CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Iraq: U.S. Regime Change Efforts and Post-Saddam Governance

Updated May 16, 2005

Kenneth Katzman Specialist in Middle Eastern Affairs Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

Iraq: U.S. Regime Change Efforts and Post-Saddam Governance

Summary

Operation Iraqi Freedom accomplished a long-standing U.S. objective, the overthrow of Saddam Hussein, but replacing his regime with a stable, moderate, democratic political structure has been complicated by a persistent Sunni Arab-led insurgency. The Bush Administration asserts that establishing democracy in Iraq will catalyze the promotion of democracy throughout the Middle East. The desired outcome would also likely prevent Iraq from becoming a sanctuary for terrorists, a key recommendation of the 9/11 Commission report.

The Bush Administration asserts that U.S. policy in Iraq is now showing substantial success, demonstrated by January 30, 2005 elections that chose a National Assembly, and progress in building Iraq's various security forces. The Administration says it expects that the current transition roadmap — including votes on a permanent constitution by October 31, 2005 and for a permanent government by December 15, 2005 — are being implemented. Others believe the insurgency is widespread, as shown by its recent attacks, and that the Iraqi government could not stand on its own were U.S. and allied international forces to withdraw from Iraq. Some U.S. commanders and senior intelligence officials say that some Islamic militants have entered Iraq since Saddam Hussein fell, to fight what they see as a new "jihad" (Islamic war) against the United States.

During the 1990s, following the 1991 Gulf war to oust Iraqi forces from Kuwait, U.S. efforts to change Iraq's regime failed because of limited U.S. commitment, disorganization of the Iraqi opposition, and the vigilance of Iraq's overlapping security services. After the September 11, 2001 attacks on the United States, President George W. Bush decided against continuing to contain Iraq, characterizing it as a grave and gathering threat because of its refusal to abandon its weapons of mass destruction (WMD) programs and its potential to transfer WMD to terrorist groups. After a November 2002-March 2003 round of U.N. WMD inspections in which Iraq's cooperation was mixed, on March 19, 2003, the United States launched Operation Iraqi Freedom to disarm and change Iraq's regime. The regime fell on April 9, 2003.

This report will be updated as warranted by major developments. See also CRS Report RS21968, *Iraq: Post-Saddam National Elections*, CRS Report RS22079, *the Kurds in Post-Saddam Iraq*; CRS Report RL32783, *FY2005 Supplemental Appropriations for Iraq and Afghanistan, Tsunami Relief, and Other Activities*; and CRS Report RL31833, *Iraq: Recent Developments in Reconstruction Assistance.*

Contents

| Anti-Saddam Groups and U.S. Policy | 2 |
|---|-----|
| Iraqi National Congress (INC)/Ahmad Chalabi | |
| Iraq National Accord (INA)/Iyad al-Allawi | |
| Major Kurdish Organizations/KDP and PUK | |
| Monarchist Organizations | |
| Shiite Islamist Leaders and Organizations: Ayatollah Sistani, | |
| SCIRI, Da'wa Party, Moqtada al-Sadr, and Others | 6 |
| U.S. Relations With the Major Factions During the Clinton | |
| Administration | |
| | |
| Congress and the Iraq Liberation Act | |
| Operation "Desert Fox"/First ILA Designations | |
| Bush Administration Policy | |
| Post-September 11: Implementing Regime Change | |
| Run-up to Military Action | 14 |
| | |
| Post-Saddam Governance and Transition | |
| Occupation Period and the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA) | |
| The Iraqi Governing Council (IGC) | |
| The Handover of Sovereignty and Run-up to Elections | |
| Transitional Administrative Law (TAL)/Transition Roadmap | 18 |
| Interim (Allawi) Government/Sovereignty Handover/ | 1.0 |
| Resolution 1546 | |
| Post-Handover U.S. Structure in Iraq | |
| January 30, 2005 Elections/New Government/Next Steps | |
| The Insurgent Challenge | |
| Insurgent Goals and Operations | |
| U.S. Counter-Insurgent Operations | |
| Other Programs and Options to Stabilize Iraq/"Exit Strategy" | |
| Building Iraqi Security Forces (ISF) | |
| Coalition Building and Maintenance | |
| Altering the Level of U.S. Military and Political Involvement | |
| Negotiating a Power-Sharing Formula/Negotiating with Insurgents | |
| Rejuvenating Iraq's Economy | |
| The Oil Industry | |
| CPA Budget/DFI | |
| International Donations | |
| Supplemental U.S. Funding | 42 |
| Lifting U.S. Sanctions | |
| Debt Relief/WTO Membership | |
| Congressional Reactions | |
| | |
| Appendix | 45 |

| List | of | Fig | ures |
|------|----|-----|------|
| | | 3 | , |

| Figure 1. Map of Iraq | . 46 |
|----------------------------|------|
| List of Tables | |
| Table 1. Iraq's Oil Sector | |

Iraq: U.S. Regime Change Efforts and Post-Saddam Governance

The United States did not remove Iraq's Saddam Hussein from power in the course of the 1991 Persian Gulf war, and his regime unexpectedly survived post-war uprisings by Iraq's Shiites and Kurds. For twelve years after that, the United States sought to remove Saddam from power by supporting dissidents inside Iraq, although changing Iraq's regime did not become U.S. declared policy until November 1998, amid a crisis with Iraq over U.N. weapons of mass destruction (WMD) inspections. The Bush Administration placed regime change at the center of U.S. policy shortly after the September 11, 2001 attacks. Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) was launched on March 19, 2003, and had deposed Saddam Hussein by April 9, 2003.

The Bush Administration's stated goal is to transform Iraq into a democracy that could be a model for the rest of the region and would prevent Iraq from becoming a safe haven for Islamic terrorists. Iraq has not had experience with a democratic form of government, although parliamentary elections were held during the period of British rule under a League of Nations mandate (from 1920 until Iraq's independence in 1932), and the monarchy of the (Sunni Muslim) Hashemite dynasty (1921-1958). Previously, Iraq had been a province of the Ottoman empire until British forces defeated the Ottomans and took control of what is now Iraq in 1918. Iraq's first Hashemite king was Faysal bin Hussein, son of Sharif Hussein of Mecca who, advised by British officer T.E Lawrence ("Lawrence of Arabia"), led the Arab revolt against the Ottoman Empire during World War I. Faysal ruled Iraq as King Faysal I and was succeeded by his son, Ghazi (1933-1939). Ghazi was succeeded by his son, Faysal II, who ruled until the military coup of Abd al-Karim al-Qasim on July 14, 1958. Qasim was ousted in February 1963 by a Baath Party - military alliance. Also in 1963, the Baath Party took power in Syria. It still rules there today, although there was rivalry between the Syrian and Iraqi Baath regimes during Saddam's rule.

One of the Baath Party's allies in the February 1963 coup in Iraq was Abd al-Salam al-Arif. In November 1963, Arif purged the Baath, including Baathist Prime Minister (and military officer) Ahmad Hasan al-Bakr, and instituted direct military rule. Arif was killed in a helicopter crash in 1966 and was replaced by his elder brother, Abd al-Rahim al-Arif, who ruled until the Baath Party coup of July 1968. Following the Baath seizure, Bakr returned to government as President of Iraq and Saddam Hussein, a civilian, became the second most powerful leader as Vice Chairman of the Revolutionary Command Council. In that position, Saddam

¹ See Eisenstadt, Michael, and Eric Mathewson, eds, *U.S. Policy in Post-Saddam Iraq: Lessons from the British Experience*. Washington Institute for Near East Policy, 2003. Members of the Hashemite family rule neighboring Jordan.

developed and oversaw a system of overlapping security services to monitor loyalty among the population and within Iraq's institutions, including the military. On July 17, 1979, the aging al-Bakr resigned at Saddam's urging, and Saddam became President of Iraq. Always repressive of the majority Shiite Muslims, Saddam's regime became even more abusive of Iraq's Shiites after the 1979 Islamic revolution in neighboring Iran, which activated and emboldened Iraqi Shiite Islamist movements that wanted to oust Saddam and establish an Iranian-style Islamic republic of Iraq. Some attribute stepped up repression to a failed assassination attempt against Saddam by the Shiite Islamist Da'wa Party (see below) in 1982.

Anti-Saddam Groups and U.S. Policy

The major factions that now dominate post-Saddam Iraq had been active against Saddam's regime for decades, but only received U.S. support after the 1991 Gulf war. Prior to the launching on January 16, 1991 of Operation Desert Storm, which reversed Iraq's August 1990 invasion of Kuwait, President George H.W. Bush called on the Iraqi people to overthrow Saddam. The Administration decided not to militarily occupy Iraq or overthrow Saddam Hussein in the course of the 1991 war because the United Nations had approved only the liberation of Kuwait, and there was concern that the U.S.-led coalition would fracture if the United States advanced to Baghdad. According to former President George H.W. Bush,² the Administration also feared that the U.S. military could become bogged down in a violent, highcasualty occupation. Within days of the end of the Gulf war (February 28, 1991), opposition Shiite Muslims in southern Iraq and Kurdish factions in northern Iraq, emboldened by the regime's defeat and the hope of U.S. support, launched significant rebellions. The Shiite revolt reached the suburbs of Baghdad, but the Republican Guard forces, composed mainly of Sunni Muslim regime loyalists, had survived the war largely intact, and they defeated the Shiite rebels by mid-March 1991; many Shiites blamed the United States for not preventing regime retaliation against the rebels. Kurds, benefitting from a U.S.-led "no fly zone" established in April 1991, drove Iraqi troops out of much of northern Iraq and remained autonomous thereafter.

According to press reports, about two months after the failure of the Shiite uprising, President George H.W. Bush forwarded to Congress an intelligence finding stating that the United States would undertake efforts to promote a military coup against Saddam Hussein. The Administration apparently believed that a coup by elements within the current regime could produce a favorable new government without fragmenting Iraq. Many observers, including neighboring governments, feared that Shiite and Kurdish groups, if they ousted Saddam, would divide Iraq into warring ethnic and tribal groups, opening Iraq to influence from its neighbors.

Reports in July 1992 of a serious but unsuccessful coup attempt suggested that the U.S. strategy might ultimately succeed. However, there was disappointment within the George H.W. Bush Administration that the coup had failed and a decision was made to shift the U.S. approach to supporting the diverse Kurdish, Shiite, and

² Bush, George H.W., and Brent Scowcroft. *A World Transformed*. Alfred A. Knopf, Inc. 1998.

other opposition elements that were coalescing into a broad and diverse movement. This coalition was seen as providing a vehicle for the United States to build a viable overthrow strategy.³ The following sections discuss the organizations and personalities that are major players in post-Saddam Iraq; most of these organizations were part of the U.S. effort to change Iraq's regime during the 1990s.

