Progress in Wind-and-React Bi-2212 Accelerator Magnet Technology


Abstract—We report on our progress in the development of the technology for the application of Bi\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{2}CaCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{8+x} (Bi-2212) in Wind-and-React accelerator magnets. A series of superconducting subscale coils has been manufactured at LBNL and reacted at the wire manufacturer SWCC. Selected coils are impregnated and tested in self-field, even though the coils exhibited leakage during the partial melt heat treatment. Other coils have been disassembled after reaction and submitted to critical current \(I_c\) tests on individual cable sections. We report on the results of the current carrying capacity of the coils. Voltage-current \(V-I\) transitions were reproducibly measured up to a quench currents around 1400 A, which is 25\% of the expected performance. The results indicate that the coils are limited by the inner windings. We further compare possibilities to use Bi-2212 and Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn tilted solenoids, and \(\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}\) (YBCO) racetrack inserts to increase the magnetic field in HD2, a 36 mm bore Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn dipole magnet which recently achieved a bore magnetic field of 13.8 T. The application of Bi-2212 and/or YBCO in accelerator type magnets, if successful, will open the road to higher magnetic fields, far surpassing the limitations of Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn magnet technology.

Index Terms—accelerator magnets, Bi-2212, high temperature superconductors, YBCO

I. INTRODUCTION

THE development of technologies to extend accelerator type superconducting dipole magnets beyond that achievable with Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn is currently under investigation, with the primarily focus on Bi-2212 round wires. The present accelerator dipole prototype record is 16 T at 4.5 K [1], and although yet higher fields are attainable with Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn technology, it is evident that Nb\textsubscript{3}Sn-based dipole magnets are ultimately limited to 17 to 18 T [2] and that new materials must be introduced to reach higher fields.

The dominant material candidates are YBCO tapes and Bi-2212 round wire, both of which are commercially available and hold promise for reasonable engineering current densities at high field and low temperature. The focus on Bi-2212 material for accelerator applications stems primarily from its availability as round wire, capable of being formed into a high-current conductor using well-proven Rutherford-cable technology. Application of Rutherford cable technology to Bi-2212 was demonstrated nearly a decade ago [3]. For accelerator applications a wind-and-react (W&R) approach must be taken, requiring a suite of compatible materials, from conductor insulation to winding and reaction tooling, capable of withstanding the ~900°C reaction in pure Oxygen without inhibiting or impacting the formation of the superconducting phases. An HTS program is therefore underway at LBNL to investigate material and fabrication issues associated with W&R Bi-2212 conductors. The research is focused on multiple fronts:

- Design, fabrication, and test of subscale coils to develop an understanding of coil handling, testing and testing issues/needs;
- Analysis and experimental campaigns to understand conductor concerns, in particular material compatibility and conductor leakage issues, both for wire and Rutherford cables;
- Investigation of magnet configurations and design issues for insert coils in existing high field dipole magnets, including mechanical loads and magnet protection.

Here we describe coil fabrication (section II) and results of first coil tests (section III). Possible application of Bi-2212 round wire and YBCO tape conductors for insert coils are reviewed in section IV.

II. COIL MANUFACTURE

A series of six subscale coils has been fabricated and heat treated, and a subset of the coils has been tested at 4.2 K. To fabricate a coil, bare 0.8 mm diameter wire from the manufacturer is used to create a 17-strand Rutherford cable using the LBNL cabling facility. The cable is then insulated with a ceramic fiber sleeve prior to coil winding on an INCONEL® Alloy 600 island. Voltage taps are installed during coil fabrication. The wound coil is placed in INCONEL® Alloy 600 support tooling with confinement controlled by shims. The coil is reacted at the manufacturer at ~880°C in pure Oxygen following a precise heat treatment schedule.

