Is the Pomeron a Goldstone Boson?

Stephen S. Pinsky*

and

Veronika Rabl

Department of Physics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Abstract

A Reggeon field theory is proposed using as an input two exchange degenerate meson-like trajectories, each of them corresponding to a pair of conjugate poles. A Pomeron and a lower lying Reggeon are generated by spontaneous symmetry breaking. Both the input and output trajectories have a $\sqrt{t}$ dependence and are complex for $t < 0$ reflecting the conventional treatment of Regge pole-cut collisions. The gauge symmetry of the model is briefly discussed, and the model is compared with earlier work.

*Research supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract AT(11-1)-1545.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Considerable effort has recently been applied to the study of the Gribov calculus in a field theoretic formulation.¹ This formulation has the advantage of guaranteeing the correct t-channel discontinuity relations. Furthermore, new insight may be gained by drawing on the vast experience with conventional field theories. Since the Gribov calculus contains many uncertainties and approximations, this program offers a great deal of freedom. There is a large number of field theoretic models to choose from and it is not obvious at the starting point which particular model or models may be relevant for describing nature. Guided by conventional Regge theory, one can set out to study a number of different models and test, in the theoretical laboratory, whether they can lead to a consistent description of Regge phenomena.

In this note we would like to incorporate, within the framework of spontaneous symmetry breakdown, two ideas which are familiar from many conventional Regge models. One is the notion that the Pomeron is generated by lower lying meson trajectories.² The second is the idea that the collision between Regge poles and cuts can approximately be described by a complex trajectory.³

The Reggeon field theory is generated by the formal identifications

$$E = 1 - J \quad (1)$$

between energy E and angular momentum J , and

$$\vec{q}^2 = -t \quad (2)$$

between momentum $\vec{q}$ and momentum transfer t . Thereby the Reggeon amplitude, originally a function of J and t , becomes a function of E and $\vec{q}$ in a space with one time and two space dimensions.¹ With this identification the

trajectory function $J = f(t)$ translates into a relation between E and $\vec{q}$

$$E = 1 - f(-\vec{q}^2), \quad (3)$$

which is a generalized mass shell condition, so to speak. Clearly, the choice of the trajectory function determines to a large extent the structure of the resulting theory. Before choosing a particular trajectory, we note that one of the fundamental problems which the Reggeon calculus attempts to answer is the effect of collisions between poles and cuts. This problem has been studied intensively and one of the most effective techniques for handling it has been the use of complex trajectories.³ Therefore, this type of trajectory appears to be an excellent starting point for a Reggeon field theory. In the models studied, the pole can be a Pomeron or a meson and it collides with cuts that are either Pomeron generated or arise from normal thresholds. Generally speaking, when the pole hits the cut, it splits into a pair of complex conjugate poles which can move off in the J -plane in various ways. We need not go into further detail of this mechanism other than to note that the propagators in such models generally take the form

$$\frac{1}{(J-\alpha_+)(J-\alpha_-)} = \frac{1}{(J-\alpha_R - i\alpha_I)(J-\alpha_R + i\alpha_I)} = \frac{1}{(J-\alpha_R)^2 + \alpha_I^2}, \quad (4)$$

where α_I should be non-zero in the region $t < 0$.

Let us now choose the pair of square root trajectories⁷ α_+ and α_- given by

$$\alpha_{\pm} = 1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha' t - \mu^2}. \quad (5)$$

They lead to a propagator

$$\frac{1}{(J-\alpha_+)(J-\alpha_-)} = \frac{1}{(1-J)^2 - \alpha' t + \mu^2} = \frac{1}{E^2 + \alpha' \vec{q}^2 + \mu^2}, \quad (6)$$

which is just the propagator of a free particle with mass μ^2 in a three dimensional space with the metric tensor

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha' \end{pmatrix} . \quad (7)$$

The free Lagrangian that generates this propagator is

$$L_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \varphi^2 . \quad (8)$$

In conventional Regge models for the Pomeron and mesons it has been generally assumed, at least as a first order approximation, that the Pomeron is weak in some sense and that it should be generated by lower trajectories.² In practice, this usually means that the input trajectories are taken to be only mesons and that the interaction of these trajectories generates a new trajectory with a higher intercept; this higher trajectory is then identified with the Pomeron. Pomeron self interactions are viewed as "fine tuning." A natural choice for the input, suggested by experiment, is an exchange degenerate pair of trajectories. Following this pattern, we write down the free Lagrangian

$$L_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 (\varphi^2 + \psi^2) ; \quad (9)$$

where φ and ψ represent the exchange degenerate pair.