Iraqi National Congress (INC)/Ahmad Chalabi. After 1991, the growing exile opposition coalition took shape in an organization called the Iraqi National Congress (INC). The INC was formally constituted when the two main Kurdish parties, the Kurdistan Democratic Party (KDP) and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan (PUK), participated in a June 1992 opposition meeting in Vienna. In October 1992, some major Shiite Islamist groups joined the coalition. The ethnic and ideological diversity of the INC coalition increased its apparent viability. Its groups publicly united around a platform of human rights, democracy, pluralism, "federalism" (Kurdish autonomy), the preservation of Iraq's territorial integrity, and compliance with U.N. Security Council resolutions on Iraq. However, many observers doubted its commitment to democracy, because most of its groups have an authoritarian internal structure. The Kurds provided it with a source of armed force and a presence on Iraqi territory.

Ahmad Chalabi. When the INC was formed, its Executive Committee selected Chalabi, a secular Shiite Muslim from a prominent banking family, to run the INC on a daily basis. Chalabi, who is about 60 years old, was educated in the United States (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) as a mathematician. His father was president of the Senate in the monarchy that was overthrown in the 1958 military coup, and the family fled to Jordan. He taught math at the American University of Beirut in 1977 and, in 1978, he founded the Petra Bank in Jordan. He later ran afoul of Jordanian authorities on charges of embezzlement and he left Jordan, possibly with some help from members of Jordan's royal family, in 1989. In April 1992, he was convicted in absentia of embezzling \$70 million from the bank and sentenced to 22 years in prison.⁵ Chalabi maintains that the Jordanian government was pressured by Iraq to turn against him. In April 2003, Jordan's King Abdullah publicly called Chalabi "divisive;" virtually saying he would be unacceptable as leader of Iraq, although in May 2005 the King reportedly agreed to an Iraqi request to considered pardoning him, possibly in exchange for some restitution of funds.

The INC and Chalabi have been controversial in the United States since the INC was formed. The State Department and Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) have, by many accounts, believed the INC had little popularity inside Iraq. In the George W. Bush Administration, numerous press reports indicated that the Defense Department and office of Vice President Cheney believed the INC was well positioned to lead a

³ Congress more than doubled the budget for covert support to the opposition groups to about \$40 million for FY1993, from previous reported levels of about \$15 million to \$20 million. Sciolino, Elaine. "Greater U.S. Effort Backed To Oust Iraqi." *New York Times*, June 2, 1992.

⁴ The Iraqi National Congress and the International Community. Document provided by INC representatives, Feb. 1993.

⁵ The Jordanian government subsequently repaid depositors a total of \$400 million.

post-Saddam regime. Chalabi's supporters maintain that it was largely his determination that has now led to the overthrow of Saddam Hussein.

After the start of the 2003 war, Chalabi and about 700 INC fighters ("Free Iraqi Forces") were airlifted by the U.S. military from their base in the north to the Nasiriya area, purportedly to help stabilize civil affairs in southern Iraq, later deploying to Baghdad and other parts of Iraq. After establishing his headquarters in Baghdad, Chalabi tried to build support by searching for fugitive members of the former regime and arranging for U.S. military forces in Iraq to provide security to his potential supporters. (The Free Iraqi Forces accompanying Chalabi were disbanded following the U.S. decision in mid-May 2003 to disarm independent militias.)

As an Iraqi governance structure was established, Chalabi was selected to serve on the Iraq Governing Council (IGC, see below) and he was one of the nine that rotated its presidency; he was president of the IGC during September 2003. He headed the IGC committee on "de-Baathification," although his vigilance in purging former Baathists was slowed by U.S. officials in early 2004. Since 2004, Chalabi has criticized U.S. policies and allied with Shiite Islamist factions; he was high up (no. 10) on Ayatollah Sistani's "United Iraqi Alliance" slate of candidates for the January 30, 2005 elections. He is now a deputy Prime Minister.

Chalabi's new prominence completes his comeback from a 2003-2004 fallout with Washington, demonstrated when Iraqi police, backed by U.S. troops, raided INC headquarters in Baghdad on May 20, 2004. They were investigating allegations that Chalabi had informed Iran that the United States had broken Iranian intelligence codes;⁶ that INC members had been involved in kidnaping or currency fraud; or that the INC had failed to cooperate with an Iraqi investigation of the U.N. "oil-for-food program." Investigators seized computers and files that the INC had captured from various Iraqi ministries upon the fall of Saddam's regime. In August 2004, an Iraqi judge issued a warrant for Chalabi's arrest on counterfeiting charges, and for his nephew Salem Chalabi's arrest for the murder of an Iraqi finance ministry official. (Salem had headed the tribunal trying Saddam Hussein and his associates, but his role on that issue ended after the warrant was issued.) Both were out of the country but returned to fight the charges in August 2004; Ahmad Chalabi met with Iraqi investigators and the case was subsequently dropped. Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff General Richard Meyers said on May 20, 2004, that the INC had provided some information that had saved the lives of U.S. soldiers. (A table on U.S. appropriations for the Iraqi opposition, including the INC, is an appendix).

Iraq National Accord (INA)/Iyad al-Allawi. The Iraq National Accord (INA) was founded just after Iraq's 1990 invasion of Kuwait. Supported initially by Saudi Arabia, the INA consisted of defectors from Iraq's Baath Party and security organs who had ties to disgruntled, sitting officials in those organizations. During the mid-1990s, the INA reportedly had an operational backing from the Central

⁶ Risen, James, and David Johnston. "Chalabi Reportedly Told Iran That U.S. Had Code." *New York Times*, June 2, 2004.

Intelligence Agency (CIA).⁷ It has been headed since 1990 by Dr. Iyad al-Allawi (now interim Prime Minister) who that year broke with another INA leader, Salah Umar al-Tikriti. Allawi is a former Baathist who, according to some reports, helped Saddam Hussein silence Iraqi dissidents in Europe in the mid-1970s.⁸ Allawi, who is about 59 years old (born 1946 in Baghdad), fell out with Saddam in the mid-1970s, became a neurologist and presided over the Iraqi Student Union in Europe. He survived an assassination attempt in London in 1978, allegedly by Iraq's agents. He is a secular Shiite Muslim, but many INA members are Sunnis. Allawi no longer considers himself a Baath Party member, but he has not openly denounced the original tenets of Baathism, a pan-Arab multi-ethnic movement founded in the 1940s by Lebanese Christian philosopher Michel Aflaq.

Like Chalabi, Allawi was named to the IGC and to its rotating presidency; Allawi was president during October 2003. On June 1, 2004, after being nominated by the IGC, he became interim prime minister; he assumed formal power upon the June 28, 2004 sovereignty handover. His INA-led candidate slate (The Iraqis List) in the January 30 elections garnered about 14% of the vote, giving his bloc 40 of the 275 seats, but apparently not enough to enable Allawi to remain as prime minister or gain a senior position in the new government. He apparently will lead the "opposition" in the Assembly, as discussed below.

Major Kurdish Organizations/KDP and PUK. (For an extended discussion of Iraq's Kurds, see CRS Report RS22079, *The Kurds in Post-Saddam Iraq.*) The Kurds, probably the most pro-U.S. of all the major groups have a historic fear of persecution by the Arab majority and want to preserve the autonomy they have experienced since the 1991 Gulf war. (The Kurds are mostly Sunni Muslims, but they are not ethnic Arabs.) Turkey, which has a sizable Kurdish population in the areas bordering northern Iraq, particularly fears that the Kurds want outright independence and that this might touch off an effort to unify with Kurds in neighboring countries (including Turkey) into a broader "Kurdistan."

The two main Kurdish factions are the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan (PUK) led by Jalal Talabani and the Kurdistan Democratic Party (KDP), led by Masud Barzani. Together, the PUK and KDP have about 75,000 "peshmergas" (fighters). Some of these fighters are now operating as unofficial security organs in northern Iraqi cities, and some are integrated into the new national security forces and deployed in such cities as Mosul and Baghdad.

The Kurdish parties are maneuvering to maintain substantial autonomy in northern Iraq in post-Saddam Iraq — a demand largely enshrined in the Transitional Administrative Law (interim constitution, see below). The Kurds' uncertainty about the security and politics of post-Saddam Iraq caused the KDP and PUK to combine their political resources and to re-establish joint governance of the Kurdish regions. They offered a joint slate in the January 30 elections, which won about 26% of the

⁷ Brinkley, Joel. "Ex-CIA Aides Say Iraq Leader Helped Agency in 90's Attacks." *New York Times*, June 9, 2004.

⁸ Hersh, Seymour. "Annals of National Security: Plan B." *The New Yorker*, June 28, 2004.

vote and gained 75 seats in the new Assembly. A moderate Islamist Kurdish slate (Kurdistan Islamic Group), running separately, won 2 seats.

In post-Saddam Iraq, both Barzani and Talabani were on the IGC, and both were in the IGC's presidency rotation. Talabani was IGC president in November 2003, and Barzani led it in April 2004. As noted below, Talabani has now become president, and other Kurds are prominent, including Hoshyar Zibari (KDP) and Barham Salih (PUK) as ministers; Rowsch Shaways as deputy Prime Minister. In bargaining over the new government, the Kurds obtained a tentative promise from other leaders to eventually integrate Kirkuk into the Kurdish-administered regions.

Monarchist Organizations. One anti-Saddam group supported the return of Iraq's monarchy. The Movement for Constitutional Monarchy (MCM), is led by Sharif Ali bin al-Hussein, a relative of the Hashemite monarchs (he is a first cousin of King Faysal II, the last Iraqi monarch) that ruled Iraq from the end of World War I until 1958. Sharif Ali, who is about 50 and was a banker in London, claims to be the leading heir to the former Hashemite monarchy, although there are other claimants. The MCM was considered a small movement that could not contribute much to the pre-war overthrow effort, but it was part of the INC and the United States had contacts with it. Sharif Ali returned to Iraq on June 10, 2003, but neither he nor any of his followers was appointed to the IGC or the interim government. The MCM filed a candidate slate in the January 30, 2005 elections, but it won no seats.

Shiite Islamist Leaders and Organizations: Ayatollah Sistani, SCIRI, Da'wa Party, Moqtada al-Sadr, and Others. Shiite Islamist organizations constitute major factions in post-Saddam Iraq. Several had some ties to the United States during the regime change efforts of the 1990s, but some Shiite factions had no contact with the United States during that period. Shiite Muslims constitute about 60% of the population but were under-represented in every Iraqi government since modern Iraq's formation in 1920. In an event that many Iraqi Shiites still refer to as an example of their potential to frustrate great power influence, Shiite Muslims led a revolt against British occupation forces in 1921.

