UNCLASSIFIED

UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA

*Radiation
Laboratory*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

No. 40378
UCRL-2433

0
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Radiation Laboratory
Contract No. 7405-eng-48

TRANSCURIUM ISOTOPES PRODUCED IN THE NEUTRON
IRRADIATION OF PLUTONIUM

S. G. Thompson, A. Ghiorso, R. G. Harvey, and
G. R. Choppin

December 10, 1953

Berkeley, California

TRANSCURIUM ISOTOPES PRODUCED IN THE NEUTRON IRRADIATION OF PLUTONIUM

S. G. Thompson, A. Ghiorso, B. G. Harvey and G. R. Choppin
Radiation Laboratory and Department of Chemistry
University of California, Berkeley, California

December 10, 1953

We have succeeded in producing isotopes of three transcurium elements by the irradiation of the starting material Pu^{239} in the Materials Testing Reactor. The heaviest elements were separated completely from plutonium, fission products, americium, and curium by methods involving combinations of precipitation and ion exchange.¹⁻⁴ In the element 97 (berkelium) fraction, soft beta particles were observed. In the californium fraction, alpha particles of three different energies were observed, namely, about 6.15 Mev, 6.05 Mev, and 5.8 Mev. In the fraction just preceding the californium in elution from the hot Dowex-50 resin column, specifically the element 99 fraction,⁵ alpha particles of 6.6 Mev energy were observed. The amount of activity observed in this fraction was extremely small, nevertheless, its assignment to element 99 is regarded as certain. All of these isotopes have half-lives longer than one week.

The berkelium isotope which decays by the emission of soft beta particles is probably Bk^{249} . Other work⁶ has indicated that Bk^{247} is probably a relatively short-lived isotope which decays by electron capture to Cm^{247} which is beta stable. Therefore the lightest curium isotope which decays to berkelium would be Cm^{249} . The assignment to Bk^{249} is also reasonable since its radiations should be very soft whereas Bk^{248} or Bk^{250} (both odd-odd isotopes) would be expected to emit energetic radiation.

On the basis of this assignment, it is interesting to note that there are no beta-stable berkelium isotopes.

The californium isotope or isotopes emitting the above mentioned alpha particles must be heavier than 248. From alpha systematics, the alpha half-lives corresponding to the measured energies should range from a few years to several hundred years.⁷

The isotope of element 99 emitting 6.6 Mev alpha particles is logically assigned as 99^{253} . A reasonable half-life estimated from systematics, assuming a hindrance factor of ten, would be very roughly a month.

The isotopes mentioned here were all produced as a result of combinations of successive neutron captures and beta decays. A possible sequence leading to the production of 99^{253} might be the following:⁸

There is classified information relevant to element 99 at Berkeley, Argonne National Laboratory, and Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

Until this information can appear in the open literature the question of the first preparation should not be prejudged on the basis of this paper or the preceding one.⁵

We wish especially to thank Professor Glenn T. Seaborg for continued advice and encouragement in the experimental work. We wish to acknowledge the help of Dr. W. B. Lewis and the entire Phillips MTR staff for aid in the irradiation of the sample, of Dr. F. W. Albaugh, Dr. E. M. Kinderman, H. H. Van Tuyle and Lee Miller of the General Electric Company at Richland, Washington, for aid in the initial chemical separations and of the Health Chemistry Group of the University of California, particularly N. B. Garden and W. B. Ruehle for providing special equipment for handling the highly radioactive materials. We also wish to thank Dr. E. K. Hulet, Alfred Chetham-Strode, Docia B. McKennon, Margaret Nervik, and Therese Pionteki for extensive help in the experimental work. Finally, we wish to thank A. S. Coffinberry, V. O. Streubling, R. E. Tate, and B. W. Marshall of Los Alamos, and M. H. Studier, F. G. Foote, and A. B. Shuck of the Argonne National Laboratory for preparation of the plutonium in a form suitable for irradiation.

¹Thompson, Ghiorso, and Seaborg, Phys. Rev. 80, 781 (1950).

²Thompson, Street, Ghiorso, and Seaborg, Phys. Rev. 80, 790 (1950).

³Thompson, Cunningham, and Seaborg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 2798 (1950).

⁴Street, Thompson, and Seaborg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 4832 (1950).

⁵Ghiorso, Rossi, Harvey, and Thompson, Phys. Rev. (in press).

⁶E. K. Hulet, Ph. D. thesis, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-2283 (August 1953).

⁷Ferlman, Ghiorso, and Seaborg, Phys. Rev. 77, 26 (1950).

⁸G. T. Seaborg, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-1942 (March 1952).

0-4