The selection of an interaction term introduces new arbitrariness. One of the possible candidates for the interaction Lagrangian is^{4,5}

$$L_I = -\frac{\lambda^2}{4!} (\varphi^2 + \psi^2)^2 ; \quad (10)$$

it leads to the intriguing possibility of shifting a trajectory and generating the Pomeron by spontaneous symmetry breakdown. It has already been pointed out that spontaneous symmetry breakdown can play a role in Reggeon field theory, specifically, that it can force the effective trajectory intercept to be less than or equal to one, a result which is usually thought to arise from s-channel unitarity constraints.⁵

We note that the full Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(\varphi^2 + \psi^2) - \frac{\lambda^2}{4!}(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)^2 \quad (11)$$

has a gauge symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &\rightarrow \varphi - i\epsilon\psi, \\ \psi &\rightarrow \psi + i\epsilon\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

which implies a conserved current

$$j_\mu \sim i(\psi \partial_\mu \varphi - \varphi \partial_\mu \psi) \quad (13)$$

and a conserved charge. Since this conserved charge reflects the exchange degeneracy which we built into the Lagrangian, we may expect this conservation of "Reggeon charge" to have validity beyond the scope of this particular model.

Following the well known discussion of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the σ -model⁶ we look for the minimum of the potential

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(\varphi^2 + \psi^2) + \frac{\lambda^2}{4!}(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)^2 \quad (14)$$

by requiring

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} = \varphi \left(\mu^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3!} (\varphi^2 + \psi^2) \right) = 0, \quad (a)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \psi} = \psi \left(\mu^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3!} (\varphi^2 + \psi^2) \right) = 0, \quad (b)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \varphi^2} = \mu^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3!} (\varphi^2 + \psi^2) + \frac{2\lambda^2}{3!} \varphi^2 > 0, \quad (c) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \psi^2} = \mu^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{3!} (\varphi^2 + \psi^2) + \frac{2\lambda^2}{3!} \psi^2 > 0. \quad (d)$$

If $\langle \varphi \rangle_0 = \langle \psi \rangle_0 = 0$, then μ^2 must be positive which in turn implies that the intercepts of the trajectories are

$$\alpha_{\pm}(0) = 1 \pm i\mu. \quad (16)$$

These of course lead to a completely acceptable theory of a pair of exchange degenerate trajectories that collide with branch points at $t = \mu^2/\alpha'$, and become complex.

If we now attempt to violate the Froissart bound by putting μ^2 negative so that the intercept of the trajectory with positive slope (physical sheet) is

$$\alpha_+(0) = 1 + \sqrt{-\mu^2}, \quad (17)$$

then V no longer has a minimum at $\langle \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi \rangle = 0$. This situation is remedied by making a shift in the ψ -field and defining a new field ψ'

$$\psi' = \psi - \sqrt{-3!\mu^2/\lambda^2}. \quad (18)$$

In terms of the new field the Lagrangian becomes

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\mu \psi' \partial^\mu \psi') - \frac{(-2\mu^2)}{2} \psi'^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4!} (\varphi^2 + \psi'^2)^2 - \sqrt{\frac{-\mu^2 \lambda^2}{3!}} \psi' (\varphi^2 + \psi'^2) \quad (19)$$

and the minimum of the potential now occurs at $\langle \varphi \rangle_0 = \langle \psi' \rangle_0 = 0$. The free φ -field becomes massless and its mass shell condition (trajectory function) reads

