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Abstract

Experimental data and theoretical calculations are presented for the mass-transfer rate to rotating disks and rotating rings when laminar, transition, and fully developed turbulent flow exist upon different portions of the surface. Good agreement of data and the model is obtained for rotating disks and relatively thick rotating rings. Results of the calculations for thin rings generally exceed the experimental data measured in transition and turbulent flow. A $y^+$ form for the eddy diffusivity is used to fit the data. No improvement is noticed with a form involving both $y^+$ and $y^+$.

Introduction

On rotating disks and rotating rings, the flow regime may vary from laminar near the center to fully developed turbulent flow near the periphery. The fundamentals of fluid flow and mass transfer are well characterized in laminar flow. However, transition flow, existing between laminar and fully developed turbulent flow, along with the developed turbulent flow regime have not been described to the same extent. Correlations of experimental results form the basis of most of the available information concerning the mass-transfer rates for these systems.

Mohr and Newman [1] provide experimental results for the Sherwood number in the laminar, transition, and fully developed turbulent regions of a rotating disk. In addition, they considered the transition region to exist for Reynolds numbers from $2 \times 10^5$ to $3 \times 10^5$. This range is similar to values reported by Gregory, Stuart, and Walker [2], Cobb and Saunders [3], Kreith, Taylor, and Chong [4], Tien and Campbell [5], Ellison and Coronet [6], and Chin and Litt [7]. For the transition region Mohr and Newman give

$$\overline{Sh} = (9.7 \times 10^{-15} Re^3 + 0.89 \times 10^5 Re^{-1/2}) Sc^{1/3}$$

(1)

and for fully developed turbulent flow

$$\overline{Sh} = (0.0078 Re^{0.9} - 1.30 \times 10^5 Re^{-1/2}) Sc^{1/3}$$

(2)

where the Sherwood number is defined for disks or rings as

$$\overline{Sh} = \frac{\int_{r_i}^{r_o} \frac{FDC}{r} 2\pi r \, dr}{\frac{1}{nFDC_{\infty}} \pi (r_o^2 - r_i^2) nFDC_{\infty}}$$

(3)
Additional studies of mass transfer to a rotating disk have been reported by Ellison and Coronet as

\[ \overline{Sh} = 0.0117 \, Re^{0.896} \, Sc^{0.249} \quad \text{for} \quad Re > 10^6 \]  

(4)

and also by Daguenet [8] as

\[ \overline{Sh} = 0.00725 \, Re^{0.9} \, Sc^{0.33} \quad \text{for} \quad Re > 10^5 \].  

(5)

The different Schmidt and Reynolds number dependences are indicative of the scatter in the data. Modification of the multiplicative constant and the two exponents makes it possible to represent the data, due to scatter, with slightly different expressions. Because of the scatter in the data, it is also difficult to distinguish between a 1/3 and 1/4 power Schmidt number dependence.

Average mass-transfer rates are measured and hence average-Sherwood-number correlations are obtained directly. The data must be differentiated to obtain information on local mass-transfer rates. Differentiation of data with considerable scatter may not give reliable information. To model accurately mass-transfer processes on a rotating disk (as in corrosion), reliable local mass-transfer rates are required. Therefore, the approach taken here is to develop a model from which local mass-transfer rates can be calculated. These local rates can then be integrated for comparison with measured average mass-transfer rates. This general approach was used by Kader and Dil'man [9] in pipe flow.

In the study of corrosion on a rotating disk, local mass-transfer rates are needed when the mass transfer commences at an arbitrary radial
position on the surface. This is analogous to rotating rings with different thicknesses. Daguenet [8] and Delouis and Keddam [10] have investigated mass-transfer rates on ring electrodes of various dimensions in the transition and turbulent regimes. Data taken by Delouis and Keddam on thick rings support a 0.9 exponent on the Reynolds number, similar to disk correlations. However, for thin rings the data gave rise to an exponent of 0.6. These authors also reported measured values of the limiting current for thin rings in transition and turbulent flow which lie below the Levich [11] relationship for thin rings. This deviation in limiting currents cannot be explained in terms of radial diffusion. Newman [12,13] has considered the importance of radial diffusion to a flat plate and to a rotating disk at the limiting current. Radial diffusion is important in a very small region and its effect is to increase the mass-transfer rate.