Table I describes the coils fabricated and heat-treated in collaboration with Showa Cable Systems (SWCC). Coil HTS-SC01 was a dummy coil with numerous calibrated thermocouples used to demonstrate that the coil mass could reliably undergo the thermal schedule with sufficiently small temperature gradients. Experience with coils HTS-SC03 and HTS-SC05 suggested coil confinement may contribute to leakage; whereas the confined coils showed some leakage, unconfined witness samples did not. Coils HTS-SC07, HTS-SC09, and
TABLE I
COILS FABRICATED USING SWCC B1-2212 ROUND WIRE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coil ID</th>
<th>Strand</th>
<th>Insulation</th>
<th>Sizing</th>
<th>Confinement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SC01</td>
<td>Ag dummy</td>
<td>Pure SiO₂</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SC03</td>
<td>Untwisted</td>
<td>Al₂O₃SiO₂</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SC05</td>
<td>Twisted</td>
<td>Al₂O₃SiO₂</td>
<td>600°C/1hr</td>
<td>full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SC07</td>
<td>Twisted</td>
<td>Al₂O₃SiO₂</td>
<td>825°C/4hr</td>
<td>Low (X&amp;Y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SC09</td>
<td>Twisted</td>
<td>Al₂O₃SiO₂</td>
<td>825°C/4hr</td>
<td>Low (X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS-SC11</td>
<td>Twisted</td>
<td>Al₂O₃SiO₂</td>
<td>825°C/4hr</td>
<td>Low (Y)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HTS-SC11 were therefore designed with varying degrees of confinement: "low (X)" refers to no restriction from side rails; "low (Y)" refers to removal of top-plate during reaction.

III. B1-2212 COIL MEASUREMENTS

A. Measurement procedures

Coils HTS-SC05, HTS-SC07, and HTS-SC09 and their witness cables samples have been analyzed for critical current performance. The witness samples were cooled down in an unconstrained state, and tested for $I_c$ performance at 64 K. Coil HTS-SC09 was deconstructed at room temperature (RT) at SWCC. Partial inner and outer turns from layer 1 and 2, consisting of two straight sections and one curve, were also cooled down in an unconstrained state and tested for $I_c$ at 64 K. The INCONEL® Alloy 600 reaction package of coils HTS-SC05 and HTS-SC07 was removed and the outer coil confinement (‘horseshoe’ [4], Fig. 1) was replaced with a stainless steel version. A glass-fiber epoxy (G10) plate was inserted inside the hollow ‘island’ ([4], Fig. 1). The coils were instrumented and vacuum impregnated with CTD-101 epoxy, repacked inside the reaction package, and cooled down to 4.2 K for $I_c$ measurement. A picture of an impregnated coil is given in Fig. 1 and a schematic of the voltage tap configuration is given in Fig. 2. The coils were protected by a layer 1 to layer 2 imbalance quench detection system with a voltage resolution below 0.5 mV. The quench detection level for imbalance was set at 2.5 mV. No additional coil protection systems were applied. The current was ramped at 10 A s⁻¹, increased with 50 A steps, and kept constant during the voltage measurements.

B. Results and discussion

The $I_c$ values of the witness cable sections that were reacted with the coils, determined at 64 K and an electric field criterion ($E_c$) of $10^{-4}$ Vm⁻¹, are summarized in Table II. The 30% reduction in witness cable $I_c$ from coil HTS-SC03 to HTS-SC05 is mainly due to the change from untwisted to twisted strand (Table I), which is known to give a −20% reduction in the strand $I_c$ [4]. The additional reduction could be due to a different effect of cabling on twisted and untwisted strand material, and/or to slight differences in the coil reaction heat treatments. The witness cables for coils HTS-SC07, HTS-SC09, and HTS-SC11, which were reacted together, are comparable. The ratio between a single strand $I_c$ at 4.2 K in self-field, and a cable $I_c$ at 64 K in self-field, is roughly 1.17 based on passed experience. This leads to approximate $I_c$ zero field short sample predictions (ignoring the self-field of the witness cables) of 5.2 kA for coil HTS-SC05 and 4.7 kA for coil HTS-SC07. The transfer function for the maximum field on the conductor in a $2 \times 6$ turn HTS subscale coil is 0.42 mT A⁻¹ [4], and this magnetic field is accounted for in the coil performance analysis. The $I_c$ results at 64 K of the individual turns of coil HTS-SC09 are given in Table III. The results show that the inner turns carry, at 160 A, approximately 65% of the $I_c$ of the witness cable sample. It is striking to observe that the outer turns have an $I_c$ that is approximately 30% increased with respect to the witness sample. The reason for this difference is unclear, but may be related to Oxygen, leakage, temperature, and/or strain gradients during reaction and cooldown. Further research will be performed to determine the origin of the observed variations along the coil sections.