Grand Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani/United Iraqi Alliance (UIA). Grand Ayatollah Sistani was largely silenced by Saddam Hussein's regime and was not part of U.S.-backed efforts in the 1990s to change Iraq's regime. By virtue of his large following among Shiites in and outside Iraq (the supreme "marja-e-taqlid," or source of emulation), he is a major political force in post-Saddam politics. He is the most senior of the Shiite clerics that lead the Najaf-based "Hawza al-Ilmiyah," a grouping of seminaries; his status is recognized by many Shiites worldwide. Other senior Hawza clerics include Ayatollah Mohammad Sa'id al-Hakim (uncle of the slain leader of the Supreme Council of the Islamic Revolution in Iraq, Mohammad Baqr al-Hakim); Ayatollah Mohammad Isaac Fayadh, who is of Afghan origin; and Ayatollah Bashir al-Najafi, of Pakistani origin. Sistani also has a network of supporters and agents (wakils) throughout Iraq and even in other countries where there are large Shiite communities. Sistani is about 76 years old and suffers from heart problems that required treatment in the United Kingdom in August 2004.

Sistani was born in Iran and studied in Qom, Iran, before relocating to Najaf at the age of 21. He became head of the *Hawza* when his mentor, Ayatollah Abol

Qasem Musavi-Khoi, died in 1992. Sistani generally opposes a direct role for clerics in government, but he believes in clerical guidance and supervision of political leaders, partly explaining his deep involvement in shaping political outcomes in post-Saddam Iraq. He wants Iraq to maintain its Islamic culture and not to become secular and Westernized, favoring modest dress for women and curbs on alcohol consumption and Western-style music and entertainment. On the other hand, his career does not suggest that he favors a repressive regime and he does not have a record of supporting militant Shiite organizations such as Lebanese Hizbollah.

Sistani was instrumental in putting together the united slate of Shiite Islamist movements in the January 30 elections ("United Iraqi Alliance," UIA). The slate received about 48% of the vote and has 140 seats in the new Assembly, just enough for a majority of the 275 seat body.

Supreme Council for the Islamic Revolution in Iraq (SCIRI). The largest single party within the UIA, SCIRI is the best organized Shiite Islamist party, and it is also the most pro-Iranian. It was set up in 1982, composed mainly of ex-Da'wa Party members, to increase Iranian control over Shiite movements in Iraq and the Persian Gulf states. It was a member of the INC in the early 1990s, but distanced itself from the INC in the mid-1990s, and it consistently refused to work openly with the United States or accept U.S. funds. However, SCIRI did have contacts with the United States during this period. SCIRI leaders say they do not seek to establish an Iranian-style Islamic republic, but the group has close ties to Tehran, which is said to provide SCIRI with substantial amounts of financial and in-kind assistance. SCIRI also runs its own television station.

SCIRI's former leader, Ayatollah Mohammad Baqr al-Hakim, was the choice of Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini of Iran to head an Islamic republic of Iraq. Khomeini enjoyed the protection of Mohammad Baqr's father, Grand Ayatollah Muhsin al-Hakim, when Khomeini was in exile in Najaf during 1964-1978. (Ayatollah Muhsin al-Hakim was head of the *Hawza* at that time.) SCIRI and Mohammad Baqr had been based in Iraq after 1980, during a major crackdown by Saddam Hussein, who feared that pro-Khomeini Iraqi Shiite Islamists might try to overthrow him. Mohammad Baqr was killed in a car bomb in Najaf on August 29, 2003, about a month after he returned to Iraq from exile in Iran. Mohammad Baqr's younger brother, Abd al-Aziz al-Hakim, who is a lower ranking Shiite cleric, subsequently took over SCIRI, and served on the IGC. He was president of the IGC during December 2003, and was number one on the UIA slate, making him a major force in negotiations over the post-election government. His key aide is Adel Abd al-Mahdi, who was Finance Minister in the interim government and has now become one of two deputy presidents in the post-election government.

SCIRI's "Badr Brigades". Some U.S. officials express concern about SCIRI's continued fielding of the Badr Brigades (now renamed the "Badr Organization"), which number about 20,000 and are deployed in unofficial policing roles in Basra and other southern cities. SCIRI is resisting folding the Badr forces, as a whole, into the national Iraqi security forces, and there have been reports that Badr fighters have

⁹ For information on Sistani's views, see his website at [http://www.sistani.org].

conducted retaliatory attacks on Sunnis suspected of links to the insurgency. Iran's Revolutionary Guard, which is politically aligned with Iran's hardliners, trained and equipped the Badr forces during the Iran-Iraq war (most Badr fighters were recruited from the ranks of Iraqi prisoners of war held in Iran) and helped the Badr forces to conduct forays from Iran into southern Iraq to attack Baath Party officials there during that conflict. However, many Iraqi Shiites view SCIRI as an Iranian puppet, and Badr operations in southern Iraq during the 1980s and 1990s did not spark broad popular unrest against the Iraqi regime. The Badr Organization registered as a separate political entity, in addition to its SCIRI parent, for the January 30 election.

Da'wa Party/Ibrahim al-Jafari. The second of the most prominent UIA parties, the Da'wa (Islamic Call) Party is Iraq's oldest Shiite Islamist grouping. It was founded in 1957 by a revered Iraqi Shiite cleric, Ayatollah Mohammed Baqr Al Sadr, an uncle of Moqtada al-Sadr, and a peer of Ayatollah Khomeini. Da'wa was the most active Shiite opposition movement in the few years following Iran's Islamic revolution in February 1979; Da'wa activists conducted guerrilla attacks against the Baathist regime and attempted assassinations of senior Iraqi leaders, including Tariq Aziz. Ayatollah Baqr Al Sadr was hung by the Iraqi regime in 1980 for the unrest, and many other Da'wa activists were killed or imprisoned. After the Iraqi crackdown, many Da'wa leaders moved into Iran; some subsequently joined SCIRI, but others rejected Iranian control of Iraq's Shiite groups and continued to affiliate only with Da'wa. Da'wa has fewer Shiite clerics in its ranks than does SCIRI. (There are breakaway factions of Da'wa, the most prominent of which calls itself Islamic Da'wa of Iraq, but these factions are believed to be far smaller than Da'wa.)

Ibrahim al- Jafari is about 55 years old (born in 1950 in Karbala), is described as "soft-spoken," and has been a Da'wa activist since 1966. He attended medical school in Mosul and fled to Iran in 1980 to escape Saddam's crackdown on the Da'wa. He later went to live in London, possibly because he did not want to be seen as too closely linked to Iran. During the 1990s, Da'wa did not join the U.S. effort to overthrow Saddam Hussein.

In post-Saddam Iraq, Jafari, and Da'wa's leader in Basra, Abd al Zahra Mohammad (also known as Izzaddin Salim) served on the IGC. ¹⁰ Jafari was one of the nine rotating IGC presidents; he was first to hold that post (August 2003), and he then became deputy president in Allawi's interim government. He was number 7 on the UIA slate and, on April 7, he became prime minister. The new minister of state for national security, Abd al-Karim al-Anzi, is a member of a Da'wa faction.

Da'wa has a checkered history in the region, although there is no public evidence that Jafari was involved in any Da'wa terrorist activity. The Kuwaiti branch of the Da'wa Party allegedly was responsible for a May 1985 attempted assassination of the Amir of Kuwait and the December 1983 attacks on the U.S. and French embassies in Kuwait. The Hizballah organization in Lebanon was founded by Lebanese clerics loyal to Ayatollah Baqr Al Sadr and Iran's Ayatollah Khomeini, and there continue to be personal and ideological linkages between Lebanese

 $^{^{10}}$ Salim was killed on May 17, 2004, in a suicide bombing while serving as president of the IGC.

Hizballah and the Da'wa Party (as well as with SCIRI). The Hizballah activists who held U.S. hostages in that country during the 1980s often attempted to link release of the Americans to the release of 17 Da'wa Party prisoners held by Kuwait for those attacks in the 1980s. Some Da'wa members in Iraq look to Lebanon's senior Shiite cleric Mohammed Hossein Fadlallah, who was a student and protege of Ayatollah Mohammed Baqr Al Sadr, for spiritual guidance; Fadlallah also reportedly perceives himself a rival of Sistani as a pre-eminent Shiite figure.

Moqtada al-Sadr/"Mahdi Army". Relatives of the late Ayatollah Mohammed Baqr al-Sadr, most notably his nephew Moqtada Al Sadr, have become active in post-Saddam Iraq. The Sadr clan stayed in Iraq during Saddam Hussein's rule, and it was repressed politically during that time. Although the Sadr clan was identified with the Da'wa Party, most members of the clan currently do not identify with it. Some relatives of the clan are in Lebanon, and the founder of what became the Shiite Amal (Hope) party in Lebanon was a Sadr clan member, Imam Musa Sadr, who died in murky circumstances in Libya in 1978.

Moqtada Al Sadr, who is about 31 years old (born in 1974), is the lone surviving son of the revered Ayatollah Mohammed Sadiq al-Sadr. He and his other two sons were killed by Saddam's security forces in 1999 after the Ayatollah began publicly opposing Saddam's government. Using his father's esteemed legacy, Moqtada has gained a prominent role in post-Saddam Shiite politics by adopting hard-line positions against the occupation. Moqtada Al Sadr, as did his father, has a significant following among poorer Shiites, particularly in a Baghdad district now called "Sadr City," which has a population of about 2 million.

Sadr is viewed by most Iraqi Shiites, including Sistani, as a young radical who lacks religious and political weight. To compensate for his lack of religious credentials, he has sought spiritual authority for his actions from his teacher, Ayatollah Kazem Haeri, who lives in Qom, Iran but is associated with the Najafbased *Hawza*. There is also a personal dimension to the rift; Sadr's father had been a rival of Sistani for pre-eminent Shiite religious authority in Iraq. The widespread view of Sadr as an impulsive radical took hold on April 10, 2003, when his supporters allegedly stabbed to death Abd al-Majid Khoi, the son of the late Grand Ayatollah Khoi, shortly after Khoi's U.S.-backed return to Najaf from exile in London. Sadr subsequently used his Friday prayer sermons in Kufa (near Najaf) and other forums to Iraqi officials as puppets of the U.S. occupation and to call for an Islamic state. He was not in the IGC or the interim government.

