 05	0.26964E 00	0.97097E 04	0.46338E-01	0.12795E-01
25	8000.	3060.	1.10	0.40292E 05	0.60340E 00	0.67694E 04	0.26079E 00	0.72537E-01
26	6000.	3060.	3.60	0.41868E 05	0.16956E 01	0.48623E 04	0.32697E 00	0.91306E-01
27	3500.	3060.	20.00	0.44147E 05	0.11656E 02	0.30088E 04	0.23449E 00	0.65669E-01
28	1000.	3060.	280.00	0.47654E 05	0.10165E 04	0.14357E 04	-0.55995E 00	-0.15707E 00

B2. MOPT = 3

This problem requires determination of the dimensions of a capsule for $^{244}\text{Cm}_2\text{O}_3$ fuel having maximum power per unit of volume. Restrictions on the capsule are: (1) The primary container material, fuel liners, and the bushing are to be made of Hastelloy N. (2) The fuel liners and bushing are to be 0.010 in. thick. (3) The outer surface temperature of the capsule is not to exceed 2100°R if the capsule becomes buried in dry sand [$\text{XK}(10) = 0.0167$] immediately after incapsulation. (4) The capsule is to be designed such that the probability of rupture in 5 years is 0.001. This condition (see Sect. 4) is approximately satisfied by designing for rupture in 5 years with a stress safety factor of 2.0. (5) $0.05 \leq \text{X}(4) \leq 0.5$, $0.01 \leq \text{R}(3) \leq 3.0$.

The input data for this problem are tabulated in the first 19 rows of the printed output (Table B2). Initial estimates of the variables R), $\text{X}(2)$, and $\text{X}(4)$ are 0.3, 0.1, and 0.16, respectively. In this problem, it is chosen to use only outer iterations in the MAX calculations ($\text{IMOST} = 0$). The variables and their increments for use in this calculation are so small that inner iterations would sometimes fail because of loss of significance (the IBM 360/75 carries only seven significant figures in this program).

The minimum value of YSQ (57.36) for which the constraint ($\text{D} = 1.0000$) is satisfied and W is maximum ($59.15 \text{ Btu/hr}\cdot\text{in}^3$) occurs in iteration number 48 ($\text{M} = 48$). The iterations that follow produce the same computed values indicating that YSQ is trapped at either a stationary point or an absolute minimum that may be obtained with the current set of variables and their increments. Calculations with higher and lower starting estimates of $\text{X}(4)$ indicated that this solution provides maximum power within the range of interest in the variables $\text{R}(3)$ and $\text{X}(4)$. The last array of computed values in the output is the same as in the last iteration produced by MAX, indicating that LIMIT did not call DF because $\text{R}(3)$ and $\text{X}(4)$ were within the preselected limits.

Table B2

L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00			
M= 44	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.112344E 04	Z= 0.112344E 04	LSTOP= 0			
C.138723E C2	0.291225E-01							
-C.305122E C2	0.163619E 00							
C.476837E-06	0.111302E C2							
RADII ARE 0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00			
M= 45	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.104162E 04	Z= 0.104162E 04	LSTOP= 0			
-0.315254E C2	0.291225E-01							
0.470410E 01	0.163618E 00							
-0.953674E-06	0.110735E 02							
RADII ARE 0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00			
M= 46	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.302119E 04	Z= 0.302119E 04	LSTOP= . 0			
0.549556E C2	0.291225E-01							
0.103760E 01	0.163618E 00							
-0.953674E-06	0.112239E 02							
RADII ARE 0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00			
M= 47	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.574727E 02	Z= 0.574727E 02	LSTOP= 0			
-0.663330E C0	0.291225E-01							
0.755200E 01	0.163618E 00							
-0.953674E-06	0.109567E 02							
RADII ARE 0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00			
M= 48	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.573656E 02	Z= 0.573656E 02	LSTOP= 0			
-0.628906E 00	0.291225E-01							
0.754785E 01	0.163618E 00							
-0.953674E-06	0.109569E 02							
RADII ARE 0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00			
M= 49	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.573656E 02	Z= 0.573656E 02	LSTOP= 0			
-0.628906E 00	0.291225E-01							
0.754785E 01	0.163618E 00							

Table B2 (continued)

-0.953674E-06 0.109569E 02									
RADII ARE	0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00				
M=	50	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.573656E 02	Z= 0.573656E 02	LSTOP=	0		
-0.628906E 00 0.291225E-01									
0.754785E C1 0.163618E 00									
-0.953674E-06 0.109569E 02									
RADII ARE	0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00				
M=	51	D= 0.100000E 01	W= 0.591540E 02	YSQ= 0.573656E 02	Z= 0.573656E 02	LSTOP=	0		
-0.628906E 00 0.291225E-01									
0.754785E C1 0.163618E 00									
-0.953674E-06 0.109569E 02									
RADII ARE	0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00				
RADII ARE	0.33070	0.34070	0.36982	0.37982	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344	0.54344
L = 0.54344E 01	AV2 = 0.37975E 03	W1 = 0.64293E 02	W2 = 0.59154E 02	W3 = 0.38135E 03	WT = 0.99581E 00				

B3. MOPT = 4

We will illustrate the use of this sequence to calculate the rupture life of an alloy exposed to a constant stress rate, a problem different from the normal one of calculating the life of a capsule. The alloy T-222 is subjected to a constantly increasing stress rate, $\dot{\sigma}$, of 3500 psi/hr at a temperature of 2460°R. The input constants (some are redefined), printed on the first 14 rows of the output (Table B3) are determined as follows:

1. Let $TA = A = BETA = DELTA = PS = TA = NMAX = NQ = TAU = RO = 0$.
Let $ETA = C = G = TS = X(I)$, $I = 1, 9$ but $\neq 4 = P(I)$, $I = 1, 9 = XK(I)$,
 $I = 2, 10$ but $\neq 9 = SC = SU = XLD = 1.0$.
2. The constants GAMMA, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, and XM are chosen from a fit of T-222 rupture data.
3. Let $TAl = T81 = ZM = 2460$. ZM cancels TAl in the expression for SIGT.
4. Choose THET such that $\dot{\sigma} \cdot THET < SIGU$; therefore, $THET = 25$.
5. Choose LAMBDA such that $LAMBDA \cdot THET < 0.01$; therefore $LAMBDA = 10^{-4}$.
This causes the expression $[1 - \exp(-LAMBDA \cdot T)]$ to produce $LAMBDA \cdot T$.
6. Choose $H = 10^4$ to cancel LAMBDA.
7. Let $RO = 0$ and $XK(9) = 3$; therefore $R(3) = XK(9)$ and $VO = 0$.
8. Let $X(4) = 2$ and $E = 2.125$. This causes E to cancel the term $[R(4)^2 + R(3)^2] / [R(4)^2 - R(3)^2]$.
9. Let $RR = \dot{\sigma} = 3500$.
10. Let $PHI = 10^{-4}$, $Q = 10^{-3}$, $DELTH = DELOME = 10^{-2}$, and $THMAX = 10^6$.