The 4.2 K $I_c$ measurement on coil HTS-SC05 showed $E_c$ values for individually tested turns of coil HTS-SC09 at $E_c = 10^{-4}$ Vm⁻¹, and $T = 64$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coil</th>
<th>SC03</th>
<th>SC05</th>
<th>SC07</th>
<th>SC09</th>
<th>SC11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Witness $I_c$ [ A ]</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II
$I_c$ VALUES FOR THE WITNESS CABLES OF HTS-SC-SERIES COILS AT $E_c = 10^{-4}$ Vm⁻¹, AND $T = 64$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coil</th>
<th>SC03</th>
<th>SC05</th>
<th>SC07</th>
<th>SC09</th>
<th>SC11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Witness $I_c$ [ A ]</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III
$I_c$ VALUES FOR INDIVIDUALLY TESTED TURNS OF COIL HTS-SC09 AT $E_c = 10^{-4}$ Vm⁻¹, AND $T = 64$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HTS-SC09</th>
<th>Layer 1</th>
<th>Layer 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inner turn</td>
<td>Outer turn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_c$ [ A ]</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reproducible $E(I)$ transitions and thermal runaway around 1380 A. This reproducibility indicates that, at least at these relatively low currents and stored energies, voltage build-up and thermal runaway can be detected early and no quench induced damage occurs. The measured $I_c$ at $E_c = 10^{-4}$ Vm$^{-1}$ for coil HTS-SC05 is 964 A, with an $n$-value of 6, which indicates that this coil achieves around 20% of the estimated short sample, based on an estimated 10% reduction of $I_c$ due to the 0.4 T on the conductor. The test indicated that, as with the 64 K tests on coil HTS-SC09, the inner turns limit the coil performance.

The voltage tap distribution for coil HTS-SC07, as schematically depicted in Fig. 2, allows for a more detailed analysis of the voltage buildup in the inner and outer turns, and across the transition from layer 1 to layer 2 (the ramp). The measured electric fields as functions of coil current are shown in Fig. 3. The calculated $I_c$ and $n$-values at $E_c = 10^{-4}$ Vm$^{-1}$ are summarized in Table IV. It is clear that, as was suspected from the test of coil HTS-SC05 and evident from the deconstructed coil HTS-SC09 tests, also HTS-SC07 is limited by the inner turns and the ramp. The outer turns on both layers only start developing detectable voltage close to the thermal runaway current, which was reproducibly located at 1400 A. The $E(I)$ transitions are reproducible if sufficient time is allowed for cooling. The latter indicates that also for this coil no damage occurred as a result of thermal runaway. The total coil $I_c$ corresponds to roughly 25% of the witness cable, if as before 10% $I_c$ reduction is estimated at 0.4 T.

The performance of HTS-SC05 and HTS-SC07 is at approximately 20% and 25%, respectively, significantly lower than worst (inner) sections in the deconstructed coil HTS-SC09, which were characterized at 64 K (Table III) and achieved about 65% of the witness cable $I_c$. A significant difference between the HTS-SC09 turns measured at 64 K and the coils at 4.2 K is that HTS-SC09 was deconstructed at room temperature, and the turns were cooled down to 64 K in an unconfined state. The turns in the coils measured at 4.2 K were cooled down inside the reaction package. The INCONEL® Alloy 600 restricts the contraction of the windings to better match the Bi-2212 as opposed to the thermal contraction of the cable. The philosophy behind this is to limit the strain on the superconducting volume by enforcing a known and selectable contraction, similar to Bi-2212, on the entire package in an attempt to prevent irreversible $I_c$ reductions due to strain [2], [4]. The present findings, however, could suggest that this method, though well established for short samples, may not work as expected in accelerator-type coils. Further work is needed to verify whether this is indeed the case.