From an analytic viewpoint, few models exist which describe the mass transfer to rotating disks and rotating rings beyond the laminar flow region. Chin and Litt [7] express the Sherwood number for thin rings in terms of the shear stress. Cognet and Daguenet [14] along with Kornienko and Kishinevskii [15] have presented models for disks and rings in turbulent flow. In the work by Kornienko and Kishinevskii the problem was solved for developed and undeveloped diffusion boundary layers. They also state that it is not possible to distinguish between the 1/3 and 1/4 Schmidt number dependence from rotating-ring data due to the different levels of development of the diffusion boundary layer.

An approach to solving heat and mass-transfer problems in turbulent flow without a priori solution of the Navier-Stokes equations was presented by Spalding [16] some time ago. Spalding's results are for a Prandtl
number of one. This work has been the subject of further investigation, review, and extension by Kestin and Presen [17], Kestin and Richardson [18], and Donovan, Hanna, and Yerazunis [19]. Numerical results have been presented by Smith and Shah [20] for low Prandtl numbers and extensive tabulations were presented by Gardner and Kestin [21] for Prandtl numbers up to 1000. Although the model developed herein is based on solving the time averaged convective-diffusion equation in terms of the Lighthill [22] variable, we would be remiss not to mention the applicability of Spalding's transformation for two-dimensional and axisymmetric problems with high Schmidt numbers. However, both approaches are comparable in that the shear stress is required in addition to a form for turbulent transfer near the wall, but solution of the Navier-Stokes equations is not required.

**Model Development**

The boundary-layer form of the time-averaged convective-diffusion equation is the governing equation for mass transfer.

\[
\frac{v_r}{r} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial r} + v_y \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ (D+D' t) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \right] \quad (6)
\]

\[\Theta \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty\]

\[\Theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \quad r > r_i\]

\[\Theta = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \quad r < r_i\]

\(v_r\) can be expressed as

\[v_r = \beta(r)y, \quad (7)\]
and \( v_y \) is given by the equation of continuity as
\[
v_y = -\frac{1}{2} y^2 \frac{(r\beta)'}{r}.
\]
(8)

With the Lighthill variable
\[
\xi = \frac{y\sqrt{r\beta}}{9D \int \frac{r \sqrt{r\beta}}{r_i} dr}^{1/3},
\]
(9)
equation (6) can be expressed as
\[
\frac{\xi}{r \sqrt{r\beta}} \left[ 9 \int \frac{r \sqrt{r\beta}}{r_i} dr \right] \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial r} = 3 \xi^2 \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{D(t)}{D} \right) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} \right]
\]
(10)

At this point it is appropriate to comment on the form for \( \frac{D(t)}{D} \) or alternatively \( \frac{D(t)}{\nu} \). The concept of the universal velocity profile for fully developed turbulent flow suggests that \( \frac{D(t)}{\nu} \) depends only on a dimensionless distance \( y^+ \) from the wall in the form \( y^+ = (y/\nu) \sqrt{\frac{\tau_o}{\rho}} \), where \( \tau_o \) is the shear stress equal to \( \mu \beta \).

Expansion of the velocity components in terms of \( y^+ \) shows that near the wall \( \frac{D(t)}{\nu} \) must be proportional to the cube of \( y^+ \) or a higher power of \( y^+ \). With this in mind, many researchers [23-30] have expressed theoretical or experimental results in terms of
\[
\frac{D(t)}{\nu} = K y^3 = K \left( \frac{y}{\nu} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_o}{\rho}} \right)^3,
\]
(11)
whereas other investigators [16] [31-35] prefer
\[
\frac{D(t)}{\nu} = K y^4 = K \left( \frac{y}{\nu} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_o}{\rho}} \right)^4
\]
(12)
Levich [36] initially advocated the $y^{-3}$ form but subsequently [37] expressed a preference for equation (12). The form used in equation (10) will be kept sufficiently general so that a decision concerning the form of $D(t)/\nu$ can be made in view of experimental and theoretical results. For this reason we let

$$\frac{D(t)}{\nu} = Ky^+ d(y^+)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $d(y^+)$ is a function of $y^+$. For simplicity, $d(y^+)$ can be considered 1, and equation (11) is recovered. Substitution into equation (10) with the definitions