The computed value of THETA, determined in five iterations, is 19.33 hours; this compares with an experimentally determined value of 19.1 hours. The value of OMEGA is one since THMAX was not exceeded.

Table B3

T8 = 0.0 A = 0.0 ETA = 1.0 BETA = 0.0
 DELTA = 0.0 C = 1.00 G = 1.00 GAMMA = 2.00
 RR = 3500.0 E = 2.125 PS = 0.0 TS = 1.0
 H = 10000.000 ZM = 2460.0 TA = 0.0 TAI = 2460.0
 LAMBDA = C.10000E-C3 N = 100 NN = 100
 MOPT = 4 NMAX = 0 NQ = 0
 THE FOLLOWING THREE ROWS ARE THE CONTENTS OF THE X, P, AND XK ARRAYS

1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	2.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	3.0000	1.0000

 SIGU = 130000. SC = 1.00 SU = 1.00 PHI = 0.10E-03
 Q = 0.10E-02 DELTH = 0.10E-01 DELUME = 0.10E-01 THMAX = 0.100E 07
 TAU = 0.0 T81 = 2460.0 XLD = 1.000 RO = 0.0
 X(2) = 1.000 X(4) = 2.000 THET = 0.250E 02
 ALPHA = 0.9535E 07 YK = 0.4763E 13 XM = 0.6503E-04

C.2500000E 02	C.1276997E 02	C.1276997E 02
0.2293690E 02	0.5114078E 01	0.5114078E 01
0.2110226E 02	0.2253933E 01	0.2253933E 01
0.1986649E 02	0.1283113E 01	0.1283113E 01
0.1938927E 02	0.1028359E 01	0.1028359E 01
0.1933015E 02	C.1000364E 01	C.1000364E 01

 THETA = C.193302E 02 OMEGA = 0.100000E 01

APPENDIX C

List of CAPSUL Program

```

PROGRAM CAPSUL
  DIMENSION SIGMA(500), T(500), THETA(500), THETB(500), SIGB(500)
  REAL LMP(500), DLTH(500), DLSG(500)
  COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
  1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,
  2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
  3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TA1
  REAL LAMBDA, L
  COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
  EQUIVALENCE (XK(1),OMEGA)
  COMMON/LIM/X4U, X4L, R3U, R3L
  COMMON/NIC/CRIT, NITER, ITER
  COMMON/DELS/DELRO, DELX2, DELX4
  COMMON/CONVG/XX(19,1),RK,LMOST,LSTOP,JWAY,G
C*** VARIABLES USED IN THIS PROGRAM FOR DECIDING OPTIONS
C  MOPT DECIDES ON SEQUENCE TO USE
C  MOPT=1  LSTSQ IS USED ALONE
C  MCPT=2  LSTSQ, MAX, LIMIT, AND DF ARE USED
C  MOPT=3  MAX, LIMIT, AND DF ARE USED
C  MOPT=4  THETA ALONE IS USED
C  NMAX DECIDES ON SEQUENCE TO USE IN MAX
C  NMAX=1  THREE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
C  NMAX=2  X(4) IS SPECIFIED
C  NMAX=3  R(8) IS CONSTANT
C  NMAX=4  R(3) IS CONSTANT
C  NMAX=5  RZFRO IS TO BE COMPUTED
C  NQ DECIDES FUNCTION TO BE MAXIMIZED
C  NQ=1  POWER/PROJECTED AREA
C  NQ=2  POWER/VOLUME OF CIRCUMSCRIBED RECTANGULAR PARALLELEPIPED
C  NQ=3  POWER/WEIGHT
  90 READ(50,100) MOPT, NMAX, NQ
  NNN=1
  IF(MCPT-1) 13,10,91
C*** COMMON CONSTANTS FOR MOPT=2,3,AND 4 FOLLOW
  91 READ(50,111) GAMMA,RR,E,PS,TS,H,ZM,TA
  READ(50,111) T8,A,ETA,BETA,DELTA,C,G,TA1
  READ(50,150) LAMBDA,N,NN
  READ(50,112) (X(I),I=1,9),(P(I),I=1,9),(XK(I),I=2,10)
  100 FORMAT(3I2)
  110 FORMAT(3E10.0)
  111 FORMAT(8F10.0)
  112 FORMAT(9F8.0)
  150 FORMAT(E10.3, 2I3)
  WRITE(51,892) T8, A, ETA, BETA, DELTA, C, G, GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS,
  1 H, ZM, TA, TA1, LAMBDA, N, NN
  892 FORMAT('1T8 =',F7.1,5X,'A =',F10.1,5X,'ETA =',F5.1,5X,'BETA =',
  1F8.4,/,', DFLTA =',F8.4,5X,'C =',F6.2,5X,'G =',F6.2,5X,'GAMMA =',
  2F5.2,/,', RR =',F10.1,5X,'E =',F7.3,5X,'PS =',F6.2,5X,'TS =',F6.1,
  3/,', H =',F12.3,5X,'ZM =',F7.1,5X,'TA =',F7.1,5X,'TA1 =',F7.1,