In mid-2003 he began recruiting a militia (the "Mahdi Army") to combat the U.S. occupation. Sadr also published anti-U.S. newspapers, and he inspired demonstrations. U.S. military operations put down Mahdi Army uprisings in April 2004 and August 2004 in Najaf, Sadr City (Baghdad) and other Shiite cities. In each case, fighting was ended with compromises with Sadr under which Mahdi forces stopped fighting (and in some cases traded in some of their weapons for money) in exchange for lenient treatment or releases of prisoners, amnesty for Sadr himself, and reconstruction aid. U.S. operations were assisted by pronouncements and diplomacy

¹¹ Khoi had headed the Khoi Foundation, based in London.

by Ayatollah Sistani opposing Sadr's challenges. The Mahdi Army has since ended active anti-U.S. activity and Sadr's main political base in Sadr City has been relatively quiet, but armed Mahdi fighters reportedly continue to patrol Sadr City and other pro-Sadr enclaves, and the force could resume military activity in the future.

Despite U.S. and Sistani overtures for Sadr to participate in the January 30, 2005, elections on the UIA slate, Sadr came out publicly against the elections, claiming they did not address the real needs of the Iraqi people for infrastructure and economic opportunity. Sadr might be calculating that the elections will not produce stability or economic progress, and that he could perhaps rally his supporters against a new government. Suggesting that Sadr wants the option of participating in the political process, 14 of his supporters were on the UIA slate, and about 180 pro-Sadr candidates from Sadr City offered their own separate slate, called the "Nationalist Elites and Cadres List." That list won 3 seats. Therefore, Sadr has a total of about twelve supporters in the new National Assembly. Pro-Sadr candidates also won pluralities in several southern Iraqi provincial council elections. It is reported that three ministers in the new government, including minister of transportation Salam al-Maliki, are Sadr supporters.

Other Shiite Organizations and Militias. A smaller Shiite Islamist organization, the Islamic Amal (Action) Organization, is headed by Ayatollah Mohammed Taqi Modarassi, a relatively moderate Shiite cleric who returned from exile in Iran after Saddam fell. Islamic Amal's power base is in Karbala, and, operating under the SCIRI umbrella, it conducted attacks there against regime organs in the 1980s. Islamic Amal does not appear to have a following nearly as large as do SCIRI or Da'wa. Modarassi's brother, Abd al-Hadi, headed the Islamic Front for the Liberation of Bahrain, which stirred Shiite unrest against Bahrain's regime in the 1980s and 1990s. Islamic Amal won 2 seats in the January 30 election.

A variety of press reports say that some other Shiite militias are operating in southern Iraq. One such militia is derived from the fighters who challenged Saddam Hussein's forces in the southern marsh areas, around the town of Amara, north of Basra. It goes by the name Hizbollah-Iraq and it is headed by guerrilla leader Abdul Karim Muhammadawi, who was on the IGC. Hizbollah-Iraq apparently plays a major role in policing Amara and environs.

U.S. Relations With the Major Factions During the Clinton Administration

Although they are negotiating peacefully with each other in post-Saddam Iraq, the factions discussed have a long history of friction. During the Clinton Administration, differences among them nearly led to the collapse of the U.S. regime change effort. As noted above, in May 1994, the KDP and the PUK clashed with each other over territory, customs revenues levied at border with Turkey, and control over the Kurdish enclave's government based in Irbil. Their infighting contributed to the defeat of an INC offensive against Iraqi troops in March 1995; the KDP pulled out of the offensive at the last minute. Although it was repelled, the offensive initially overran some of poorly motivated front-line Iraqi units, although INC leaders

said the battle indicated that armed action could have toppled Saddam Hussein had it received more U.S. assistance.

The fractiousness during the mid-1990s had caused the United States to briefly revisit a "coup strategy," relying on Allawi's INA. A prime opportunity for that strategy came in August 1995, when Saddam's son-in-law Hussein Kamil al-Majid, organizer of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction efforts, defected to Jordan, setting off turmoil within Saddam's regime. After that defection, Jordan's King Hussein agreed to allow the INA to operate from Jordan, but the INA became penetrated by Iraq's intelligence services and Baghdad arrested or executed over 100 INA activists in June 1996. In August 1996, Baghdad launched a military incursion into northern Iraq, at the invitation of the KDP, to help it capture Irbil from the PUK. The incursion gave Baghdad the opportunity to rout remaining INC and INA operatives throughout the north. During the incursion in the north, Iraq reportedly executed two hundred oppositionists and arrested 2,000 others. The United States evacuated from northern Iraq and eventually resettled in the United States 650 mostly INC activists.

Congress and the Iraq Liberation Act. During 1996-1998, the Clinton Administration had little contact with the opposition. On February 26, 1998, then Secretary of State Madeleine Albright testified to a Senate Appropriations subcommittee that it would be "wrong to create false or unsustainable expectations" for the opposition. During 1997-1998, Iraq's obstructions of U.N. weapons of mass destruction (WMD) inspections led to growing congressional calls to overthrow Saddam, although virtually no one was advocating a U.S.-led military invasion to accomplish that. A congressional push for a regime change policy began with an FY1998 supplemental appropriations (P.L. 105-174, May 1, 1998), which earmarked \$5 million in Economic Support Funds (ESF) for the opposition 13 and \$5 million for a Radio Free Iraq, under the direction of Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty (RFE/RL). The service began broadcasting in October 1998, from Prague. As shown in the appendix, in subsequent years, Congress appropriated funding for the Iraqi opposition and to publicize alleged Iraqi war crimes.

A clear indication of congressional support for a more active U.S. overthrow effort was encapsulated in another bill introduced in 1998: the Iraq Liberation Act (ILA, P.L. 105-338, October 31, 1998). The ILA was widely interpreted as an expression of congressional support for the concept, advocated by Chalabi and some U.S. experts, of promoting an Iraqi insurgency using U.S. air-power. President Clinton signed the legislation, despite doubts about opposition capabilities. The ILA:

• stated that it should be the policy of the United States to "support efforts" to remove the regime headed by Saddam Hussein. In mid-

¹² An account of this shift in U.S. strategy is essayed in Hoagland, Jim. "How CIA's Secret War On Saddam Collapsed." *Washington Post*, June 26, 1997.

¹³ Of the ESF, \$3 million was devoted to an overt program to promote cohesion among the opposition, and to highlighting Iraqi violations of U.N. resolutions. The remaining \$2 million was used to translate and publicize documents of alleged Iraqi war crimes; the documents were retrieved from the Kurdish north, placed on 176 CD-ROM diskettes, and translated and analyzed by experts under U.S. government contract.

November 1998, President Clinton publicly articulated that regime change was a component of U.S. policy toward Iraq.

- gave the President authority to provide up to \$97 million worth of defense articles and services, as well as \$2 million in broadcasting funds, to opposition groups designated by the Administration.
- did not specifically provide for its termination after Saddam Hussein is removed from power. Section 7 of the ILA provides for continuing post-Saddam "transition assistance" to Iraqi parties and movements with "democratic goals."

Operation "Desert Fox"/First ILA Designations. Immediately after the signing of the ILA came a series of new crises over Iraq's obstructions of U.N. weapons inspections. On December 15, 1998, U.N. inspectors were withdrawn, and a three-day U.S. and British bombing campaign against suspected Iraqi WMD facilities followed (Operation Desert Fox, December 16-19, 1998). In January 1999, diplomat Frank Ricciardone was named as State Department liaison to the opposition. On February 5, 1999, President Clinton issued a determination (P.D. 99-13) making seven opposition groups eligible to receive U.S. military assistance under the act: INC; INA; SCIRI; KDP; PUK; the Islamic Movement of Iraqi Kurdistan (IMIK)¹⁴; and the MCM.¹⁵

Bush Administration Policy

Bush Administration Iraq policy began similar to Clinton Administration policy, but changed dramatically after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. Some accounts say that the Administration was planning, well prior to September 11, to confront Iraq, but Administration officials deny that. Even though several senior officials, such as deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz, had been strong advocates of a regime change policy, many of the long-standing questions about the difficulty of that strategy were debated early in the Bush Administration. ¹⁶ But, the Bush Administration decided not to change policy by providing the opposition with lethal aid, combat training, or military support.

¹⁴ Because of its role in the eventual formation of the radical Ansar al-Islam group, the IMIK did not receive U.S. funds after 2001, although it was not formally taken off the ILA eligibility list.

¹⁵ In concert with a May 1999 INC visit to Washington D.C, the Clinton Administration announced a draw down of \$5 million worth of training and "non-lethal" defense articles under the ILA. During 1999-2000, about 150 oppositionists underwent civil administration training at Hurlburt air base in Florida, including Defense Department-run civil affairs training to administer a post-Saddam government, but the Clinton Administration asserted that the opposition was not sufficiently organized to receive weaponry or combat training. The Hurlburt trainees were not brought into Operation Iraqi Freedom or into the Free Iraqi Forces that deployed to Iraq toward the end of the major combat phase of the war.

¹⁶ One account of Bush Administration internal debates on the strategy is found in Hersh, Seymour. "The Debate Within." *The New Yorker*, Mar. 11, 2002.

With no immediate consensus on whether or how to pursue Saddam's overthrow, Secretary of State Powell focused during the first year of the Administration on strengthening containment of Iraq, which the Bush Administration said had eroded substantially in the few preceding years. Powell visited the Middle East in February 2001 to enlist regional support for a "smart sanctions" plan. The plan was a modification of the U.N. sanctions regime and "oil-for-food" program to improve international enforcement of the U.N. ban on exports of dual use technology to Iraq in exchange for a relaxation of restrictions on exports of purely civilian equipment.¹⁷ After about a year of Security Council negotiations, the major feature of the smart sanctions plan — new procedures that virtually eliminated U.N. review of civilian exports to Iraq — was adopted on May 14, 2002 (U.N. Resolution 1409).

Post-September 11: Implementing Regime Change. The shift to a more active regime change effort became clear in President Bush's State of the Union message on January 29, 2002; in that speech, he characterized Iraq as part of an "axis of evil," along with Iran and North Korea. That speech occurred as the U.S.-led war on the Taliban and Al Qaeda in Afghanistan was winding down. Some U.S. officials, particularly deputy Defense Secretary Wolfowitz, asserted that the United States needed to respond to the September 11, 2001 attacks by "ending states" that support terrorist groups, including Iraq. Vice President Cheney visited the Middle East in March 2002 reportedly to consult regional countries about the possibility of confronting Iraq militarily, although the leaders visited reportedly urged greater U.S. attention to the Arab-Israeli dispute and opposed confrontation with Iraq. Some accounts, including the book *Plan of Attack*, by Bob Woodward (published in April 2004), say that Secretary of State Powell and others were concerned about the potential consequences of an invasion of Iraq, particularly the difficulties of building a democratic political structure after major hostilities ended.