$$R = \sqrt{Re} = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega}{\nu}} \, r$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$X = \frac{9K}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \left( \frac{\Omega}{\nu} \right)^{5/4} \int r^2 r \sqrt{r \beta} \, dr = 9K \int_{R_i}^{R} \frac{R}{\sqrt{R^2 - \beta}} \, dR$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$\frac{\beta}{\Omega} = \frac{\tau_o}{\mu \omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

simplifies the governing equation and boundary conditions to

$$9x \xi \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} = 3\xi^2 \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{x \xi^3 \delta(x, \xi)}{R^{3/2}} \right) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$\Theta = 1 \quad \xi = \infty$

$\Theta = 0 \quad \xi = 0 \quad X > 0$

$\Theta = 1 \quad \xi = 0 \quad X < 0$
When $X = 0$, equation (17) simplifies to

$$\frac{d^2 \Theta}{d \xi^2} + 3 \xi^2 \frac{d \Theta}{d \xi} = 0$$

(18)

This equation is analogous to the equation given by Lévêque [38], with the solution

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(4/3)} \int_0^\xi e^{-y^3} \, dy.$$ 

(19)

For $X > 0$, equation (17) is solved using a Crank-Nicholson procedure. The procedure is efficient and stable.

The local and average Sherwood numbers can be expressed as

$$Sh_{loc} = \frac{1}{\pi FDC_{\infty}} \bigg| \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} \bigg|_{\xi=0} R^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\Omega}} \left( \frac{KSc}{X} \right)^{1/3}$$

(20)

$$\bar{Sh} = \frac{\int_{R_1}^{R_0} \int_0^\xi \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} R^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\Omega}} \left( \frac{KSc}{X} \right)^{1/3} dR}{(R_0^2 - R_1^2)}$$

(21)

The term $X \xi^3 d(X, \xi)/R^{3/2}$ in equation (17) accounts for the turbulent contribution to the mass-transfer rate. Equation (17) must be modified slightly to describe the three different transport mechanisms on the surface. A function $f(R)$ is introduced

$$9X \xi \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} = 3 \xi^2 \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{X \xi^3 d(X, \xi)f(R)}{R^{3/2}} \right) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \xi} \right]$$

(22)

where $f(R)$ is defined as
\[ f(R) = 0 \quad \text{Re} \leq 2.0 \times 10^5 \]  \hspace{1cm} (23a)

\[ f(R) = \frac{R - \sqrt{2 \times 10^5}}{\sqrt{3 \times 10^5} - \sqrt{2 \times 10^5}} \quad 2.0 \times 10^5 \leq \text{Re} \leq 3.0 \times 10^5 \]  \hspace{1cm} (23b)

\[ f(R) = 1 \quad \text{Re} \geq 3.0 \times 10^5 \]  \hspace{1cm} (23c)

For laminar flow, the turbulent contribution to mass transfer disappears, since \( f = 0 \). Equation (17) is recovered for fully developed turbulent flow. The linear dependence of \( f(R) \) with \( R \) in the transition region was found to describe the data adequately.

The Reynolds-number dependence of the shear stress is different for the three regimes. In laminar flow, the results of Von Karman [39] yield

\[ \frac{\beta}{\Omega} = aR \quad (24) \]

Such theoretically well established results are not available for the shear stress in fully developed turbulent flow. However, Von Karman's [39] semi-empirical expression is available from a momentum balance using the \( \frac{1}{7} \) power velocity profile form commonly used in turbulent pipe flow. The result of Von Karman's work is used in the form

\[ \frac{\beta}{\Omega} = 8.55 \times 10^{-3} R^{1.6} \quad (25) \]

Torque measurements on rotating disks are reported by Schlichting [40] in the form of torque coefficients. The angular shear stress is available from these studies. Surprisingly, its radial counterpart has not been explicitly reported nor correlated. Von Karman's expression is
compatible with the torque measurements, the flux expression given in the
appendix, and the results of mass-transfer correlations.

In the transition region, the shear stress is made continuous with
the forms for laminar and fully developed turbulent flow. With the
experimental results of rotating ring electrodes in mind, the Reynolds-
umber limits were set as $1.5 \times 10^5$ to $3.0 \times 10^5$ for the transition region
shear stress. These limits are slightly different from those for $f(R)$.
A graph of the shear stress is given in figure 1.