```

```

4/, ' LAMBDA =', E15.5, 5X, ' N =', I4, 5X, ' NN =', I4)
WRITE(51, 890) MOPT, NMAX, NQ
103 FORMAT(8H0ALPHA =, E15.5, /, 5H XM =, E15.5, /, 5H YK =, E15.5)
890 FORMAT('0MOPT =', I3, 5X, 'NMAX =', I3, 5X, 'NQ =', I3)
WRITE(51, 891) (X(I), I=1, 9), (P(I), I=1, 9), (XK(I), I=2, 10)
891 FORMAT('0THE FOLLOWING THREE ROWS ARE THE CONTENTS OF THE X, P, AN
10 XK ARRAYS', //, (9F12.4))
GO TO (10, 10, 20, 30), MOPT
C*** CONSTANTS FOR LSTSQ ROUTINE
10 READ(50, 101) K, SIGU, GAMMA, (SIGMA(I), T(I), THETA(I), I=NNN, K)
IF(K.EQ.0) GO TO 95
101 FORMAT (I3, 2F10.0, /, (3F20.0))
CALL LSTSQ (K, SIGU, GAMMA, SIGMA, T, THETA, ALPHA, XM, XK0)
SFLTH=0.0
SELSG=0.0
RESIG=0.0
DO1109 I=1, K
EX=1.0/XM/T(I)
THETB(I)=1.0/XK0*(.01*ALPHA/SIGMA(I)/SIGU)**EX*(SIGU**
1 GAMMA-SIGMA(I)**GAMMA)**(EX/GAMMA)*100.**EX
SIGB(I)= ((ALPHA**GAMMA*SIGU**GAMMA)/(ALPHA**GAMMA + SIGU**GAMMA*
1(XK0*THETA(I))**[GAMMA*XM*T(I)]))*(1.0/GAMMA)
LMP(I)=T(I)*ALOG10(XK0*THETA(I))
DLSG(I)=ALOG10(SIGMA(I)/SIGB(I))
SELSG=SELSG+(DLSG(I))**2
DLTH(I)=ALOG10(THETA(I)/THETB(I))
SELTH=SELTH+(DLTH(I))**2
RESIG=RESIG +(((SIGMA(I)-SIGB(I))**2)/SIGB(I))**2
1109 CONTINUE
SFLTH=SQRT(SELTH/(K-3.0))
SELSG=SQRT(SELSG/(K-3.0))
RESIG=SQRT(RESIG/(K-3))
WRITE(51, 522) K, SIGU, GAMMA, ALPHA, XM, XK0, SELTH, SELSG,
1RESIG, (I, SIGMA(I), T(I), THETA(I), LMP(I), THETB(I), SIGB(I),
2DLTH(I), DLSG(I), I=1, K)
522 FORMAT('1K =', I4, 5X, 'SIGU =', F9.0, 5X, 'GAMMA =', F5.2, /,
1' ALPHA =', F15.6, 5X, 'XM =', E15.6, 5X, 'XK0 =', E15.6, /,
2' SFLTH =', E15.6, 5X, 'SELSG =', E15.6, 5X, 'RESIG =', E15.6, //,
3' I', T6, 'SIGMA(I)', T16, 'T(I)', T23, 'THETA(I)', T38, 'LMP(I)',
4T51, 'THETB(I)', T66, 'SIGB(I)', T80, 'DLTH(I)', T94, 'DLSG(I)', /,
5(I4, F9.0, F7.0, F11.2, 2X, E12.5, 2X, E12.5, 2X, E12.5, 2X, E12.5))
NNN=K+1
GO TO 10
95 GO TO (90, 25), MOPT
C*** CONSTANTS FOR USE IN MAX
20 READ(50, 110) ALPHA, YK, XM
WRITE(51, 103) ALPHA, XM, YK
25 CONTINUE
READ(50, 111) X4U, X4L, R3U, R3L

```



```

WRITE(51,126) X4U, X4L, R3U, R3L
126 FORMAT('OX4U =',F6.3,5X,'X4L =',F6.3,5X,'R3U =',F6.3,5X,'R3L =',
1 F6.3)
READ(50,241) SIGU, SC, SU, PHI, Q, DELRO, DELX2, DELX4, TAU, T81,
IT82, XLD, RO, X(2), X(4), THET
241 FORMAT(4E20.0)
WRITE(51,242) SIGU, SC, SU, PHI, Q, DELRO, DELX2, DELX4, TAU, T81,
IT82, XLD, RO, X(2), X(4), THET
242 FORMAT('OSIGU =',F10.0,5X,'SC =',F5.2,5X,'SU =',F5.2,5X,'PHI =',
1E10.2,/, 'Q =',E10.2,5X,'DELRO =',E10.2,5X,'DELX2 =',E10.2,5X,
2'DELX4 =',E10.2,/, 'TAU =',E11.3,5X,'T81 =',F8.0,5X,'T82 =',F8.0,5X
3'XLD =',F6.1,/, 'RO =',F6.3,5X,'X(2) =',F6.3,5X,'X(4) =',F6.3,5X,
4'THETA =',E12.4)
READ(50,402) IX, NLAM, NITER, ITER, LMOST, G, C, CRIT, ALAM
402 FORMAT(5I4,/,4F10.0)
WRITE(51,403) IX, NLAM, NITER, ITER, LMOST, G, C, CRIT, ALAM
403 FORMAT('OIX =',I4,5X,'NLAM =',I4,5X,'NITER =',I4,5X,'ITER =',I4,5X,
1'LMOST =',I4,/, 'G =',F6.3,5X,'C =',F6.3,5X,'CRIT =',F8.5,5X,'ALAM =',
2 F6.3)
900 NMAX1=NMAX
JAM=0
CALL VSU
61 CALL DR
JAM=JAM+1
IF(JAM.GT.100) GO TO 66
IF(DH.GT.4.0) 62,63
63 IF(DH.LT.0.3) 64,65
66 WRITE(51,4) RO, (R(I),I=1,8), L, AV2, W1, W2, W3, WT
GO TO 90
62 X(2) = 0.95*X(2)
CALL VSU
GO TO 61
64 X(2) = 1.05*X(2)
X(4) = 0.95*X(4)
CALL VSU
GO TO 61
65 CONTINUE
CALL MAX(NLAM)
NQ1=NQ & NQ=0
CALL WR
CALL LIMIT
NQ=NQ1
WRITE(51,4) RO, (R(I),I=1,8), L, AV2, W1, W2, W3, WT
4 FORMAT('ORADII ARE',9F10.5,/, 'L =',E12.5,3X,'AV2 =',E12.5,3X,
1'W1 =',F12.5,3X,'W2 =',E12.5,3X,'W3 =',E12.5,3X,'WT =',E12.5)
IF(NMAX.EQ.NMAX1) 90,900
C*** THE FOLLOWING ARE THE CONSTANTS USED IN CALCULATING THETA
30 READ(50,110) ALPHA,YK,XM
READ(50,210) SIGU, SC, SU, PHI, Q, DELTH, DELOME, THMAX, TAU, T81,

