MASTER

EXTERNAL TRANSMITTAL
AUTHORIZED

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Operated by

UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY

Division of Union Carbide Corporation

Post Office Box X
Oak Ridge, TennesseeORNL
CENTRAL FILES NUMBER

58-9-39

DATE: October 3, 1958

COPY NO. 35

SUBJECT: Some Studies of Water, Styrofoam and Plexiglas Reflectors

TO: Distribution

FROM: J. K. Fox and L. W. Gilley

Abstract

The reflecting properties of water, Styrofoam, and plexiglas were studied in critical experiments with 6-in.-thick slabs of aqueous solutions of UO_2F_2 enriched to 93% in U^{235} . It was found that Styrofoam is significantly less effective than water as a neutron reflector, since the height of a slab with an effectively infinite Styrofoam reflector (except on top) was reduced by only about 20% from that of the unreflected slab, while the height of a slab with an effectively infinite water reflector was reduced by more than a factor of 3. The effects of placing water, Styrofoam, and plexiglas between two-slab assemblies, both unreflected and water-reflected, were also investigated.

NOTICE

This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

The information is not to be abstracted, reprinted or otherwise given public dissemination without the approval of the ORNL patent branch, Legal and Information Control Department.

C53 001

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

L'EGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission to the extent that such employee or contractor prepares, handles or distributes, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission.

Start

Some Studies of Water, Styrofoam and Plexiglas Reflectors

Two sets of critical experiments have been performed with slabs of uranium solutions in order to compare the reflecting properties of Styrofoam¹ and water and to determine the effect of placing various thicknesses of plexiglas between two unreflected slabs. The fissile material used was an aqueous solution of UO_2F_2 which was enriched to 93.2% in U^{235} and had an H: U^{235} atomic ratio of 293. The solution was contained in slab-shaped aluminum vessels having nominal dimensions of 6 in. x 48 in. x 48 in. and wall thicknesses of 1/8 in.

The first group of experiments consisted of determining the critical height of a single 6-in.-thick slab under the following reflector conditions: (a) no reflector; (b) water reflector on the bottom and on all four sides of the slab to the height of the solution; (c) a 3- or 6-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each face, that is, on each 48 by 48 in. side of the slab, and (d) a 3- or 6-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each face of the slab plus a water reflector on the bottom and all four sides of the slab-Styrofoam assembly to the height of the solution. The results are summarized in Table 1. It is noted that Styrofoam is significantly less effective as a neutron reflector than is water, since a layer of the plastic 6 in. thick, which is nearly effectively infinite, reduced the critical height of the slab by only about 20%, while a water reflector reduced the height by more than a factor of three. Replacing the first 3 in. of water on the two faces of the slab with Styrofoam increased the critical height by about 33%; replacing the first 6 in. of water with Styrofoam increased the height about 53%. Using a 3-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each of the two faces of an otherwise unreflected slab is almost as effective as using a 6-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on the faces.

In some of the experiments two 6-in.-thick parallel slabs were placed 6 and 12-1/6 in. apart, and the critical heights of the two-slab assemblies were determined for several reflector conditions. In one experiment the slabs were both reflected (except top) and separated by water, and in another experiment they were reflected by water and separated by Styrofoam. In other experiments no water reflector was used and the effect of placing Styrofoam between the slab was determined. Comparison of the critical height of two water-reflected slabs separated by water with their critical height when they were separated by Styrofoam shows that replacing the water with the Styrofoam decreased the reactivity of the assembly, since the increased leakage more than compensated for the increased interaction. Placing 6 in. of Styrofoam between two unreflected slabs increased the critical height slightly; however, placing 12-1/6 in. of Styrofoam between two unreflected slabs decreased the critical height.

-
1. Styrofoam is the trade name for a foam-like form of polystyrene ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$) in which small gas bubbles are homogeneously distributed throughout the plastic. The bubbles are apparently closed since Styrofoam does not absorb water.

Table 1. Critical Parameters of U^{235} -Enriched Uranium Solutions in Single and Interacting Slab Geometries Reflected with Styrofoam, Water and Plexiglas

Density of Styrofoam:	0.028 g/cc
Concentration of Solution:	0.0850 g of U per g of solution; 0.0878 g of U^{235} per cc of solution; H: U^{235} atomic ratio = 293
Isotopic Analysis of Uranium:	93.18% of U^{235} ; 5.51% of U^{238} 1.04% of U^{234} ; and 0.27% of U^{236}
Specific Gravity of Solution:	1.108
Solution Container:	Aluminum vessel 6 in. wide, 48 in. high, and 48 in. long with 1/8-in.-thick walls
Reflector Conditions	Critical Solution Height (in.)
One 6-in.-thick Slab of Solution	
No-reflector	27.25
Water reflector on bottom and on all four sides of slab to height of solution	8.09
3-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each face of slab	22.90
6-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each face of slab	21.83
3-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each face of slab plus a water reflector on bottom and on all four sides of slab-Styrofoam-assembly to height of solution	10.84
6-in.-thick Styrofoam reflector on each face of slab plus a water reflector on bottom and on all four sides of slab-Styrofoam assembly to height of solution	12.35
Two 6-in.-thick Slabs of Solution of 6 in. Apart	
No reflector	11.72
No water reflector: 6 in. of Styrofoam between slabs	12.14
Water reflector on bottom and on the outside of assembly to height of solution: 6 in. of water between slabs	7.90
Water reflector on bottom and on outside of assembly to height of solution: 6 in. of Styrofoam between slabs	7.93

Table 1 (Con't)

Reflector Conditions	Critical Solution Height (in.)
Two 6-in.-thick Slabs of Solution 12-1/6 in. Apart	
No reflector	14.58
No water reflector: 12-1/6 in. of Styrofoam between slabs	14.21
Water reflector on bottom and on outside of assembly to height of solution: 12-1/6 in. of water between slabs	8.09*
Water reflector on bottom and on outside of assembly to height of solution: 12-1/6 in. of Styrofoam between slabs	8.74
Two 6-in.-thick Slabs of Solution 12 in. Apart	
No reflector	14.01
No water reflector: 0.5 in. of plexiglas centered between slabs	14.26
No water reflector: 1.0 in. of plexiglas centered between slabs	13.74
No water reflector: 1.5 in. of plexiglas centered between slabs	13.77
No water reflector: 2.0 in. of plexiglas centered between slabs	14.36
No water reflector: One 0.5-in.-thick plexiglas sheet positioned against inside face of each slab	12.28
No water reflector: One 1.0-in.-thick plexiglas sheet positioned against inside face of each slab	11.75
*Value obtained with single 6-in.-thick slab in water. Previous experiments with interacting slabs in water have shown that 12 in. of water effectively isolates the individual slabs.	

The effect of placing various thicknesses of plexiglas between two 6-in.-thick slabs spaced 12 in. apart was also investigated. In one case successive thicknesses of 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 in. of plexiglas were centered between the two slabs, and in other experiments 0.5- and 1.0-in. thicknesses were placed against each inside face of the two slabs. The data are plotted in Fig. 1. These curves should approach as asymptotes the critical heights corresponding to slabs one-half reflected with water or plexiglas, since 12 in. of either material would effectively isolate the two slabs.

Fig. 1. Critical Height of Two Unreflected 6-in.-thick Slabs of 93% U^{235} -Enriched Uranium Solution 12 in. Apart as a Function of the Thickness of Plexiglas Moderator Between the Slabs.

Distribution ListInternal

1. E. P. Blizard	9. L. B. Holland	16. E. G. Silver
2. Dixon Callihan	10. E. B. Johnson	17. J. T. Thomas
3. R. A. Charpie	11. F. C. Maienschein	18. A. M. Weinberg
4. C. E. Clifford	12. D. W. Magnuson	19. C. E. Winters
5. J. K. Fox	13. J. T. Mihalcz	20. W. Zobel
6. L. W. Gilley	14. M. L. Nelson	21-22. Laboratory Records
7. R. Gwin	15. A. B. Reynolds	23. Laboratory Records,
8. K. M. Henry		ORNL-RC

External

24. Dow Chemical Co., Rocky Flats Plant, Denver, Colorado (Attn: C. L. Schuske)
25. E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Co., Aiken, South Carolina (Attn: H. K. Clark)
26. Goodyear Atomic Corporation, Portsmouth, Ohio (Attn: F. E. Woltz)
27. Hanford Atomic Products Operation, Richland, Washington (Attn: J. E. Faulkner)
28. K-25 (Attn: H. F. Henry)
29. Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Attn: H. C. Paxton)
30. National Lead of Ohio, Cincinnati, Ohio (Attn: Howard Zeitz)
31. Paducah (Attn: O. W. Herman)
32. University of California Laboratory, Livermore, California (Attn: J. E. Carothers)
33-34. Y-12 (Attn: Paul Kasten, J. D. McLendon)
35-49. TISE, AEC
- Don't*
Stop