IV. INSERT OPTIONS FOR HD2

The $J_c(H)$ behavior for both Bi–2212 and YBCO conductors becomes rather flat and surpass that of Nb$_3$Sn for fields above 18–22 T [5], [6]. This motivates the application of these two conductors as insert elements in existing high magnetic field [4]. Here preliminary designs of inserts based on Bi–2212 and YBCO for the recently tested LBNL magnet HD2 are presented. HD2 is a Nb$_3$Sn dipole magnet with a 36 mm bore developed at LBNL [7]. The bore field reached 13.8 T at 4.3 K in recent tests [8]. The dipole field generated by HD2 is assumed to be 13 T at 4.2 K.

A. Bi–2212 vs. Nb$_3$Sn round wires

Fig. 4 shows the cross section of a quadrant of the insert and the HD2 coils structure. Region I is the bore ($\varnothing$ 20 mm). Region III is the structural support tube with an OD of 38 mm and ID of 30 mm. Region II, composed of the insert coilpack, has a thickness of 5 mm.

An insert based on tilted solenoids is suitable for round wires, e.g., Bi–2212 [9]. For a circular-aperture coil, the dipole field generated by a single layer of the wire is given by [10]

$$ B_{y,SL} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2d} \cos \alpha, $$

where $I$ is the current in the wire, $d$ is the width or diameter of the conductor and $\alpha$ the tilted angle. When $N$ layers of conductor are superposed, the total field produced is $B_y = N \cdot B_{y,SL}$. Note that the field contributed is independent of coil radius.

Given $\alpha = 20^\circ$, one can use $J_c(H)$ for Bi–2212 [5] and Nb$_3$Sn round wires [11] to find the $B_y$ generated by the insert.
Table V lists the design results for the tilted solenoids based on Bi–2212 and Nb$_3$Sn conductors. The conductor diameter in Table V includes a 100 μm thick insulation.

The Bi–2212 and Nb$_3$Sn inserts have similar transfer functions (~ 1.9 T/kA for 4 layers) according to (1) because $d_{N_{B}^{3}S_{n}} \cong d_{B^{i}–2212}$. However, because the $I_c$ of the Bi–2212 wire at 13.5 T is 242 A, which is 39% of that of the Nb$_3$Sn wire at 14.2 T, the Bi–2212 insert generates only 40% of the field given by the Nb$_3$Sn insert. As noted earlier, HTS materials will surpass Nb$_3$Sn only for fields $B \gtrsim 17$ T. The quadrant lateral force ($F_q$) per unit length shown in Table V is estimated by using the total dipole field and the cos $\theta$ distribution of the current density in the z direction [9].

The effect on HD2 due to the insert field was investigated using Opera2D. Of particular interest is the field change in the pole turns of both coil layers where most of the quenches occurred during the HD2 test [8]. When the HD2 current is fixed and the insert current increases, the peak field seen by the pole turn in HD2 layer 1 will decrease and that seen by the pole turn in layer 2 will increase. However, the changes are both less than 4%/kA, which are believed to have little impact on the performance of HD2.

**B. YBCO coated conductors**

One of the advantages of YBCO conductors is that no heat treatment is necessary as opposed to either Bi–2212 or Nb$_3$Sn wires. However, the tape form makes a race-track coil more suitable for YBCO tapes than a tilted solenoid structure. Region IV in Fig. 4 denotes a quadrant of such a racetrack. The geometry of the block is $5.4 \times 4.6$ mm$^2$, corresponding to $27 \times 1$ turns of the conductor using 25 μm thick insulation with 50% overlap. Assuming a self-field $I_c$ of 80 A at 77 K and based on the normalized $I_c(B)$ for a sample YBCO conductor [12], preliminary design results are listed in Table VI.

The YBCO racetrack coil has a transfer function of ~ 1.8 T/kA, which is slightly lower than that of the tilted solenoids. The effect on the pole turns of HD2 due to the racetrack coil was found to be similar to those of the tilted solenoid inserts. The changes are both less than 3%/kA.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors would like to thank P. Bish, H. C. Higley, D. Horler, N. L. Liggins, G. Ritchie, and J. Swanson for their technical expertise, and J. N. Giddings, C. D. English, and J. Ellia for their help with heat treatment and compatibility studies, and SEM and EDX analysis.

**REFERENCES**