```

```

1      XLD, RO, X(2), X(4), THFT
210 FORMAT(4F20.0)
      WRITE(51,211) SIGU, SC, SU, PHI, Q, DFLTH, DELOME, THMAX, TAU,
1 T81, XLD, RC, X(2), X(4), THFT, ALPHA, YK, XM
211 FORMAT('0SIGU =',F10.0,5X,'SC =',F5.2,5X,'SU =',F5.2,5X,'PHI =',
1 F10.2,/,,'Q =', F10.2,5X,'DFLTH =',F10.2,5X,'DELOME =',E10.2,
25X,'THMAX =', F10.3,/,,'TAU =', E10.3,5X,'T81 =',F8.1,5X,'XLD =',
3F7.3,5X,'RC =',F6.3,/,,'X(2) =',F6.3,5X,'X(4) =',F6.3,5X,'THET =',
4 F12.3,/,,'ALPHA =',E12.4,5X,'YK =',E12.4,5X,'XM =',F12.4)
      CALL THFTAC
      WRITE(51,72) THET, CMFGA
72 FORMAT('0THETA =',F15.6,5X,'OMEGA =',E15.6)
      GO TO 90
13 FNC

SUBROUTINE LSTSQ (K, SIGU, GAMMA, SIGMA, T, THETA, ALPHA, XM, XK0)
DIMENSION SIGMA(500), T(500), THETA(500), Y(500), Z(500),
1 A(3,3), X(3)
      SIG = SIGU**GAMMA
      DO 10 I=1,K
      SIGI = SIGMA(I)**GAMMA
      Y(I) = ALOG(SIG*SIGI/(SIG-SIGI))
10 Z(I) = T(I)*ALOG(THETA(I))
      DO 20 I=1,3 $ X(I) = 0.0
      DO 20 J=1,3
20 A(I,J)=0.0
      DO 30 I=1,K
      A(1,2) = A(1,2) + T(I)
      A(1,3) = A(1,3) + Z(I)
      A(2,2) = A(2,2) + T(I)**2
      A(2,3) = A(2,3) + T(I)*Z(I)
      A(3,3) = A(3,3) + Z(I)**2
      X(1) = X(1) - Y(I)
      X(2) = X(2) - T(I)*Y(I)
30 X(3) = X(3) - Z(I)*Y(I)
      A(1,1) = -K $ A(2,1) = -A(1,2)
      A(3,1) = -A(1,3) $ A(3,2) = A(2,3)
      CALL MATQ(A,X,3,1,DFT,3,3)
      A1=X(1) $ A2=X(2) $ A3=X(3)
      ALPHA = EXP(A1/GAMMA)
      XM= A3/GAMMA
      XK0=EXP(A2/GAMMA/XM)
      RETURN
      FNC

SUBROUTINE CGNVERT(NMAX,X,ALF,R,RO)
DIMENSION X(9), ALF(19),R(8)
GO TO (10,20,30,40,50),NMAX
10 RO=ALF(1)

```

```

X(2)=ALF(2)
X(4)=ALF(3)
RETURN
20 R0=ALF(1)
X(2)=ALF(2)
RETURN
30 X(2)=ALF(1)
X(4)=ALF(2)
R0=R(8)-X(1)-X(2)-X(3)-X(4)-X(5)-X(6)-X(7)-X(8)
RETURN
40 X(2)=ALF(1)
X(4)=ALF(2)
R0=R(3)-X(1)-X(2)-X(3)
RETURN
50 X(2)=ALF(1)
X(4)=ALF(2)
CALL R7FR0
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE WR
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/CCN/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELCME, THMAX,
1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,
2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, w1, w2, w3, THET, WT, TAI
REAL LAMPDA, L
CALL CONVERT(NMAX,X,ALF,K,R0)
R(1)=R0+X(1)
DO 40 I=2,8
40 R(I)=R(I-1)+X(I)
X12=2.0*(XLD-.575)*R(8)-.5*(1.0+R(4))-2.0*(X(1)+X(9))
AV2=A*X12*3.14159*(R(2)**2-R(1)**2)
GO TO (10,20,30) NQ
10 WH = AV2/(4.0*XLD*R(3)*(R(8) + BETA))
IF(NQ.NF.0) RETURN
w1=WH
20 WH = AV2/(8.0*XLD*R(8)*(R(8) + BETA)*(R(8) + DELTA))
IF(NQ.NF.0) RETURN
w2=WH
30 CALL WHT
WH = AV2/w1
w3=WH
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE WHT
COMMON/CCN/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELCME, THMAX,
1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,

```

```

2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TAI
RFAL L,12,14,V(9)
I = 2.0*XLD*R(8)
L4 = 2.0*(XLD - .575)*R(8)
L2 = L4 - .5*(1.0 + R(4)) - 2.0*(X(1) + X(9))
R(1) = RC + X(1)
DO 60 I = 2,8
60 R(I) = R(I-1) + X(I)
V0 = 3.1416*R0**2*L2 + 2.409*((R(3)-XK(9))**3) + 1.5708*((R(3)-
1 XK(9))**2)*(1.0+R(4))
V(1) = 3.1416*(L2 + 2.0*X(1))*(R(1)**2 - R0**2)
V(2) = 3.1416*L2*(R(2)**2 - R(1)**2)
V(3) = 3.1416*(L2 + 2.0*X(1))*(R(3)**2 - R(2)**2)
V(4) = 3.1416*L4*(R(4)**2 + 2.409*R(4)**3 - V(1) - V(2) - V(3)
1 - 6.283*R(3)**2*X(9) - V0
V(5) = 3.1416*L4*(R(5)**2 - R(4)**2) + 2.409*(R(5)**3 - R(4)**3)
V(6) = 3.1416*L4*(R(6)**2 - R(5)**2) + 2.409*(R(6)**3 - R(5)**3)
V(7) = 3.1416*(L - .575*R(8))*(R(7)**2 - R(6)**2) + 3.1416*R(7)**2
1 *X(7) + 1.204*(R(7)**3 - R(6)**3)
V(8) = 3.1416*(L - .575*R(8))*(R(8)**2 - R(7)**2) + 3.1416*R(8)**2
1 *X(8) + 1.204*(R(8)**3 - R(7)**3)
V(9) = 6.283*R(3)**2*X(9)
WT = 0.0
DO 70 I=1,9
70 WT = WT + V(I)*P(I)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE VSU
COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,
2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
3N, NN, DFLTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TAI
COMMON/SETUP/W,D,WFD(19),DFD(19),WSD(19,19),DSD(19,19),DEL(19),WEL
1(19)
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/DFLS/DFLRO, DELX2, DELX4
TERM=THET$TNIT=0.0
GO TO (10,20,30,40,50),NMAX
10 DFI(1)=WEL(1)=DELRO
DEL(2)=WEL(2)=DELX2
DEL(3)=WEL(3)=DELX4
ALF(1)=R0
ALF(2)=X(2)
ALF(3)=X(4)
IX=3
RETURN
20 DFI(1)=WEL(1)=DELRO

```

```

      DEL(2)=WEL(2)=DELX2
      ALF(1)=R0
      ALF(2)=X(2)
      IX=2
      RETURN
30  DEL(1)=WEL(1)=DELX2
      DEL(2)=WEL(2)=DELX4
      ALF(1)=X(2)
      ALF(2)=X(4)
      IX=2
      RETURN
40  DEL(1)=WEL(1)=DELX2
      DEL(2)=WEL(2)=DELX4
      R0=R(3)-X(1)-X(2)-X(3)
      ALF(1)=X(2)
      ALF(2)=X(4)
      IX=2
      RETURN
50  DEL(1)=WEL(1)=DELX2
      DEL(2)=WEL(2)=DELX4
      ALF(1)=X(2)
      ALF(2)=X(4)
      IX=2
      RETURN
      END

      SUBROUTINE RZERO
      COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
      ITAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, Z, LAMBDA,
      2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
      3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TA1
      REAL L2
C*** SUBROUTINE CALCULATES A R0 BY NEWTONS METHOD
      M=0
      HSIN = ALOG(XLD + SQRT(XLD**2 + 1.0))
      B = 4.0*XK(10)*XLD*(T82 - TA)/A /HSIN
      R0 = B/(4.0*X(2)*(XLD-.825)) - X(1) - X(2)/2.0
35  R(8) = R0
      DO 10 I=1,8
10  R(8) = R(8) + X(I)
      L2 = 2.0*(XLD - .575)*R(8) - .5*(1.0 + R0 + X(1) + X(2) + X(3) +
      IX(4)) - 2.0*(X(1) + X(9))
      V2PIE = L2*(2.0*X(2)*(R0 + X(1)) + X(2)**2)
      IF(ABS((R*R(8)-V2PIE)/B/R(8)).GT.1.0E-6) 30, 31
30  R0 = R0 - (R*R(8)-V2PIE)/(R-2.0*(XLD-.825)*(2.*X(2)*(R0+X(1))+
      IX(2)**2) - 2.0*X(2)*L2)
      M=M+1
      IF(M.GT.10) GO TO 31
      GO TO 35