$$E^2 + \alpha' q^2 = 0, \quad (20)$$

while the free ψ' -field acquires a mass $-2\mu^2$ with a corresponding mass shell condition

$$E^2 + \alpha' q^2 - 2\mu^2 = 0. \quad (21)$$

The trajectory of the φ -field can now be identified with the Pomeron since at $t=0$ ($q^2 = 0$) it has $J=1$ ($E=0$). The ψ' -field generates a meson-like trajectory with an intercept of $1 \pm i\sqrt{-2\mu^2}$. Of course, each of the trajectory functions (20) and (21) corresponds to a conjugate pair of trajectories given by a form similar to that of Eq.(5).

As a result of the spontaneous symmetry breaking our Lagrangian develops cubic interactions which are expected to be present in any complete Regge theory. It is interesting to note that no triple Pomeron coupling appears; the same phenomenon is true for a typical multiperipheral model where the Pomeron is generated from lower trajectories. However, in the same model one would expect a Pomeron-meson-meson coupling⁹ while we find a Pomeron-Pomeron-meson coupling instead.

It is instructive to compare our results to those of Ref.5. Even though much of the algebra involved can be made to look quite similar, the results are quite different from each other. By introducing the fields

$$\phi_+ = \frac{\psi + i\varphi}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (22)$$

and

$$\phi_- = \frac{\psi - i\varphi}{\sqrt{2}}$$

we can bring our Lagrangian of Eq.(11) to the form

$$L = -\partial_\mu \phi_+ \partial^\mu \phi_- - \mu^2 \phi_+ \phi_- - \frac{\lambda^2}{3!} (\phi_+ \phi_-)^2. \quad (23)$$

If we now proceed according to Ref.5 we obtain the following free equations of motion for the new fields

$$-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_- - \mu^2 \phi_- = \mu^2 \phi_+ \quad (24)$$

and

$$-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_+ - \mu^2 \phi_+ = \mu^2 \phi_-.$$

These equations can be decoupled and we recover our previous result

$$-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu (\phi_+ + \phi_-) - 2\mu^2 (\phi_+ + \phi_-) = 0 \quad (25)$$

and

$$-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu (\phi_+ - \phi_-) = 0.$$

In the case of Ref.5, the uncoupling can be achieved only if the degree of the equations is increased and the structure of the trajectories is thus changed in the process. In particular,

$$J = 1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha' t (\alpha' t - 2\delta)} \quad (26)$$

is obtained, which, contrary to conventional considerations,⁸ is real for $t < 0$.

Let us now return to our starting point and reconsider our choice of the Lagrangian L_0 . The standard Klein-Gordon Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\varphi}^2 - \vec{\nabla}\varphi \cdot \vec{\nabla}\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2; \quad (27)$$

previously, in order to obtain a Euclidean metric, we changed the sign of the energy term $\dot{\varphi}^2$. A sign change in the $\vec{\nabla}\varphi \cdot \vec{\nabla}\varphi$ term would lead to a form

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi + \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2(\varphi^2 + \psi^2) - \frac{1}{4!}\lambda^2(\varphi^2 + \psi^2)^2, \quad (28)$$

with the metric given again by Eq.(7). This would imply that the initial trajectories are given by

$$\alpha_\pm = 1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha' t + \mu^2}, \quad (29)$$

where for $\mu^2 > 0$ the trajectory with positive slope would violate the Froissart bound, and, by Eqs.(15), there is no "force" to bring this trajectory below one. If, on the other hand, μ^2 is less than zero the trajectory does not violate the Froissart bound, but spontaneous symmetry breakdown sets in. We obtain a trajectory with intercept one, and another pair with

$$\alpha_\pm = 1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha' t - 2\mu^2}. \quad (30)$$

The intercept of the trajectory with positive slope lies again above one. This approach is obviously not very fruitful, since the Lagrangian of Eq.(28) violates s-channel unitarity for either choice of the sign of μ^2 .