The two primary themes in the Bush Administration's public case for the need to confront Iraq were (1) its purported refusal to end its WMD programs, and (2) its ties to terrorist groups, to which Iraq might transfer WMD for conduct of a catastrophic attack on the United States. President Bush asserted that Iraq was a "grave and gathering" threat that should be blunted before the threat became an imminent or immediate threat to U.S. security. The Administration added that regime change would yield the further benefit of liberating the Iraqi people and promoting stability and democracy in the Middle East.

• WMD Threat Perception. Senior U.S. officials asserted the following about Iraq's WMD: (1) that Iraq had worked to rebuild its WMD programs in the nearly four years since U.N. weapons inspectors left Iraq and had failed to comply with 17 U.N. resolutions, including Resolution 1441 (November 8, 2002) that demanded complete elimination of all of Iraq's WMD programs; (2) that Iraq had used chemical weapons against its own people (the Kurds) and against Iraq's neighbors (Iran), implying that Iraq would not necessarily be deterred from using WMD against the United

¹⁷ For more information on this program, see CRS Report RL30472, *Iraq: Oil For Food Program, Sanctions, and U.S. Policy*.

States or its allies; and (3) that Iraq could transfer its WMD to terrorists, particularly Al Qaeda, that could use these weapons to cause mass casualties in the United States or elsewhere. Critics noted that, under the U.S. threat of retaliation, Iraq did not use WMD against U.S. troops in the 1991 Gulf war, although it did defy similar U.S. warnings and burned Kuwait's oil fields. (The "comprehensive" September 2004 report of the Iraq Survey Group, the so-called Duelfer report, ¹⁸ found no WMD stockpiles or production but said that there was evidence that the regime retained the intention to reconstitute WMD programs in the future. The WMD search ended December 2004.)

• Links to Al Qaeda. Iraq was a designated state sponsor of terrorism during 1979-82, and was again designated after the 1990 invasion of Kuwait. Although they did not assert that Saddam Hussein's regime had a direct connection to the September 11 attacks or the subsequent anthrax mailings, senior U.S. officials said there was evidence of Iraqi linkages to Al Qaeda, in part because of the presence of pro-Al Qaeda militant leader Abu Musab al-Zarqawi in northern Iraq. (The final report by the 9/11 Commission found no evidence of a "collaborative operational linkage" between Iraq and Al Qaeda.)¹⁹

Run-up to Military Action. As it began in mid-2002 to prepare for possible military action against Iraq, the Bush Administration tried to build up and broaden the Iraqi opposition. On June 16, 2002, the *Washington Post* reported that, in early 2002, President Bush authorized stepped up covert activities by the CIA and special operations forces to destabilize Saddam Hussein. In August 2002, the State and Defense Departments jointly invited six opposition groups (INC, the INA, the KDP, the PUK, SCIRI, and the MCM) to Washington. At the same time, the Administration expanded its ties to several groups, particularly those composed primarily of ex-military officers, ²⁰ as well as the Iraqi Turkmen Front, a small, ethnic-based group aligned with Turkey; ²¹ the Islamic Accord of Iraq, a Damascus-based Shiite Islamic Party; and the Assyrian Democratic Movement, which is headed by

¹⁸ The full text of the Duelfer report is available at [http://news.findlaw.com/hdocs/docs/iraq/cia93004wmdrpt.html].

¹⁹ Page 66 of the 9/11 Commission report.

²⁰ These ex-military-dominated groups included the Iraqi National Movement; the Iraqi National Front; the Iraqi Free Officers and Civilians Movement; and the Higher Council for National Salvation, headed by a former chief of military intelligence. Ex-chief of staff of Iraq's military Nizar al-Khazraji, who was based in Denmark since fleeing Iraq in 1996, may also be a member of this group. He is under investigation there for alleged involvement in Iraq's use of chemical weapons against the Kurds in 1988. His current whereabouts are unknown. On December 9, 2002, the Administration made most of them eligible — in addition to the seven groups originally made eligible — to receive ILA draw-downs, and he authorized the remaining \$92 million worth of goods and services available under the ILA.

²¹ Turkomens, who are generally Sunni Muslims, number about 350,000 and live mainly in northern Iraq.

Yonadam Yousif Kanna.²² The Administration also began training about 5,000 oppositionists to assist U.S. forces,²³ although only about 70 completed training at an air base (Taszar) in Hungary.²⁴ (These recruits served with U.S. forces in OIF as translators and mediators between U.S. forces and local leaders.)

During late 2002, as it became increasingly likely the United States would attack Iraq, the opposition began positioning itself for post-Saddam Iraq. In December 2002, with U.S. officials attending, major Iraqi opposition groups met in London, seeking to declare a provisional government. Despite Bush Administration opposition to the pre-war formation of a provisional government — a position grounded on the belief that doing so would give the impression that the United States wanted the exile groups to dominate post-war Iraq — the opposition met in northern Iraq in February 2003 and formed a "transition preparation committee."

As U.N. inspectors worked in Iraq under the new mandates provided in Resolution 1441, the Administration demanded complete disarmament by Iraq to avert military action. In part to garner international support for a U.S.-led war, the Administration downplayed the goal of regime change in President Bush's September 12, 2002 speech before the United Nations General Assembly, stressing instead the need to enforce U.N. resolutions on Iraq. In evaluating Iraqi compliance, the U.N. Security Council received several briefings by the director of the U.N. inspection body UNMOVIC (U.N. Monitoring, Verification, and Inspection Commission) Hans Blix and the director of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Mohammad al-Baradei, based on WMD inspections that resumed November 27, 2002. Blix and Baradei criticized Iraq for failing to pro-actively cooperate to clear up outstanding questions about its WMD program, but the latter two briefings (February 24 and March 7, 2003) noted progress in clearing up some uncertainties and added that Iraq might not have retained any WMD.

The Administration began emphasizing regime change rather than disarmament as it appeared that the Council would not back war. Security Council opponents of war, including France, Russia, China, and Germany, said the pre-war WMD inspections showed that Iraq could be disarmed peacefully or contained indefinitely. On the Security Council, the United States, along with Britain, Spain, and Bulgaria, maintained that Iraq had not fundamentally decided to disarm. At a March 16, 2003, summit meeting with the leaders of Britain, Spain, and Bulgaria at the Azores, President Bush asserted that diplomatic options to disarm Iraq had failed. The following evening, President Bush gave Saddam Hussein and his sons, Uday and Qusay, an ultimatum to leave Iraq within 48 hours to avoid war. They refused the ultimatum, and OIF began on March 19, 2003.

²² Iraq's Assyrians are based primarily in northern Iraq, but there is a substantial diaspora community living in the United States; the group began integrating into the broader opposition front in September 2002. In post-Saddam Iraq, Kanna served on the IGC.

²³ Deyoung, Karen, and Daniel Williams. "Training of Iraqi Exiles Authorized." *Washington Post*, Oct. 19, 2002.

²⁴ Williams, Daniel. "U.S. Army to Train 1,000 Iraqi Exiles." *Washington Post*, Dec. 18, 2002.

In the war, Iraq's conventional military forces were overwhelmed by the approximately 380,000 person U.S. and British force assembled (a substantial proportion of which remained afloat or in supporting roles), although some Iraqi units and irregulars ("Saddam's Fedayeen") put up stiff resistance and used unconventional tactics. No WMD was used, although Iraq did fire some ballistic missiles into Kuwait; it is not clear whether those missiles were of prohibited ranges (greater than 150 km). The regime vacated Baghdad on April 9, 2003, although Saddam appeared publicly with supporters that day in a district of Baghdad where he was popular. After the combat against the Iraqi military, organs of the U.S. government began searching for evidence of former regime human rights abuses and other violations, in addition to evidence of WMD. These searches were led by the Iraq Survey Group (ISG), discussed above. The ISG's WMD search ended in December 2004, and most of its 1,200 person staff are now focused on analyzing the insurgency.²⁵

Post-Saddam Governance and Transition

There has been substantial debate about the course of U.S. policy toward Iraq as the post-Saddam insurgency has persisted.²⁶ On December 20, 2004, President Bush acknowledged difficulties by saying that the insurgents were adversely "having an affect" on U.S. policy. However, following the relatively successful January 30, 2005 elections, the President and many experts became more hopeful about the prospects for establishing stable democracy.

Occupation Period and the Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA).

After the fall of the regime, the United States set up an occupation structure, a decision reportedly based on Administration concerns that immediate sovereignty would likely result in infighting among and domination by major factions. The Bush Administration initially tasked Lt. Gen. Jay Garner (ret.) to direct reconstruction, with a staff of U.S. government personnel to serve as advisers and administrators in Iraq's ministries. He headed the Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Assistance (ORHA), within the Department of Defense, created by a January 20, 2003 executive order. Garner and his staff deployed in April 2003.

Garner tried to quickly establish a representative successor Iraqi regime. He, and White House envoy Zalmay Khalilzad, organized a meeting in Nasiriyah (April 15, 2003) of about 100 Iraqis of varying ethnicities and ideologies. Another meeting of about 250 delegates was held in Baghdad on April 26, 2003, ending in agreement to hold a broader meeting, within a month, to name an interim Iraqi administration. In parallel, major exile parties began a series of meetings, with U.S. envoys present.

²⁵ For analysis of the former regime's WMD and other abuses, see CRS Report RL32379, *Iraq: Former Regime Weapons Programs, Human Rights Violations, and U.S. Policy*.

²⁶ Some of the information in this section was obtained during author's participation in a congressional delegation to Iraq during Feb. 26-Mar. 2, 2004. The visit to Baghdad, Basra, and Tallil included meetings with CPA head L. Paul Bremer, the commander of U.S. forces in Iraq Lt. Gen. Ricardo Sanchez, and various local and national Iraqi political figures and other CPA, U.S., and coalition military officials.