To fit the rotating-disk data for fully developed turbulent flow
(equation [2]), the shear stress and $K$ must be consistent with the
experimental mass-transfer correlation. Equation (A-10), developed in
the appendix, for $d(\gamma^+) = 1$, expresses the Sherwood number in terms of
$K$ and $\beta/\Omega$ as

$$\text{Sh}_{loc} = 0.01092 R^{1.8} \text{Sc}^{1/3} = R \left( \frac{\beta}{\Omega} \right)^{1/2} \left( K \text{Sc} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{1.2092}. \quad (26)$$

For $\beta/\Omega$ given by equation (25), $K = 2.9116 \times 10^{-3}$.

It is convenient and helpful to have the mass-transfer flow rate for
extremely thin rings. Taking $\beta/\Omega$ as constant and the derivative as
$1/\Gamma(4,3)$, equation (20) can be expanded and rearranged to yield

$$\text{Sh}_{loc} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(4/3)} \left( \frac{\beta}{3\Omega} \right)^{1/3} \frac{R^{5/3}}{(R^3 - R_1^3)} \frac{\text{Sc}^{1/3}}{1.2092}. \quad (27)$$

In particular, for laminar flow, this reduces to (see reference [11])

$$\text{Sh}_{loc, \text{lam}} = \frac{(a/3)^{1/3}}{\Gamma(4/3)} \frac{R^2 \text{Sc}^{1/3}}{(R^3 - R_1^3)^{1/3}}. \quad (28)$$
Figure 1

The Reynolds number dependence of the radial shear stress.
Comparison of equation (27) with equation (28) shows the importance of the shear stress

\[
\frac{Sh_{\text{loc}}}{Sh_{\text{loc, lam}}} = \left( \frac{\beta}{aR\Omega} \right)^{1/3} \tag{29}
\]

To consider average mass-transfer rates, equation (27) is integrated for thin-ring conditions to give

\[
\frac{\overline{Sh}}{Sh} = \frac{R}{6} \left( \frac{\beta}{\Omega} \right)^{1/3} \frac{6^{1/3}}{\Gamma (4/3)} \tag{30}
\]

An analogous expression for laminar flow can be obtained so that the ratio of average Sherwood numbers is also given by equation (29) and is the ratio of the measured current to the current if laminar flow prevailed.

\[
\frac{\overline{Sh}}{Sh_{\text{lam}}} = \left( \frac{\beta}{aR\Omega} \right)^{1/3} = \frac{I}{I_{\text{lam}}} \tag{31}
\]

The last equality is important from a practical point since total currents are measured from limiting current experiments.

**Experimental Data**

Limiting currents were measured on thin rotating-ring electrodes. Rotation speeds were varied to investigate the laminar, transitional, and as much of the turbulent flow regime as possible. The electrochemical system used was the potassium ferricyanide - potassium ferrocyanide redox couple (approximately 0.005 molar) with an excess of potassium hydroxide (2 molar) as a supporting electrolyte. Ferricyanide was reduced at the nickel ring surface. Hydrogen evolution was suppressed by the relatively
large concentration of hydroxide. Thus broad, easily determined limiting-current plateaus were measured.

A 2.5 ℓ cylindrical cell constructed from nickel with plastic end pieces served as the counterelectrode. The cell was jacketed. Water was circulated to control the electrolyte temperature at 25.00 ± 0.05°C as measured with a platinum resistance thermometer. A Princeton Applied Research potentiostat Model 371 with a PAR Model 175 function generator was used to control the reaction current. Polarograms were plotted on a Hewlett Packard Model 7044A x-y plotter. Electrode rotation speeds were measured with a calibrated "Strobotac" stroboscopic tachometer.

Three electrode assemblies were fabricated from nickel. All electrodes shared a common inner radius, \( r_i \), of 3.163 ± 0.003 cm. The outer radius, \( r_o \), was varied. The electrodes were thin, having ratios of the inner radius to the outer radius, \( r_i / r_o \), of 0.9925, 0.9779, and 0.9221. The inner insulating disk and the outer insulating annulus were cast from Shell 826 epoxy and machined. The electrode and insulating surfaces were polished metallographically. The finishing step was a 0.05 micron diamond paste which yielded a highly polished surface with no visible scratches. The electrode rotator was fabricated to minimize vibration and electrode runout. A 1/2 horsepower Minarek DC speed controlled motor was used to drive the electrodes. Data were taken over rotation speeds which varied from 10 to 66.7 Hz (600 RPM to 4000 RPM).

Results and Discussion

The results presented in the ensuing figures are for \( d(y^+) = 1 \), that is, \( D(t) / ν = Ky^+3 \). Subsequent comments will be made concerning a
Figure 2 shows the results for average mass-transfer rates on a rotating disk compared to data given by Mohr and Newman [1] and Daguenet [8]. Agreement between the two is obtained over a considerable range of Reynolds numbers. The lines marked $f(R) = 0$ or $f(R) = 1$ are provided for reference. Note that absence of the eddy diffusivity term, $f = 0$, may result in an average flux below the corresponding laminar flow value.

In figure 3 the local value of the mass-transfer rate from the calculations is given. At a Reynolds number of $1.5 \times 10^5$, the local Sherwood number drops below the laminar prediction due to the influence of the shear stress. However, at $2.0 \times 10^5$ the importance of turbulence in the transition region is seen as the eddy diffusivity term causes the local rate to increase. Finally, the full impact of turbulence exists at $3.0 \times 10^5$ and beyond.

The data from our experiments with rotating ring electrodes are given in figure 4. The current is made dimensionless with the current calculated as though laminar flow conditions existed. This normalization is particularly convenient for thin rings. Data are from the experiments described above. Agreement of the data with the calculations is adequate at low Reynolds numbers; however, at higher values the calculated results exceed the measurements. The rotating rings considered here are quite thin. Even so, the calculated current very rapidly approaches the rotating-disk result with increases in rotation speed. Somewhat surprisingly, the measured flux is below the laminar flow relationship, in transition and fully developed turbulent flow.

This fact led to our choice of von Karman's expression for the radial
Overall mass-transfer rate vs Reynolds number for laminar, transition, and turbulent regimes. The Schmidt number for the data of Mohr and Newman \( \square 1192, \ \Delta 1377, + 1636, \ \circ 1760, \times 2465 \); for the data of Daguenet \( \bullet 1212, \ \▲ 1980 \). The calculated results —— are obtained from the solution of equation (22) with \( K = 2.9 \times 10^{-3} \).
Figure 3

Local mass-transfer rate on a disk electrode vs Reynolds number.
Figure 4

The results of our mass-transfer experiments on rotating rings compared to the results of the calculations [equation (22) with $K = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$].
shear stress, since it also lies below the laminar flow value for a certain range of Reynolds numbers, and it was anticipated that this could lead to a similar behavior for the mass-transfer rate in the thin-ring limit. On the other hand, expressions for the shear stress available from torque measurements always lie above laminar flow results and differ substantially from the measurements made on thin ring electrodes.

From the data presented in figure 4 and from the lines representing thin rings, it is clear that mass transfer in transition and turbulent flow can be less than that given by the laminar-flow expression. The results of the analysis show that the mass-transfer rate normalized with the laminar rate depends only upon the shear stress value.

Epelboin and his coworkers [41] have performed mass transfer experiments with thin rings in the presence of small amounts of drag-reducing compounds. Their results indicate qualitatively that small changes in the concentration of drag-reducing compounds cause a decrease in the mass-transfer rate, as well as the angular shear stress (torque), in transitional and turbulent flow. A representation of one of their graphs is given in figure 5.

Figure 6 is a comparison of the data taken by Delouis and Keddam [10] for a relatively thick ring, \( r_1/r_0 = 0.6 \). The comparison is good for all three regions.

Local mass-transfer rates representative of a number of different conditions are presented in figure 7.

From the curves in figure 7, the local mass-transfer rate on rotating rings is high at the beginning of the mass-transfer region and approaches
Figure 5

Qualitative illustration from reference 41 denoting the effect of increasing concentration of drag reducing agent on the mass-transfer rate for thin rotating rings.
Comparison of the mass-transfer data of Delouis and Keddam [10] on a rotating ring for dimensions $r_1/r_o = 0.6$ with the results of the calculations [equation (22) with $K = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$].
Local mass-transfer rate for rotating rings of various dimensions. The Reynolds number designation on the respective curves denotes the point at which mass transfer begins.
the rotating disk results downstream of the inner radius. This is true whether the diffusion boundary layer begins in laminar, transition, or fully developed turbulent flow. However, it is interesting to note that the sharp decline in the local Sherwood number observed near the inner edge of the ring is more pronounced in fully developed turbulent flow than in laminar flow. The mass-transfer entry length region in fully developed turbulent flow is shorter than in laminar flow. Newman [42] comments on this with regard to pipe flow.

It is worth mentioning that the discrepancy between measured and calculated values observed in figure 4 occurs in the development of the turbulent mass-transfer boundary layer. However, from figure 6 it is clear that the model does a good job of describing the average flux for thick rings, where the turbulent diffusion layer is more developed.

The results presented above are for \( \frac{D(t)}{\nu} = 2.9 \times 10^{-3} (y^+)^3 \). In an attempt to obtain a better fit of the thin-ring data in transition and turbulent flow, \( d(y^+) \) can be modified so that \( \frac{D(t)}{\nu} = Ky^+^3 (1 + K_1 y^+) \). With the value of \( K \) from Lin, Moulton, and Putnam [25], the disk results are fit reasonably well with the expression

\[
\frac{D(t)}{\nu} = 3.28 \times 10^{-4} y^+^3 (1 + 19.3 y^+) .
\] (32)

In figure 8, \( \frac{D(t)}{\nu} \) is presented for these two forms in addition to the expression by Wasan, Tien, and Wilke [28]. The form by Wasan, Tien, and Wilke would fit the thin-ring data better than the two alternative forms, at the expense of a worse fit of the rotating-disk data in fully developed turbulent flow.
Dependence of the eddy diffusivity upon the form used near the wall.

$2.9 \times 10^{-3} y^{+3}$ ——; $3.3 \times 10^{-4} y^{+3} (1 + 19.3 y^+) \, \cdots \, \cdots \, \cdots$; form used by Wasan, Tien, Wilke ———.
Only for very small values of \( y^+ \) is the \( y^+^3, y^+^4 \) form smaller than the \( y^+^3 \) form \( (y^+ \leq 0.41) \). However, even with this more involved form, the thin-ring results in transition and turbulent flow are substantially the same. It is not possible to distinguish between these two forms from the data on rotating disks and rotating rings. Due to the simplicity of the governing equation with \( Ky^+^3 \), this form is preferred.

**Summary and Conclusions**

A model is presented for the mass-transfer rate to rotating rings and rotating disks when laminar, transition, and turbulent flow exist upon different portions of the surface. The model compares well to rotating-disk data and to data for relatively thick rotating rings, existing in the literature. For the data given herein on thin rotating rings, the calculated results may exceed the measured mass-transfer rate in the transition and fully developed turbulent flow regimes. The contribution of the eddy diffusivity term to the overall mass transfer is too high, even for these thin rings. A \( y^+^3 \) form for the eddy diffusivity is used. However, no improvement in the comparison with the thin ring data was obtained for a \( y^+^3, y^+^4 \) form.
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Appendix

Fully Developed Mass Transfer Rate in Fully Developed Flow

The intent is to obtain an expression for the local flux in fully developed turbulent flow (as $R$ approaches infinity). The governing equation is given in the text as equation (6). For fully developed turbulent flow at high Schmidt numbers, it is appropriate to use a form for the velocity near the wall which is compatible with torque measurements made in fully developed turbulent flow and the results of Von Karman.

\[ v_r = \frac{Qr^{0.6} \Omega^{1.8}}{v^{0.8}} \]  
(A-1)

and from the equation of continuity

\[ v_y = -\frac{1.3Qr^{0.6} \Omega^{1.8} y^2}{v^{0.8}} \]  
(A-2)

Substitution of these two expressions into equation (6) gives

\[ \frac{Qr^{0.6} \Omega^{1.8} y}{v^{0.8}} \left[ r \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial r} - 1.3y \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{1}{\partial y} \left[ (D + D(t)) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \right] \]  
(A-3)

A stretched variable can be defined as

\[ z = y^{+(KSc)^{1/3}} \frac{g^{(R)}}{g(R)} \]  
(A-4)

which changes equation (A-4) to

\[ \frac{g^3 z}{\sqrt{Qr^{1.8}}} \left[ R \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial R} - R \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Z} \frac{Z}{g} \frac{dR}{dR} - 1.3Z \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Z} \right] = K \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Z} \left[ (1 + g^2 Z^2) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Z} \right] \]  
(A-5)
If \( g \) becomes small as \( R \) increases, \( g^3 Z^3 \ll 1 \). Then we can use the Lighthill similarity solution [compare equation (18)], which gives

\[
g = \left[ \frac{9K}{3.3} \frac{R^{3.3} - R_1^{3.3}}{R^{1.5}} \right].
\]  

(A-6)

This is contradictory because here \( g \) increases with \( R \).

If \( g \) becomes large as \( R \) increases, \( g^3 Z^3 \gg 1 \) and \( g \) can be cancelled on the left and right sides of the equation. The left side is then negligible for large \( R \), and the equation reduces to

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left( Z^3 \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Z} \right) = 0,
\]  

(A-7)

which has no satisfactory solution near \( Z = 0 \).

Hence we are left with the conclusion that \( g \) must be constant as \( R \) increases, say \( g = 1 \). The left side is still negligible for large \( R \), and the equation reduces to

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left[ (1 + Z^3) \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Z} \right] = 0.
\]  

(A-8)

The solution is

\[
\Theta = \frac{1}{1 + Z^3} = \frac{0}{\infty} = \frac{0}{1.2092}
\]  

(A-9)

This then yields

\[
Sh_{loc} = r \left| \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} = \left| \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \right|_{Z=0} \left( \frac{\partial Z}{\partial y} \right) \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{r(KSc)^{1/3}}{1.2092v} \sqrt{\frac{r}{\rho}} = \frac{R(KSc)^{1/3}}{1.2092} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\Omega}{\rho}}.
\]  

(A-10)
Equation (A-10) is a very useful relationship. Both the shear stress
and the eddy diffusivity are involved in the expression for the transfer
rate in fully developed mass transfer.

Notation

\begin{align*}
a & = 0.51023262 \\
C_\infty & \text{ bulk concentration, mol cm}^{-3} \\
d(y^+) & \text{ function defined in equation (13)} \\
D & \text{ diffusion coefficient, cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \\
D(t) & \text{ eddy diffusivity, cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \\
f(R) & \text{ function defined in equation (23)} \\
F & \text{ Faraday's constant, 96,487 C \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}} \\
g & \text{ function defined in equation (A-6)} \\
i & \text{ local current density, A \cdot cm}^{-2} \\
\bar{i} & \text{ average current density, A \cdot cm}^{-2} \\
I & \text{ total current, A} \\
K & \text{ constant defined in equation (11)} \\
K_1 & \text{ constant defined in equation (32)} \\
n & \text{ the number of electrons transferred} \\
Q & \text{ constant used in equation (A-1)} \\
r & \text{ radial coordinate, cm} \\
R & \text{ dimensionless radius, } r\sqrt{\omega/\nu} \\
Re & \text{ Reynolds number, } r^2 \Omega/\nu \\
Sc & \text{ Schmidt number, } \nu/D \\
Sh & \text{ Sherwood number, } ir/nFDC_\infty
\end{align*}
$v_r$ radial velocity, cm·s$^{-1}$

$u_y$ axial velocity, cm·s$^{-1}$

$X$ dimensionless variable defined in equation (15)

$y$ axial coordinate, cm

$y^+$ dimensionless axial position

$z$ stretched boundary layer variable defined in equation (A-4)

**Greek Symbols**

$\beta(r)$ proportionality of $v_r$ with $y$, s$^{-1}$

$\Gamma(4/3)$ 0.89298, the gamma function of 4/3

$\Theta$ dimensionless concentration

$\mu$ viscosity, g·cm$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$

$\nu$ kinematic viscosity of the solution, cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$

$\xi$ Lighthill variable

$\rho$ solution density, kg cm$^{-3}$

$\tau_o$ shear stress at the surface, g cm$^{-1}$·s$^{-2}$

$\Omega$ rotation speed, s$^{-1}$

**Subscripts**

$i$ inner radial position

$o$ outer radial position
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