However, the question remains, whether either of the Lagrangians considered leads to a reasonable field theory. Recall that the standard Klein-Gordon field is given by

$$\varphi(x) = \int d^4k \delta(k^2 - \mu^2) \theta(E) \left[A(E, \vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + A^\dagger(E, \vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right]. \quad (31)$$

Our first choice, Eq.(9), corresponds to replacing E^2 by $-E^2$, that is $E \rightarrow \pm iE$. Therefore the field becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) = \pm \int d^3k d(iE) \delta[(iE - \omega_k)(iE + \omega_k)] \theta(\pm iE) \left\{ A(\pm iE, \vec{k}) e^{\pm Et + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right. \\ \left. + A^\dagger(\pm iE, \vec{k}) e^{\mp Et - i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right\}, \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + \mu^2}$ (we take $\alpha' = 1$); thus

$$1 - J = E = \pm i\omega_k. \quad (33)$$

We define the square root cut such that $E = -i\omega_k$ leads, for $t > \mu^2$, to a trajectory with positive slope, which we identify with the "physical state." Note that here too, as in the conventional Klein-Gordon theory, a second sheet "unphysical" pole at $E = i\omega_k$ is required by real analyticity. The choice of $E = -i\omega_k$ amounts to selecting the $E \rightarrow iE$ rotation, and therefore

$$\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{2\omega_k} \theta(\omega_k) \left[A(\omega_k, \vec{k}) e^{-i\omega_k t + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} + A^\dagger(\omega_k, \vec{k}) e^{i\omega_k t - i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right]. \quad (34)$$

It appears that there are no obvious problems with this field theory.

Finally, let us consider the Lagrangian of Eq.(28), which corresponds to $\vec{k} \rightarrow \pm i\vec{k}$. The appropriate field becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) = \mp i \int d^3k dE \theta(E) \delta(E^2 + k^2 - \mu^2) \left[A(E, \pm i\vec{k}) e^{-iEt \mp i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right. \\ \left. + A^\dagger(E, \pm i\vec{k}) e^{iEt \pm i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \right], \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

leading to a requirement $k^2 < \mu^2$ and therefore an unacceptable Reggeon field.

Let us note that the two conjugate states $E = \pm i\omega_k$ might be treated in a fermion theory. This will be considered in more detail elsewhere.

The Reggeon field that we have discussed here clearly has a number of tantalizing features, which warrant additional study (for example, through the renormalization group). Furthermore, many of the ideas seem sufficiently general to be included in other Reggeon field theories, in particular the simultaneous presence of both the Pomeron and meson-like trajectories. The appearance of a new conserved charge should also be pursued.

References

1. H. D. I. Abarbanel and J. B. Bronzan, NAL-PUB-73/91-THY (December 1973) and references therein.
2. D. Horn and F. Zachariasen, Hadron Physics at Very High Energies, W. A. Benjamin 1973, p.224 and following pages. See also D. K. Campbell and S.-J. Chang, "Multiplicity Distributions in Multipheral Models with Isospin", Institute for Advanced Study preprint (1973), and H. D. I. Abarbanel, G. F. Chew, M. L. Goldberger and L. M. Saunders, Phys. Rev. D 4, 2988 (1971).
3. J. S. Ball, G. Marchesini and F. Zachariasen, Phys. Letters 31B, 583 (1970) and P. Kaus and F. Zachariasen, Phys. Rev. D 1, 2692 (1969).
4. H. D. I. Abarbanel and J. B. Bronzan, Caltech preprint CALT-68-428.
5. H. D. I. Abarbanel, Phys. Letters 49B, 61 (1974).
6. R. S. Adler and R. Dashen, Current Algebras, W. A. Benjamin 1968.
7. F. Zachariasen, lecture given at the X International Universitatswochen Kernphysik, Schladming 1971, CERN TH.1290.
8. D. Horn and F. Zachariasen, Hadron Physics at Very High Energies, W. A. Benjamin, 1973, p.87.
9. S. Pinsky, Phys. Letters, 41B, 623 (1972).