Press reports said that senior U.S. officials were dissatisfied with Garner's lax approach to governing, including tolerance for Iraqis naming themselves as local leaders. In May 2003, the Administration named former ambassador L. Paul Bremer to replace Garner by heading a "Coalition Provisional Authority" (CPA), which subsumed ORHA. The CPA was an occupying authority recognized by U.N. Security Council Resolution 1483 (May 22, 2003). Bremer suspended Garner's political transition process and instead agreed to appoint a 25- to 30-member Iraqi body, which would have more than purely advisory powers but not formal sovereignty. Bremer said this "Governing Council" would nominate ministry heads, recommend policies, and draft an interim constitution.²⁷

Another alteration of the U.S. post-war structure was made public in early October 2003; an "Iraq Stabilization Group" under the direction of former National Security Adviser (now Secretary of State) Condoleezza Rice was formed to coordinate interagency support to the CPA. A Rice deputy, Robert Blackwill, had been the NSC's primary official for the Iraq transition, but he resigned from the Administration in November 2004. In March 2005, Secretary Rice named Ambassador Richard Jones, former ambassador to Kuwait, as her chief advisor and coordinator for Iraq. The Administration's post-war policy did not make extensive use of a State Department initiative, called the "Future of Iraq Project," that drew up plans for administration by Iraqis after the fall of Saddam, although some Iraqis who participated in that project are now in official positions in Iraq's government. The State Department project, which cost \$5 million, consisted of about 15 working groups on major issues.²⁸

The Iraqi Governing Council (IGC). On July 13, 2003, Bremer named the "Iraq Governing Council (IGC)." During its tenure (July 2003 - June 2004), the IGC was less active than expected; some believe it was too heavily dominated by exiles and lacked legitimacy. In September 2003, the IGC selected a 25-member "cabinet" to run individual ministries. The "cabinet" had roughly the same factional and ethnic balance of the IGC itself (a slight majority of Shiite Muslims). Among major actions, the IGC began a process of "de-Baathification" — a purge from government of about 30,000 persons who held any of the four top ranks of the Baath Party — and it authorized a war crimes tribunal for Saddam and his associates. The IGC dissolved on June 1, 2004 when an interim government was named.

Reflecting the heavy presence of exile leaders, the major figures on the IGC included leaders of several of the major factions mentioned above, including SCIRI leader Hakim; Da'wa leader Jafari; Chalabi; Allawi; and Kurdish leaders Talabani and Barzani. Some previously obscure figures were also on the IGC, including Ghazi al-Yawar, a Sunni Muslim, senior member of the Shammar tribe and president of Saudi-based Hicap Technology; and Iraqi Communist Party head Hamid al-Musa, a Shiite Muslim. The party is making a comeback in Iraq. It had been an adversary and competitor of the Baath Party, although the two had periods of cooperation in the

²⁷ Transcript: "Bremer Reviews Progress, Plans for Iraq Reconstruction." *Washington File*, June 23, 2003.

²⁸ Information on the project, including summaries of the findings of its 17 working groups, can be found at [http://usinfo.state.gov/products/pubs/archive/dutyiraq/].

late 1960s and early 1970s. The party's "People's Union" slate won two seats in the January 30 elections.

The Handover of Sovereignty and Run-up to Elections

The Bush Administration initially made the end of the U.S. occupation contingent on the completion of a new constitution and the holding of national elections for a new government, tasks which were expected to be completed by late 2005. However, the IGC made little progress in drafting a constitution due to factional divisions. Ayatollah Sistani insisted that drafters be elected, and he and others agitated for an early restoration of Iraqi sovereignty, as well as for direct elections to choose a new government. On November 15, 2003, after consultations with President Bush, Bremer and the IGC announced a plan to draft a provisional constitution, or Transitional Administrative Law (TAL), to return sovereignty to Iraq by June 30, 2004, and to hold national elections for a permanent government by December 31, 2005. Sistani's opposition torpedoed a major aspect of the plan — that, as an initial step, a national assembly would be selected through nationwide "caucuses" rather than direct elections.

Transitional Administrative Law (TAL)/Transition Roadmap. The CPA decisions on transition roadmap were incorporated into the TAL, which was signed on March 8, 2004.²⁹ Most of the major transition-related provisions of the TAL are discussed later, but some of the overarching points are that:

- Elections would be held by January 31, 2005 for a 275-seat transitional National Assembly. The election law for the transition government "shall aim to achieve the goal of having women constitute no less than 25% of the members of the National Assembly."
- The Kurds maintained their autonomous "Kurdistan Regional Government," but they were not given control of the city of Kirkuk. They did receive some powers to contradict or alter the application of Iraqi law in their provinces, and their *peshmerga* militia could continue to operate.
- Islam is the official religion of Iraq and is to be considered "a source," but not the only source or the primary source, of legislation. It adds that no law can be passed that contradicts the agreed tenets of Islam, but neither can any law contradict certain rights including peaceful assembly; free expression; equality of men and women before the law; and the right to strike and demonstrate.

Interim (Allawi) Government/Sovereignty Handover/Resolution 1546. The TAL did not directly address the formation of an interim government that would run Iraq from sovereignty handover (June 30, 2004) until the January 2005

²⁹ The text of the TAL can be obtained from the CPA website: [http://cpa-iraq.org/government/TAL.html].

elections. Options considered for selecting the interim government included holding a traditional assembly along the lines of Afghanistan's *loya jirga* or a smaller meeting or expanding the IGC into an interim government. The United States decided to tap U.N. envoy Lakhdar Brahimi to take the lead role in selecting the interim government.³⁰ He envisioned a government of technocrats, devoid of those who might promote themselves in national elections, but maneuvering by senior politicians led to their domination of the interim government. This government were named on June 1, 2004, and began work immediately. The formal handover of sovereignty took place at about 10:30 A.M. on June 28, 2004 — two days before the advertised June 30 date, partly to confound insurgents.

The powers of the interim government were addressed in an addendum to the TAL. It had a largely ceremonial "presidency" — former IGC member Ghazi al-Yawar — and two deputy presidents (the Da'wa's Jafari and the KDP's Dr. Rowsch Shaways). Allawi was Prime Minister, with executive power, and there was a deputy prime minister, 26 ministers, two ministers of state with portfolio, and three ministers of state without portfolio. Six ministers were women, and the ethnicity mix was roughly the same as in the IGC. The key positions included the following:

- Deputy Prime Minister (for national security): PUK official Barham Salih, formerly PUK representative in Washington and prime minister of the PUK-controlled region of northern Iraq.
- Minister of Defense: Hazem al-Shaalan, a Sunni Muslim elder of the Ghazal tribe who was in exile during 1985-2003.
- Interior Minister: Falah al-Naqib, another Sunni, is the son of ex-Baathist general Hassan al-Naqib. (Hassan al-Naqib was a member of the first executive committee of the INC in the early 1990s.)
- Minister of Finance: senior SCIRI official Adel Abdul Mahdi.
- Minister of Oil: oil expert Thamir Ghadban, who played a major role in rehabilitating the post-Saddam oil industry.
- Some IGC "ministers" were retained. KDP official Hoshyar Zebari, the "foreign minister" in the IGC cabinet, was retained in this post. Another KDP activist, Ms. Nasreen Berwari (now married to President Ghazi al-Yawar) remained Minister of Public Works.

Resolution 1546/Coalition Military Mandate. Many of the powers and responsibilities of the interim government were reaffirmed by U.N. Security Council Resolution 1546, adopted unanimously on June 8, 2004, which also contained several provisions spelling out the duration and legal status of U.S.-led forces in Iraq. Because of Sistani's opposition to the TAL's limitations on the authority of a transition (post-January 2005) president and its provision allowing the Kurds a veto

³⁰ Chandrasekaran, Rajiv. "Envoy Urges U.N.-Chosen Iraqi Government." *Washington Post*. Apr. 15, 2004.

over a permanent constitution, Resolution 1546 did not formally endorse the TAL. The Resolution endorsed the handover of sovereignty and provided that

- U.S. officials no longer have final authority on non-security related issues. The interim government's primary function was to run the ministries and prepare for the January 2005 elections. Many international law experts say that the interim government could have exceeded this intended mandate, including amending the TAL or revoking CPA decrees, but it did not do so. The Kurds had feared that the interim government would repeal TAL provisions that the Kurds view as protecting them from the Arab majority;³¹ those fears were increased by the omission from Resolution 1546 of any mention of the TAL.
- The relationship between U.S. and Iraqi forces, coordination and partnership, was spelled out in an exchange of letters between Secretary of State Powell and Allawi, annexed to Resolution 1546. The Iraqi government does not have a veto over specific coalition operations, and the coalition retains the ability to take prisoners. The Resolution reinforced the TAL in specifying that, at least until the end of 2005 (the end of the transition period), Iraqi forces will be "a principal partner in the multi-national force operating in Iraq under unified [American] command pursuant to the provisions of U.N. Security Council Resolution 1511 (October 16, 2003) and any subsequent resolutions."
- The coalition's mandate is to be reviewed "at the request of the Government of Iraq or twelve months from the date of this resolution," that the mandate would expire when a permanent government is sworn in at the end of 2005, and that the mandate would be terminated "if the Iraqi government so requests." The Resolution defers to the newly elected government an agreement on the status of foreign forces (Status of Forces Agreement, SOFA) in Iraq. Currently, U.S. forces operate in Iraq and use its facilities under temporary memoranda of understanding. On April 22, 2005, it was publicly reported that the chairman and ranking Member of the Senate Armed Services Committee had written to Secretary of State Rice urging that the United States seek a formal invitation from the Iraqi government (currently being constituted) for U.S. troops to remain until security can be ensured by Iraqi forces.
- The interim government assumed control over Iraq's oil revenues and the Development Fund for Iraq (DFI), subject to monitoring for at least one year (until June 2005) by the U.N.-mandated International Advisory and Monitoring Board (IAMB). Iraq also was given responsibility for close-out of the "oil-for-food"

³¹ Filkins, Dexter. "Kurds Threaten to Walk Away from Iraqi State." *New York Times*, June 9, 2004.

program."³² (In accordance with Resolution 1483 of May 22, 2004, the program ended November 21, 2003.)

- The Resolution gave the United Nations a major role in assisting and advising the interim government in preparing for the January 30 elections and authorized a force within the coalition to protect U.N. personnel and facilities.
- Resolution 1546 and the addendum to the TAL provided for the holding of a conference of over 1,000 Iraqis (chosen from all around Iraq by a 60-member commission of Iraqis) to choose a 100-seat "Interim National Council" essentially an interim parliament. This body, selected under tight security during August 13-18, 2004, 33 did not have legislative authority, but was able to veto decisions by the executive branch with a 2/3 majority. Nineteen of the 100 seats went to IGC members who did not obtain positions in the interim government, as provided for in the TAL. The council was sworn in on September 1, 2004; it held some televised "hearings," including questioning ministers.

Post-Handover U.S. Structure in Iraq. The following were additional consequences of the sovereignty handover, designed in part to lower the profile of U.S. influence over the post-handover Iraqi interim government.

- Bremer departed Iraq for the United States on June 28, 2004, and the CPA and formal state of occupation ceased. Ambassador John Negroponte, the U.S. Ambassador to Iraq, confirmed by the Senate on May 6, 2004, arrived in Iraq and subsequently presented credentials, establishing formal U.S.-Iraq diplomatic relations for the first time since January 1991. A large U.S. embassy opened on June 30, 2004; it is staffed with about 1,000 U.S. personnel, including about 160 U.S. officials and representatives that serve as advisers to the interim government.³⁴ In February 2005, Negroponte was nominated to be new National Intelligence Director, leaving the ambassadorship vacant; U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan Zalmay Khalilzad has been nominated to the post. (The FY2005 supplemental appropriation, P.L. 109-13, provides requested funds for Iraq embassy operations and \$592 million of \$658 million requested to construct a new embassy in Baghdad.)
- Some CPA functions, such as the advising of local Iraqi governments, local Iraqi governing councils, and U.S. military units,

³² For information on that program, see CRS Report RL30472, *Iraq: Oil-for-Food Program, International Sanctions, and Illicit Trade.*

³³ Tavernise, Sabrina. "In Climax To a Tumultuous 4-Day Debate, Iraq Chooses An Assembly." *New York Times*, August 19, 2004.

³⁴ See CRS Report RS21867, U.S. Embassy in Iraq.

have been retained at the U.S. embassy in the form of an "Iraq Reconstruction and Management Office (IRMO)." About 150 U.S. personnel are serving in at least four major centers around Iraq to advise local Iraqi governments: Hilla, Basra, Kirkuk, and Mosul. As of November 2004, the IRMO is headed by Ambassador William Taylor, formerly U.S. aid coordinator for Afghanistan.

- After the handover, U.S. military headquarters in Baghdad (Combined Joint Task Force-7, CJTF-7) became a multi-national headquarters "Multinational Force-Iraq, MNF-I". Four-star U.S. Gen. George Casey, confirmed by the Senate on June 24, 2004, is commander.³⁵ Lt. Gen. John Vines heads the "Multinational Corps-Iraq"; he is operational commander of U.S. forces on a day-to-day basis. Before dissolving, the CPA extended its orders giving U.S. military people, and some contractors, immunity from prosecution by Iraqi courts.³⁶
- The Program Management Office (PMO), which reported to the Department of Defense and administers some U.S. funds for Iraq, has been replaced by a "Project and Contracting Office (PCO)," headed by Charles Hess.

January 30, 2005 Elections/New Government/Next Steps. The elections, including competing slates, results, and the new government, are analyzed in a separate CRS Report RS21968, Iraq: Elections and New Government. After the handover of sovereignty, and in accordance with the TAL, on January 30, 2005, national elections were held for a transitional National Assembly, 18 provincial councils, and the Kurdish regional assembly. As noted above, the elections gave the UIA a slim majority (140) of the 275 seats in the new Assembly; the two main Kurdish parties control 75 seats; interim Prime Minister Allawi's bloc won 40 seats; and interim President Ghazi Yawar's slate won 5 seats, with several other parties splitting the remaining 15 seats.³⁷

The new government has taken shape as discussed below, although U.S. officials, including Secretary of State Rice who visited Iraq on May 15, 2005, say that it is not sufficiently inclusive of the Sunni minority. Such inclusiveness, in the view of U.S. officials and most outside observers, is the key to stabilizing Iraq.

• The 275-seat Assembly convened for the first time on March 16. After several failed attempts, the Assembly chose Sunni parliamentarian Hajim al-Hassani as speaker on March 29. He was interim Minister of Industry and was a member of the Iraqi Islamic

³⁵ Hendren, John, and Richard Serrano. "Pentagon Intends to Replace Ground Commander in Iraq." *Los Angeles Times*, May 25, 2004.

³⁶ Wright, Robin. "U.S. Immunity in Iraq Will Go Beyond June 30." *Washington Post*, June 24, 2004.

³⁷ The Communist Party's People's Union slate won two seats.

Party, which boycotted the election, but he ran for election on Ghazi Yawar's slate. Sistani aide Hussein Shahristani and Kurdish official Arif Tayfour were selected deputy speakers.

- On April 6, in keeping with a UIA-Kurdish agreement that partially satisfied Kurdish demands on Kirkuk, the role of Islam, and related issues, PUK leader Talabani was selected President, along with two deputies, SCIRI official Adel Abdul Mahdi (the interim finance minister) and Ghazi al-Yawar. They obtained the required two-thirds Assembly vote. The three then nominated Da'wa leader Ibrahim al-Jafari as Prime Minister; he was confirmed the next day.
- On April 28, with the one-month deadline for naming a cabinet approaching, Jafari received Assembly approval (180 votes out of 185 members present) for a cabinet consisting of 32 ministerial posts and 4 deputy prime ministerial posts. However, five cabinet positions and two deputy prime ministerships were filled with temporary officials or left vacant, pending an agreement to appoint additional Sunnis. Chalabi and KDP activist Rosch Shaways were named as deputy prime ministers. Six ministers are women.
- On May 7, Jafari continued filling out the cabinet by appointing the five remaining permanent ministers (3 Sunnis and two Shiites) and one deputy prime minister (a Sunni). However, the Minister of Human Rights nominee, Hashim al-Shibli, refused to take up his post on the grounds that he was appointed only because he is a Sunni. This also left one deputy prime minister slot unfilled, one slated for a woman. When all slots are filled, there will be 16 Shiite ministers, 8 Kurds, 6 Sunnis, one Christian (a Christian woman is Minister of Science and Technology), and one Turkoman (Minister of Housing and Construction Jasim al-Jafar), in addition to the four deputy prime ministers. No members of former Prime Minister Allawi's faction were appointed, and three Shiite ministers are reportedly supporters of Moqtada al-Sadr.
- The May 7 appointments included that of a Sunni Defense Minister-Sadoun al-Dulaymi, a former official in Saddam Hussein's security service, who broke with the regime in 1984 and lived in exile in Saudi Arabia. The other Sunni ministers hold slots they consider relatively unimportant, such as the ministries of culture and of women's affairs, prompting Sunni resentment. Some of the difficulties in appointing Sunnis were reportedly caused by UIA and Kurdish resistance to appointing any Sunnis who were in Saddam Hussein's Baath Party.
- On May 10, the National Assembly appointed a 55-member committee to begin drafting the permanent constitution. The UIA has 28 slots on that committee, and the Kurdish alliance has 15 slots. Allawi's bloc got 8 seats on it. Apparently, only two are Sunni Arabs. It is to complete the draft by August 15, 2005, in time for an

October 15, 2005 referendum. The TAL provides for a six month drafting extension if the Assembly cannot complete a draft by the specified deadline, but exercising this extension would delay all subsequent stages of the transition. If the constitution is not approved, another draft is to be completed and voted on by October 15, 2006.

• If the permanent constitution is approved, elections to a permanent government are to occur by December 15, 2005, and it is to take office by December 31, 2005. If the constitution is not approved, then the December 15, 2005, elections would be for a new transitional national assembly.

Democracy-Building and Local Governance. The national elections complemented U.S. efforts to build democracy, and there has been some political progress at the local level. U.S. officials say Iraqis are freer than at any time in the past 30 years, with a free press and the ability to organize politically. Over 500 courts are operating, and many Iraqi women are becoming more politically active. On the other hand, the State Department report on human rights in Iraq, released on February 28, 2005, notes numerous human rights abuses of the interim government, mostly by the police, but attributes the abuses to the interim government's drive to secure the country against the persistent insurgency.³⁸

According to a State Department report to Congress in April 2005 detailing how the FY2004 supplemental appropriation (P.L. 108-106) is being spent ("2207 Report"), a total of \$905 million has been allocated for "democracy and governance" activities, and about \$57 million is allocated for related "rule of law" programs. An additional \$133 million is allocated to build and secure courts. (An additional \$360 million for these activities was requested in the FY2006 regular foreign aid appropriations request.) Run by the State Department Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (State/INL), USAID, and State Department Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor (DRL), activities funded, aside from assistance for the January 30 elections, include the following.

- Several projects attempting to increase the transparency of the justice system, computerize Iraqi legal documents, train judges and lawyers, develop various aspects of law, such as commercial laws, promote legal reform, and support the drafting of the permanent constitution.
- The "Community Action Program": local reconstruction projects such as school refurbishment that are voted on by village and town representatives. About 225 such projects have been completed thus far.

³⁸ U.S. State Department, *Country Report on Human Rights Practices, Iraq.* February 28, 2005.

- Assistance to local governments on budgeting, finance, taxation, record computerization, and 30,000 "civic dialogue activities."
- Training for the Interim National Council and development of an orientation manual for members of the new National Assembly.
- Post-battle reconstruction in Fallujah (\$3.4 million) and short-term employment projects in Najaf (\$6.9 million), as well as employment generation programs in other cities.
- Independent media promotion.
- Women's democracy initiatives, including candidate training, antiviolence programs, and political participation.

The Insurgent Challenge

The Sunni Arab-led insurgency against U.S. and Iraqi forces has defied most U.S. expectations in intensity and duration. As of May 13, 2005, about 1,615 U.S. forces and about 175 coalition partner soldiers have died in OIF, as well as over 90 U.S. civilians working on contract to U.S. institutions in Iraq. Of U.S. deaths, about 1,400 have occurred since President Bush declared an end to "major combat operations" in Iraq on May 1, 2003, and about 1,230 of the U.S. deaths were by hostile action. About 140,000 U.S. troops are in Iraq, with about another 25,000 troops in Kuwait supporting OIF, and another 23,000 coalition partner forces in Iraq. U.S. force levels are now back to levels prior to the January 2005 election, during which time about 12,000 extra forces were sent.

Insurgents' Size and Strength. Upon assuming his position in July 2003, CENTCOM commander John Abizaid,³⁹ overall commander of U.S. operations in the Iraq and the Persian Gulf/Central Asia region, said that the United States faced a "classic guerrilla war." Subsequent to the capture of Saddam Hussein in December 2003, U.S. commanders said the United States had "turned the corner" against the resistance, but Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld said in September 2004 that the insurgency was "worsening." On December 20, 2004, President Bush said at a press conference that the insurgency was "having an effect" on U.S. policy in Iraq. In her confirmation hearings on January 18-19, 2005, Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice said the insurgency "cannot be overcome by military force alone."

U.S. officials turned more optimistic after the January 30, 2005, elections to the point where some U.S. commanders, such as Gen. Casey, began predicting that there could be "fairly substantial reductions" in the number of U.S. troops in Iraq by

³⁹ U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM) is the overall command for U.S. military operations in the Persian Gulf, Central Asia, South Asia, the Horn of Africa, and parts of the Middle East. Syria and Lebanon was added to CENTCOM's area of responsibility in December 2004. Its forward base is in Qatar, although its main base is at McDill AFB in Tampa, Florida.

March 2006.⁴⁰ Abizaid in congressional testimony on March 1 and 2, 2005, characterized the elections as a rebuke to the insurgents and a key factor in what he said was a "waning" of the insurgency. U.S. officials point out that no polling stations were overrun that day. U.S. commanders say they are getting a growing number of tips from Iraqi citizens. However, after a post-election lull, insurgent attacks have escalated to about 70 attacks per day as of early May 2005 — including suicide and other attacks that have killed over 400 Iraqis since late April — and open discussion of a near-term draw down in U.S. forces has quieted as of May 2005.

Although they are hesitant to assess the size of the insurgency, U.S. commanders now say insurgents probably number approximately 12,000 - 20,000, with a higher degree of coordination than previously believed. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) said in testimony on March 15, 2005, that CENTCOM assessed in October 2004 that insurgent ranks include 10,000 "former regime elements" (mostly Baathists); about 1,000 foreign fighters (see below); about 5,000 criminals and religious extremists; and 3,000 pro-Sadr fighters. Joint Chiefs of Staff Richard Myers said in Senate testimony on February 3, 2005 that U.S. forces estimated they had killed 15,000 insurgents over the past seven months, making earlier U.S. estimates of 6,000 - 9,000 insurgents not credible. Some Iraqi officials, including its highest ranking intelligence official, have said that up to 40,000 active insurgents, helped by another 150,000 persons performing various supporting roles. Abizaid said in testimony (Senate Armed Service Committee) on March 1, 2005 that the insurgency fielded about 3,500 fighters on election day.

Outside Support. Some public U.S. assessments say the insurgents receive funding from wealthy donors in neighboring countries such as Saudi Arabia,⁴¹ where a number of clerics have publicly called on Saudis to support the Iraqi insurgency. Other accounts say that insurgent leaders are using Syria as a base to funnel money and weapons to their fighters in Iraq,⁴² an assessment that drew additional credence when Syria turned Saddam's half brother Sabawi over to Iraqi authorities in February 2005. These reports have led to U.S. warnings to and imposition of additional U.S. sanctions against Syria. Some believe that outside support is minimal and that the insurgents have ample supplies of arms and explosives; according to the Defense Department, about 250,000 tons of munitions remain around in Iraq in arms depots not secured after the regime fell.

Insurgent Goals and Operations. The insurgents have sought to demonstrate that U.S. stabilization efforts are not working by causing international workers and peacekeeping forces to leave Iraq, attempting to prevent or lower turnout in the upcoming elections, slowing reconstruction, and attempting to provoke civil conflict among Iraq's ethnic groups. The insurgents have failed thus far to prevent

⁴⁰ Comments on CNN by Gen. Casey, as cited in Hendren, John. "General Predicts Reduction of American Troops in Iraq." *Los Angeles Times*, March 28, 2005.

⁴¹ Krane, Jim. "U.S. Officials: Iraq Insurgency Bigger." Associated Press report published in the Philadelphia Inquirer. July 9, 2004; Schmitt, Eric, and Thom Shanker. "Estimates By U.S. See More Rebels With More Funds." *New York Times*, October 22, 2004.

⁴² Blanford, Nicholas. "Sealing Syria's Desolate Border." *Christian Science Monitor*, December 21, 2004.

progress along Iraq's transition road-map, but they have succeeded, to an extent, in painting the government as ineffective in providing security and reconstruction. Insurgent targets have included not only U.S. forces but, increasingly, Iraqi security forces and Iraqi civilians working for U.S. authorities, foreign contractors, oil export facilities, and water and other infrastructure facilities. Some insurgents focus on assassinating Iraqi officials, including local and national government officials and judges in the trial of members of the former regime. The insurgents are increasingly pressuring U.S. supply lines, necessitating increasing use of air transportation. Attacks have choked gasoline supplies to Baghdad, creating long gas lines.

Analysts differ on the motivations of the Sunni insurgents. The bulk of them appear to be motivated by opposition to perceived U.S. rule, although the insurgency appears increasingly dominated by younger Iraqis, in partnership with foreign Islamist fighters, who might want to establish an Islamic state, as well as the generally older and more well-funded former Baathists. Many insurgents are likely also working to bring Sunnis back into power. Some of the major insurgent factions include the following:

- The Islamic Army of Iraq. Claimed responsibility for a January 9, 2005 attack that killed eight Ukranian troops and one Kazakh soldier.
- Muhammad's Army. This faction is said to be led by radical Sunni cleric Abdullah al-Janabi, who was said to be in Fallujah before the November 2004 U.S. offensive there.
- The Secret Republican Army.
- The 1920 Revolution Brigades.
- The Iraqi Resistance Islamic Front.

Sunni Clerical Relations with the Insurgency. Many insurgents appear to respect a network of Sunni Islamist clerics. Opposition to the U.S. presence in Iraq caused many of these clerics to call for a boycott of the January 30 elections, a call that suppressed Sunni participation. The clerics closest to the insurgency belong to the Muslim Clerics Association (MCA), which claims to represent 3,000 Sunni mosques countrywide. The MCA is led by Harith al-Dhari, who heads the large Umm al-Qura mosque in Baghdad, and a leader of the Abu Hanifa mosque in Baghdad, Abd al-Salam al-Qubaysi. The MCA has, on occasion, succeeded in persuading insurgent groups to release Western or other hostages. Since the January 30 elections, some MCA clerics have indicated that Sunnis should take part at least in the constitutional drafting process, and join the security forces. This more moderate position appears to have the support of another Sunni Islamist group, the Iraqi Islamic Party (IIP) of Muhsin Abd al-Hamid. The IIP participated in the IGC and registered for the January 30 election, but pulled out of the vote in December 2004, shortly after the U.S. assault on Fallujah (see below). Other more moderate, non-Islamist Sunnis are already participating in the new government, as discussed previously.

The Zarqawi Faction/Foreign Fighters.⁴³ A major component of the insurgency is composed of non-Iraqis. The U.S. military is holding over 300 foreign fighters captured in Iraq. As noted above, CENTCOM believes that perhaps 1,000 of the insurgents in Iraq are foreign.

The foreign contingent is believed led by Abu Musab al-Zargawi, a 38-year-old Jordanian Arab who reputedly fought in Afghanistan during the 1980s alongside other Arab volunteers for the "jihad" against the Soviet Union. Zarqawi's faction has been the subject of substantial U.S. counter-efforts because of its alleged perpetration of several major "terrorist" attacks — suicide and other attacks against both combatant and civilian targets. It claimed responsibility for the April 2, 2005 attack on Abu Ghraib prison (44 U.S. soldiers were wounded) and the U.S. garrison near the Syrian border ten days later, in which the outer perimeter was breached. These attacks were deviations from the faction's previous small unit, suicide tactics. Previous major attacks attributed to this faction include the August 2003 vehicle bombings in Baghdad of the embassy of Jordan (August 7) and U.N. headquarters at the Canal Hotel (August 19). 44 An August 29, 2003, car bombing in Najaf killed SCIRI leader Mohammad Baqr Al Hakim and 100 others. The group, and related factions, have also kidnaped a total of about 180 foreigners, many of whom have subsequently been killed. The most notable such killing was the October 20, 2004, capture of British-born director of the CARE organization in Iraq, Margaret Hassan, prompting a pullout by that organization; she was subsequently killed. Other relief organizations, including Doctors Without Borders, have also pulled out of Iraq. More recently, the group has targeted Iraqi Shiite festivals and ceremonies, most likely hoping to provoke civil conflict between Sunnis and Shiites.

Zarqawi came to Iraq in late 2001 after escaping the U.S. war effort in Afghanistan. He fled, through Iran, to northern Iraq, taking root with a Kurdish faction called Ansar al-Islam, ⁴⁵ near the town of Khurmal, ⁴⁶ and occasionally clashing with PUK fighters around Halabja. He was encamped with about 600 Arab fighters who had also fled the Afghanistan battlefield. More recently, Zarqawi is using other organizational names, including the Association of Unity and Jihad, which was named as an FTO on October 15, 2004. In early 2004, U.S. forces captured a letter purportedly written by Zarqawi asking bin Laden's support for Zarqawi's insurgent activities in Iraq⁴⁷ and an Islamist website broadcast a message in October 2004, reportedly deemed authentic by U.S. agencies, that Zarqawi has formally allied with Al Qaeda. Since then, he has changed his organization's name to "Al Qaeda Jihad

⁴³ See CRS Report RL32217, Iraq and Al Qaeda: Allies or Not?

⁴⁴ Among the dead in the latter bombing was the U.N. representative in Iraq, Sergio Vieira de Mello, and it prompted an evacuation of U.N. personnel from Iraq.

⁴⁵ Ansar al-Islam originated in 1998 as a radical splinter faction of a Kurdish Islamic group called the Islamic Movement of Iraqi Kurdistan (IMIK). Based in Halabja, the IMIK publicized the effects of Baghdad's March 1988 chemical attack on that city. Ansar is named by the State Department as a Foreign Terrorist Organization (FTO).

⁴⁶ Chivers, C.J. "Repulsing Attack By Islamic Militants, Iraqi Kurds Tell of Atrocities." *New York Times*, Dec. 6, 2002.

⁴⁷ For text, see [http://www.state.gov/p/nea/rls/31694.htm].

in Mesopotamia" (Iraq's name before its formation in the 1920s). It is named as an FTO, assuming that designation from the earlier Unity and Jihad organizational title. There have also been recent press reports that bin Laden has asked Zarqawi to plan operations outside Iraq, possibly against targets in the United States. His current whereabouts are unknown, but some reports since February 2005 have said that U.S. forces almost caught him near Ramadi that month and that he might have been seriously wounded at some point recently.

An offshoot of Zarqawi's group is called "Ansar al-Sunna," or Partisans of the Traditions [of the Prophet]. This group reportedly blends both foreign volunteers and Iraqi insurgents. Ansar al-Sunna claimed responsibility for the December 21, 2004, attack on Camp Marez in Mosul that killed 22, including 14 U.S. soldiers.

U.S. Counter-Insurgent Operations

U.S. counter-insurgent operations increased over the past six months, particular in advance of the January 30 elections. A major focus has been the province of al-Anbar, which includes the formerly highly restive city of Fallujah. In April 2004, after the city fell under insurgent control (it was run by a "mujahedin shura," or council of insurgents), U.S. commanders contemplated routing insurgents from the city but, concerned about collateral damage and U.S. casualties, they agreed to allow former Iraqi officers to patrol it. This solution quickly unraveled and, as 2004 progressed, about two dozen other Sunni-inhabited towns, including Baqubah, Balad, