UNCLASSIFIED **OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY**

Operated By

UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY

POST OFFICE BOX P
OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE**ORNL**
CENTRAL FILES NUMBER

58 - 1 - 4

DATE: January 15, 1958
SUBJECT: Fluxes Obtainable in a Flux-Trap Reactor
TO: Listed Distribution
FROM: W. K. Ergen

COPY NO. 37

"External Transmittal
Authorized"

DISTRIBUTION

1. E. P. Blizard	31. H. G. MacPherson
2. E. S. Bettis	32. A. M. Perry
3. R. A. Charpie	33. A. Sauer
4. A. Chetham-Strode	34. George Safonov (RAND)
5. T. E. Cole	35. M. J. Skinner
6-26. W. K. Ergen	36. A. M. Weinberg
27. E. Guth	37-52. TISE, AEC
28. W. H. Jordan	53-54. Laboratory Records
29. P. R. Kasten	55. ORNL Document Reference Library
30. J. A. Lane	56. ORNL-RC

NOTICE

This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

UNCLASSIFIED

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission to the extent that such employee or contractor prepares, handles or distributes, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ABSTRACT

The general computational method, described in a previous memorandum¹ and applicable to an idealized flux-trap reactor, is used to obtain numerical values of the required multiplication factor ηf , and of the thermal flux ϕ_c in the center of the internal thermal column, for various radii of the fuel shell and for the following moderators: D_2O , Be, BeO, and C. The results are collected in Table 1, and shown in Fig. 1-4. For any given moderator, ϕ_c reaches a maximum for a certain radius. For the four moderators listed above, Table 2 shows this radius, the corresponding central flux ϕ_c (in n/cm^2 per fission neutron emitted) and the multiplication factor ηf , as well as the reactor power required to make the central flux equal to 10^{16} n/cm^2 sec. This power varies from 138 Mw for Be to 315 Mw for C.

FLUXES OBTAINABLE IN A FLUX-TRAP REACTOR

In a previous memorandum,¹ we described a general computational method applicable to a flux-trap reactor idealized in the following manner. The fuel is concentrated in a spherical shell embedded in an infinite moderator; that is, the moderator occupies the space inside as well as outside the shell. The shell is "infinitely thin," but "black" for thermal neutrons; that is, the thickness of the shell is small compared with its radius, but large compared with the diffusion length in the fuel. The shell emits ηf fission neutrons for each thermal neutron absorbed. Absorptions at epithermal energies, both in the fuel and the moderator are neglected. In

¹W. K. Ergen, "Flux Distribution in a Reactor Consisting of a Spherical Shell of Fuel in an Infinite Moderator," CF 57-12-100, Dec. 24, 1957.

this model the fission neutrons emitted from the shell slow down according to the age kernel and then diffuse according to diffusion theory, with the boundary conditions created by the "black" shell.

The flux-trap is formed by the moderator enclosed by the fuel shell. The thermal flux at the center of this flux-trap, normalized to the emission of one fission neutron by the shell, can be computed by means of the last equation in the reference.¹ The result is tabulated in Table 1, for D_2O , Be, BeO, and C moderator and for various values of the dimensionless shell radius $\rho = r/\sqrt{\tau}$, where r is the actual radius and $\sqrt{\tau}$ the slowing-down length. As can be seen, the central flux is much higher for Be and BeO than for D_2O and C, which is largely due to the small diffusion constant of Be and BeO. This forces the neutrons, which reach thermal energies at some distance from the shell, to build up a substantial gradient of flux in the process of diffusing toward the shell. The higher this gradient, the higher the central flux. Table 1 also shows the multiplication factor η_f required to keep the idealized flux-trap reactor critical. η_f was computed from eq. 14 of ref. 1.

As can be seen from the last equation of ref. 1, ϕ_c is inversely proportional to D and, for a given ρ , also inversely proportional to $\sqrt{\tau}$. Hence ϕ_c is proportional to the square of the density. The densities used are those listed in Table 2, as mentioned below. They are identical with the densities listed in Table 1 of ref. 1, except that density 2 was used for C in the present memorandum. The required multiplication factor η_f is independent of the density.