```

```

31 CONTINUE
  IF(R0.GT.0.0) RETURN
  R0=.20
  RETURN
  END

  SUBROUTINE LIMIT
  COMMON/LIM/X4U, X4L, R3U, R3L
  COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
  1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, Z, LAMBDA,
  2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
  3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TAI
  GO TO (10,20,30),NMAX
  10 IF(X(4).GT.X4U) 40,50
  40 X(4) = X4U $ NMAX = 2
  RETURN
  50 IF(X(4).LT.X4L) 60,70
  60 X(4) = X4L $ NMAX = 2
  RETURN
  70 IF(R(3).GT.R3U) 80, 90
  80 R(3) = R3U $ NMAX = 4
  RETURN
  90 IF(R(3).LT.R3L) 100, 110
  100 R(3) = R3L $ NMAX=4
  110 RETURN
  20 IF(R(3).GT.R3U) 120, 130
  120 R(3) = R3U $ GO TO 160
  130 IF(R(3).LT.R3L) 140, 150
  140 R(3) = R3L $ GO TO 160
  150 RETURN
  160 R0 = R(3) - X(1) - X(2) - X(3)
  CALL DF
  RETURN
  30 IF(X(4).GT.X4U) 170,180
  170 X(4) = X4U $ GO TO 210
  180 IF(X(4).LT.X4L) 190,200
  190 X(4) = X4L $ GO TO 210
  200 RETURN
  210 CALL DF
  RETURN
  END

  SUBROUTINE DF
  COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
  COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
  1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RR, E, PS, TS, H, Z, LAMBDA,
  2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
  3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TAI
  COMMON/DELS/DELRO, DELX2, DELX4

```

```

33 CALL DR
   C1=DH
   IF (ABS(C1-1.0).LE.Q) 30, 31
31 X(2) = X(2) + DELX2
   CALL DR
   X(2) = X(2) - DELX2 - (C1-1.0)/(DH-C1)*DELX2
   GO TO(10,10,33),NMAX
10 R0=R(3)-X(1)-X(2)-X(3)
   GO TO 33
30 RETURN
   END

SUBROUTINE THETAC
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
EQUIVALENCE (XK(1),OMEGA)
COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, R0, R(8), GAMMA, RK, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,
2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TA1
REAL LAMPDA, L
NSTOR=N $ T80=T8
TA0=TA
D3=D4=TNIT=0.0
I=0
OMEGA =1.0
SC1 = SC $ SU1 = SU
TERM=TAU $ N=NN
IF(TNIT.EQ.TERM) GO TO 10
CALL DR
D3 = DH
10 TNIT=TAU $ T8=T81
   TA=TA1
20 TERM=THFT $ N=NSTOR
   CALL DR
   D4 = DH+D3
   WRITE(51,100) THET,D4,DH
100 FORMAT(3E20.7)
   IF(D4.LT.1.0.AND.THET.GE.THMAX) GO TO 40
   IF(ABS(D4-1.0).LE.Q) RETURN
   TERM = TERM + DELTH
   CALL DR
   D5=D3+DH
   THET = TERM - DFLTH - (D4-1.0)*DELTH/(D5-D4)
   GO TO 20
40 TNIT=0.0 $ T8=T80
   TA=TA0
   TERM=TAU $ N=NN
   OMEGA1=OMEGA+DELOME
   SC=OMEGA1*SC1 $ SU=OMEGA1*SU1

```

```

D3=D4=0.C
IF(TNIT.EQ.TERM) GO TO 41
CALL DR
D3=DH
SC=OMEGA*SC1 $ SU=CMEGA*SUI
CALL DR
D4=DH
41 TNIT=TAU $ T8=T81
TA=TA1
TERM=THMAX $ N=NSTCR
SC=OMEGA1*SC1 $ SU=OMEGA1*SUI
CALL DR
D5=DH
SC=OMEGA*SC1 $ SU=CMEGA*SUI
CALL DR
D6=DH
D9=D4+D6
WRITE(51,100) TERM, D9, OMEGA
IF(ABS(D4+D6-1.C).GT.Q) 30, 31
30 OMEGA=CMEGA - (D4+D6-1.0)*DELOME/(D3+D5-D4-D6)
L=L+1
IF(L.GT.10) 31, 40
31 THET = TERM
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE DR
COMMON/CFN/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, RQ, R(8), GAMMA, RK, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,
2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), A, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, w1, w2, w3, THET, WT, TA1
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
REAL LAMBDA, L,L2,L4
EQUIVALENCE (XK,YK), (A0,A,A2)
DIFF=TERM-TNIT
IF(NMAX.NE.0.AND.NQ.NE.0) CALL CONVERT(NMAX,X,ALF,R,RQ)
D1=SUM=C.0 $ NT=1
R(1) = X(1) + RQ
110 DO 80 I=2,8
80 R(I) = R(I-1) + X(I)
DO 190 J=4,8
190 SUM = SUM + 1.0/XK(J)*ALOG(R(J)/R(J-1))
L2 = 2.0*(XLD-.575)*R(8) - .5*(1.0+R(4)) - 2.0*(X(1) + X(9))
V0 = 3.1416*RC**2*L2 + 2.409*((R(3)-XK(9))**3) + 1.5708*((R(3)-
1XK(9))**2)*(1.0+R(4))
V2 = 3.14159*L2*(R(2)**2 - R(1)**2)
T40=TA+(T8-TA+A*V2/6.2832/L2*SUM)
T0 = T40 + A0*V2/(6.28318*L2*XK(3))*ALOG(R(3)/R(2)) + A0/4.0/XK(2)
1*(R(2)**2 - R(1)**2 - 2*R(1)**2*ALOG(R(2)/R(1)))

```



```

C1 =RR/E/(V0 + ETA*V2)*(R(4)**2 + R(3)**2)/(R(4)**2 - R(3)**2)
C2 = T8 - TA + A2*V2/6.28318/L2*SUM
D2 = 0.C $ N1 = N
C3=PS*(V0+ETA*V2)/RR/TS
C4=P(2)*V2*H/ZM
CALL SFTFAULT(5,5HEU=-1)
DO 9C T=TNIT,TERM,DIFF
SIGT = C1*(C3 + C4*(1.0 - EXP(-LAMBDA*T)))*(TA +(TO - TA)*
1EXP(-LAMBDA*T))
T4 = TA + C2*EXP(-LAMBDA*T)
90 D1 = D1 + XK0*(SC**GAMMA*SIGU**GAMMA*SIGT**GAMMA/ALPHA**GAMMA/
1 (SIGU**GAMMA - SU**GAMMA*SIGT**GAMMA))**(1.0/GAMMA/XM/T4)
SIGG = SIGU**GAMMA $ SUGA = SU**GAMMA
302 NPT = 1 $ D2 = 0.0
DO 10 J=1,N1-1
EX=EXP(-LAMBDA*(TNIT+J*DIFF/N1))
29 SIGT = C1*(C3 + C4*(1.0 - EX))*(TA +(TO - TA)*EX)
T4 = TA + C2*EX
F =XK0*(SC**GAMMA*SIGG*SIGT**GAMMA/ALPHA**GAMMA/(SIGG - SUGA*
1 SIGT**GAMMA))**(1.0/GAMMA/XM/T4)
GO TO (11,12),NPT
11 D2 = D2 + 4.0*F $ NPT = 2 $ GO TO 10
12 D2 = D2 + 2.0*F $ NPT = 1
10 CONTINUE
GO TO (300,301),NT
300 D3=DIFF*(D1+D2)/3.0/N1 $ N1=2*N1 $NT=2 $ GO TO 302
301 D2=D1+D2 $ D2=DIFF*D2/3.0/N1
IF(ABS((D2-D3)/D2).LT.PHI) 304, 305
305 D3 = D2 $ N1=2*N1
IF(N1.GT.16*N) 306, 302
306 WRITE(51,247)
247 FORMAT(' FAILED TO CONVERGE')
304 DH = D2
CALL SFTFAULT(4,4HEU=0)
END

SUBROUTINE MAX(NLAM)
COMMON/CONVG/XX(19,1),RK,LMOST,LSTOP,JWAY,G
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,CH,WH
COMMON/MATQ/A(19,19),X(19,1),NR,YSQ,Z
COMMON/NIC/CRIT, NITER, ITER
CRITSQ=CRIT**2
CSQ=C*C
NR=IX+1
M=1
4 CALL SETUP
IF(NLAM)10,5,10
5 CALL GTALAM
C FIRST LAMBDA COMPUTED IN SUBR. GTALAM

```

```

      NLAM=1
C     FIRST LAMBDA FROM INPUT
10  CALL VFCT
      CALL CONVG
      CALL OUTPUT
      IF(JWAY)100,30,12
12  IF(NITER)15,15,20
C     TEST ON NUMBER OF OUTER ITERATION
15  IF(M-ITER)25,25,100
C     CONVERGENCE CRITERIA TEST
20  IF(7-CRITSQ)100,100,15
25  CALL ARITH
      CALL MATC(A,X,NR,1,DET,19,19)
      M=M+1
      LSTOP=0
30  CALL STEP
      GO TO 4
100 RETURN
      END MAX

      SUBROUTINE SETUP
      COMMON/SETUP/W,D,WFD(19),DFD(19),WSD(19,19),DSD(19,19),DEL(19),WEL
1(19)
      COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
C     COMPUTES QUANTITIES IN LABELED COMMON SETUP
      CALL DR
C     COMPUTE FIRST AND SECOND DERIVATIVES OF FCN D
      D=DH
      DO 40 I=1,IX
      STORI=ALF(I)
      ALF(I)=ALF(I)+DEL(I)
      CALL DR
      DP=DH
      ALF(I)=STORI-DEL(I)
      CALL DR
      DM=DH
      DFD(I)=(DP-DM)/(2.*DEL(I))
      DSD(I,I)=(DP+DM-2.*D)/(DEL(I)**2)
      J=1
4   IF(IX-J)40,1,1
1   IF(J-I)13,3,2
13  DSD(I,J)=DSD(J,I)
3   J=J+1
      GO TO 4
2   STORJ=ALF(J)
      ALF(J)=ALF(J)-DEL(J)
      CALL DR
      D3=DH
      ALF(J)=STORJ+DEL(J)

```

```

CALL DR
D2=DH
ALF(I)=STORI+DEL(I)
CALL DR
D1=DH
ALF(J)=STORJ-DEL(J)
CALL DR
D4=DH
ALF(I)=STORI-DEL(I)
ALF(J)=STORJ
DSD(I,J)=(D1+D3-D2-D4)/(4.*DEL(I)*DEL(J))
GO TO 3
40 ALF(I)=STORI
CALL WR
C COMPUTE FIRST AND SECOND DERIVATIVES OF FCN W
W=WH
DO 60 I=1,IX
STORI=ALF(I)
ALF(I)=ALF(I)+WEL(I)
CALL WR
WP=WH
ALF(I)=STORI-WEL(I)
CALL WR
WM=WH
WFD(I)=(WP-WM)/(2.*WEL(I))
WSD(I,I)=(WP+WM-2.*W)/(WEL(I)**2)
J=1
24 IF(IX-J)60,21,21
21 IF(J-I)213,23,22
213 WSD(I,J)=WSD(J,I)
23 J=J+1
GO TO 24
22 STORJ=ALF(J)
ALF(J)=ALF(J)-WEL(J)
CALL WR
W3=WH
ALF(J)=STORJ+WEL(J)
CALL WR
W2=WH
ALF(I)=STORI+WEL(I)
CALL WR
W1=WH
ALF(J)=STORJ-WEL(J)
CALL WR
W4=WH
ALF(I)=STORI-WEL(I)
ALF(J)=STORJ
WSD(I,J)=(W1+W3-W2-W4)/(4.*WEL(I)*WEL(J))
GO TO 23

```

```

60 ALF(I)=STORI
   RETURN
   END

SUBROUTINE GTALAM
COMMON/SETUP/W,D,WFD(19),DFD(19),WSD(19,19),DSD(19,19),DEL(19),WEL
1(19)
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
C COMPUTES AVERAGE LAMBDA FOR 1ST ITERATION
SUM=0.
DEN=0.
DO14J=1,IX
  IF(DFD(J))13,14,13
13 SUM=SUM+WFD(J)/DFD(J)
  DEN=DEN+1.
14 CONTINUE
  IF(DEN)16,15,16
15 CALL ERROR
16 ALAM=SUM/DEN
   RETURN
   END

SUBROUTINE VECT
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/SETUP/W,D,WFD(19),DFD(19),WSD(19,19),DSD(19,19),DEL(19),WEL
1(19)
COMMON/MATQ/A(19,19),Y(19,1),NR,YSQ,Z
C AX=Y NR=IX+1
C COMPUTES Y,YSQ,AND Z
YSQ=0.
DO15J=1,IX
  Y(J,1)=-(WFD(J)-ALAM*DFD(J))
15 YSQ=YSQ+Y(J,1)*Y(J,1)
  Y(NR,1)=C-D
  YSQ=YSQ+Y(NR,1)**2
  Z=YSQ/CSQ
   RETURN
   END

SUBROUTINE CONVG
COMMON/CONVG/XX(19,1),RK,LMOST,LSTOP,JWAY,G
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/MATQ/A(19,19),X(19,1),NR,YSQ,Z
C TESTS WHETHER MORE INNER ITERATIONS ARE REQUESTED AND COMPUTES A
C VECTOR INCREMENT ONE HALF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE LAST SUCH VECTOR
C INCREMENT TRIED, IF NEEDED
  IF(M-1) 1,2,8
1 CALL ERROR
8 IF(LMOST.GT.0) GO TO 3

```

```

2 RK=YSQ*G
  JWAY=1
  GO TO 100
3 IF(YSQ-RK)2,4,4
4 LSTOP=LSTOP+1
  IF(LMOST-LSTOP)5,6,6
5 JWAY=-1
  GO TO 100
6 DO 7 K=1,NR
  XX(K,1)=.5*XX(K,1)
7 X(K,1)=XX(K,1)
  JWAY=0
100 RETURN
  END

SUBROUTINE OUTPUT
COMMON/CON/ K, SIGU, ALPHA, YK, XM, PHI, Q, DELTA, DELOME, THMAX,
1TAU, T81, T82, XLD, RO, R(8), GAMMA, RK, E, PS, TS, H, ZM, LAMBDA,
2TA, NQ, X(9), P(9), XK(10), ABC, ETA, BETA, TNIT, TERM, T8, SC, SU,
3N, NN, DELTH, AV2, NMAX, L, W1, W2, W3, THET, WT, TA1
COMMON/SETUP/W,D,WFD(19),DFD(19),WSD(19,19),DSD(19,19),DEL(19),
1WFI(19)
COMMON/MATQ/A(19,19),Y(19,1),NR,YSQ,Z
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/CONVG/XX(19,1),RK,LMOST,LSTOP,JWAY,G
WRITE (51,1)M,D,W,YSQ,Z,LSTOP
1 FORMAT(1H03X2HM=I6,5H D=F14.6,5H W=E14.6,7H YSQ=E14.6,
15H 7=E14.6,9H LSTOP=I6)
WRITE(51,2)((Y(I,1),ALF(I)),I=1,NR)
2 FORMAT(1H02F16.6)
NQ1=NQ $ NQ=0
CALL WR
NQ=NQ1
WRITE(51,4) RO, (R(I),I=1,8), L, AV2, W1, W2, W3, WT
4 FORMAT('ORADII ARE',9F10.5,/, ' L =' ,E12.5,3X, 'AV2 =' ,E12.5,3X,
1'W1 =' ,E12.5,3X, 'W2 =' ,E12.5,3X, 'W3 =' ,E12.5,3X, 'WT =' ,E12.5)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE ARITH
COMMON/SETUP/W,D,WFD(19),DFD(19),WSD(19,19),DSD(19,19),DEL(19),WEL
1(19)
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/MATQ/A(19,19),X(19,1),NR,YSQ,Z
C COMPUTES ELEMENTS OF MATRIX A, NR=IX+1
DO 10 I=1,IX
DO 11 J=1,IX
11 A(I,J)=WSD(I,J)-ALAM*DSD(I,J)
  A(I,NR)=-DFD(I)

```

```

10 A(NR,I)=DFD(I)
   A(NR,NR)=0.
   RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE MATQ(A,X,NR,NV,DET,NA,NX)
DIMENSION A(10),X(10)
DET=1.0
NR1=NR-1
DO 5 K=1,NR
  IR1=K+1
  PIVOT=0.0
  DO 6 I=K,NR
    IK=(K-1)*NA+I
    Z=ABS(A(IK))
    IF(Z-PIVOT)6,6,7
  7 PIVOT=Z
  IPR=I
  6 CONTINUE
  IF(PIVOT)8,9,8
  9 DET=0.0
  RETURN
  8 IF(IPR-K)10,11,10
10 DO 12 J=K,NR
  IPRJ=(J-1)*NA+IPR
  Z=A(IPRJ)
  KJ=(J-1)*NA+K
  A(IPRJ)=A(KJ)
12 A(KJ)=Z
  DO 13 J=1,NV
  IPRJ=(J-1)*NX+IPR
  Z=X(IPRJ)
  KJ=(J-1)*NX+K
  X(IPRJ)=X(KJ)
13 X(KJ)=Z
  DET=-DET
11 KK=(K-1)*NA+K
  DET=DET*A(KK)
  PIVOT=1.0/A(KK)
  DO 14 J=IR1,NR
    KJ=(J-1)*NA+K
    A(KJ)=A(KJ)*PIVOT
  DO 14 J=IR1,NR
    IJ=(J-1)*NA+I
    IK=(K-1)*NA+I
14 A(IJ)=A(IJ)-A(IK)*A(KJ)
  DO 5 J=1,NV
  KJ=(J-1)*NX+K
  IF(X(KJ)) 15,5,15

```

```

15 X(KJ)=X(KJ)*PIVOT
DO 16 I=IR1,NR
  IJ=(J-1)*NX+I
  IK=(K-1)*NA+I
16 X(IJ)=X(IJ)-A(IK)*X(KJ)
5 CONTINUE
  NRRR=(NR-1)*NA+NR
  IF(A(NRRR)) 17,9,17
17 DET=DET*A(NRRR)
  PIVOT=1.0/A(NRRR)
DO 18 J=1,NV
  NRJ=(J-1)*NX+NR
  X(NRJ)=X(NRJ)*PIVOT
DO 18 K=1,NR1
  I=NR-K
  SUM=0.0
DO 19 L=I,NR1
  IL=L*NA+I
  LJ=(J-1)*NX+(L+1)
19 SUM=SUM+A(IL)*X(LJ)
  IJ=(J-1)*NX+I
18 X(IJ)=X(IJ)-SUM
  RETURN
  END

SUBROUTINE STEP
COMMON/CONVG/XX(19,1),RK,LMOST,LSTOP,JWAY,G
COMMON/MAX/IX,M,ALAM,ALF(19),C,CSQ,DH,WH
COMMON/MATQ/A(19,19),X(19,1),NR,YSQ,Z
DIMENSION ALFX(19)
C COMPUTES NEW ALPHAS AND LAMBDA. NR=IX+1
IF(JWAY)5,5,9
5 DO7K=1,NR
7 ALF(K)=ALF(K)-X(K,1)
  GO TO 11
9 ALF(NR)=ALAM
  DO10K=1,NR
  XX(K,1)=X(K,1)
  ALFX(K)=ALF(K)
10 ALF(K)=ALF(K)+X(K,1)
11 ALAM=ALF(NR)
  DO 20 K=1,IX
  IF(ALF(K).LT.0.0) 19,20
19 ALF(K)=0.5*ALFX(K)
  XX(K,1)=-1.0*ALF(K)
20 CONTINUE
  RETURN
  END

```

BLANK

Internal Distribution

1. T. A. Arehart
2. S. E. Beall
3. R. J. Beaver
4. P. R. Bell
5. M. Bender
6. R. B. Briggs
7. R. E. Brooksbank
8. C. A. Burchsted
9. T. G. Chapman
10. T. E. Cole
11. W. B. Cottrell
12. F. L. Culler
13. J. E. Cunningham
14. S. T. Ewing
15. D. E. Ferguson
16. A. P. Fraas
17. J. H. Frye, Jr.
18. J. H. Gillette
19. H. E. Goeller
20. W. O. Harms
21. H. W. Hoffman
22. A. S. Householder
23. G. R. Jasney, Y-12
24. F. B. K. Kam
25. E. Lamb
26. M. I. Lundin
27. R. N. Lyon
28. A. J. Miller
29. E. C. Miller
30. H. E. McCoy
- 31-32. Paul Nelson
- 33-36. J. P. Nichols
37. E. L. Nicholson
38. R. A. Robinson
39. M. W. Rosenthal
40. A. F. Rupp
41. R. W. Schneider
42. M. J. Skinner
43. R. L. Stephenson
44. R. W. Swindeman
45. W. E. Unger
46. V. R. R. Uppuluri
47. A. M. Weinberg
48. J. R. Weir
49. M. E. Whatley
50. D. R. Winkler
51. R. G. Wymer
52. Gale Young

Internal Distribution (Contd)

- 53-54. Central Research Library
- 55-56. Y-12 Document Reference Section
- 57-63. Laboratory Records
- 64. Laboratory Records-RC

External Distribution

- 65. AEC Patent Office
- 66-68. AEC, Washington, Attn: R. L. Carpenter, W. Kern, L. Topper
- 69-70. Aerojet-General Corporation (NASA)
- 71. Aerojet-General Nucleonics
- 72. Aerojet-General Nucleonics (NASA)
- 73-74. Aeronautical Systems Division
- 75. Aerospace Corporation
- 76. Air Force Headquarters
- 77. Air Force Surgeon General
- 78-80. Air Force Weapons Laboratory
- 81. Air University Library
- 82. AiResearch Manufacturing Company, Los Angeles
- 83. Albuquerque Operations Office
- 84. Argonne National Laboratory
- 85. Army Missile Command
- 86. Army Reactors Field Group
- 87. ARO, Inc.
- 88-93. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington
- 94-95. Atomics International
- 96. Battelle Memorial Institute
- 97-101. Battelle-Northwest
- 102. Bendix Corporation (NASA)
- 103. Brookhaven National Laboratory
- 104. Canoga Park Area Office
- 105. Chicago Patent Group
- 106. Director of Defense Research and Engineering
- 107-108. Du Pont Company, Aiken
- 109. Du Pont Company, Wilmington
- 110. Electro-Optical Systems, Inc.
- 111. General Atomic Division
- 112. General Dynamics/Fort Worth
- 113. General Electric Company, Cincinnati
- 114. General Electric Company (FPD)
- 115-116. General Electric Company (MSVD)
- 117. General Electric Company, San Jose
- 118. Hittman Associates, Inc.
- 119. Hughes Research Laboratories
- 120. Institute for Defense Analyses
- 121. Jet Propulsion Laboratory
- 122. Johns Hopkins University (APL)

External Distribution (Contd)

- 123. Lockheed-Georgia Company
- 124. Lockheed Missiles and Space Company (SAN)
- 125. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
- 126. Martin Company, Denver
- 127. Martin Company (NY)
- 128. Martin-Marietta Corporation
- 129. Massachusetts Institute of Technology (EVANS)
- 130. Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company
- 131. Monsanto Research Corporation
- 132. Mound Laboratory
- 133. NASA Ames Research Center
- 134-135. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
- 136-142. NASA Langley Research Center, Attn: P. J. Bobbitt, J. R. Dawson,
W. N. Gardner, L. A. Harris, R. N. Parker, Library (2 copies)
- 143-160. NASA Lewis Research Center, Attn: D. T. Bernatowicz,
J. E. Dilley, R. E. English, B. Lubarsky, T. A. Moss,
L. I. Shure (3 copies), L. Soffer, J. J. Ward, J. J. Webber,
Library (7 copies)
- 161-165. NASA Manned Spacecraft Center, Attn: J. Briley, D. Denby,
A. Redding, W. E. Rice, Library
- 166-169. NASA Marshall Space Flight Center
- 170-177. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington,
Attn: T. J. Albert, H. B. Finger, T. B. Kerr, B. Leefer,
H. D. Rothen, F. Schulman, Library (2 copies)
- 178-181. National Reactor Testing Station (INC)
- 182. Naval Air Development Center
- 183. Naval Air Systems Command
- 184. Naval Missile Center
- 185-187. Naval Ordnance Systems Command
- 188. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory
- 189. Naval Research Laboratory
- 190. Naval Ship Systems Command Headquarters
- 191. Naval Weapons Laboratory
- 192. Navy Marine Engineering Laboratory
- 193. New York Operations Office
- 194-195. Nuclear Materials and Equipment Corporation
- 196. Office of Naval Research
- 197. Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
- 198-199. Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OP-03EG)
- 200. Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division (NASA)
- 201. Radio Corporation of America (NY)
- 202. Radio Corporation of America, Lancaster
- 203. Rand Corporation
- 204. Republic Aviation Division
- 205. San Francisco Operations Office
- 206. Sandia Area Office
- 207-212. Sandia Corporation, Attn: V. E. Blake, A. J. Clark,
R. D. Stromberg, Library (3 copies)

External Distribution (Contd)

- 213. Savannah River Operations Office
- 214. Tracerlab, Richmond
- 215. TRW Systems (SAN)
- 216. University of California, Livermore
- 217. Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Lima (AF)
- 218. Westinghouse Electric Corporation (NASA)
- 219. Westinghouse Electric Corporation (WALO)
- 220. D. C. Davis, AEC, ORO
- 221. Division of Research and Development, AEC, ORO
- 222-223. Reactor Division, AEC, ORO
- 224-238. Division of Technical Information Extension, DTIE