The results shown in Table 1 are also plotted in Fig. 1-4, each figure referring to one of the moderators considered. The central flux reaches a maximum for a certain value of the radius. At smaller radii, the flux-trap principle is not very effective, because the neutrons do not have to travel very far from the point of thermalization to the shell. Hence, they do not build up to give high fluxes at the center. At larger radii, the surface of the shell gets too large, and since the total shell emits one fission neutron in any case, the number of neutrons emitted per cm^2 of the shell becomes too small. Also, for large radii, neutrons suffer excessively from absorption in the moderator before they reach the shell. The required multiplication factor decreases monotonically with increasing radius, as less neutrons are lost at infinity for larger radii.

Table 2 refers to the flux-trap reactor, the radius of which has the value which maximizes the central flux. The central flux is given for the four moderators considered, as well as the required multiplication factor ηf . For the reasons given above, Be and BeO give higher central flux than D_2O or C, but it should be noted that Be and BeO require multiplication factors close to the theoretical maximum obtainable with U^{235} (2.06 obtained when $f = 1$), whereas C and D_2O get by with smaller ηf values. The dimensionless radii ρ , corresponding to these maxima of the central flux, are also listed. It may be seen that these values are always close to two, being largest for the least absorbing moderator, D_2O . The actual radii, in cm, are also recorded.

For a given reactor power of P megawatt, there are $3.1 \times 10^{16} P$ fissions/sec, or $3.1 \times 10^{16} \times 2.46 P = 7.63 \times 10^{16} P$ fission-neutron emissions/sec, or $7.63 \times 10^{16} P \phi_c$ n/cm²sec at the center of the flux-trap, where ϕ_c is the value obtained from Table 2. Conversely, one can compute the reactor power P , required to give a flux of 10^{16} n/cm²sec. This power, in Mw,

$$P = 1/7.63 \phi_c$$

is listed in Table 2, last column. For Be the power is 138 Mw for the idealized conditions considered here.

The multiplication factor and the dimensionless radius ρ are independent of the density of the moderator. However, the actual radius is proportional to the slowing down length $\sqrt{\tau}$, and hence inversely proportional to the density. The central flux is proportional to the square of the density as mentioned above, and hence the power needed for 10^{16} n/cm²sec is inversely proportional to the square of the density. The multiplication factor is independent of the density. Column 2 lists the density values used.

Table 1

Central Flux ϕ_c in Units of 10^{-4} n/cm² per Fission n
Emitted and Multiplication Factor η^f

<u>ρ</u>	<u>Φ_c</u>				<u>η^f</u>			
	<u>D₂O</u>	<u>Be</u>	<u>BeO</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D₂O</u>	<u>Be</u>	<u>BeO</u>	<u>C</u>
1.2	3.508	7.065	6.600	3.027	1.985	2.674	2.527	2.448
1.4	4.123	8.200	7.691	3.533	1.795	2.371	2.249	2.183
1.6	4.578	8.985	8.461	3.895	1.663	2.166	2.059	2.002
1.8	4.864	9.398	8.893	4.104	1.568	2.024	1.926	1.873
2.0	4.989	9.475	9.013	4.169	1.497	1.922	1.829	1.780
2.2	4.975	9.270	8.870	4.114	1.443	1.847	1.758	1.711
2.5	4.758	8.584	8.293	3.865	1.383	1.769	1.682	1.637
3.0	4.102	6.934	6.828	3.212	1.316	1.693	1.605	1.559
3.5	3.359	5.237	5.279	2.512	1.272	1.651	1.560	1.514
4.0	2.696	3.816	3.954	1.908	1.241	1.626	1.533	1.485

Table 2

Idealized Flux-Trap Reactor with Radius Adjusted
to Give Maximum Central Flux

<u>Moderator</u>	<u>Density</u> <u>g/cm³</u>	Central Flux ϕ_c in Units of <u>10^{-4} n/cm² per</u> <u>Fission n Emitted</u>	<u>Multiplication</u> <u>Factor</u>	<u>(see text)</u>	<u>Radius</u> <u>cm</u>	<u>Power</u> <u>Mw/(10^{16} n/cm²sec)</u>
D ₂ O	1.1	4.999	1.474	2.08	23.3	262
Be	1.85	9.485	1.944	1.95	19.2	138
BeO	3.0	9.014	1.833	1.99	20.4	145
C	2.0	4.169	1.780	2.00	30.5	315

6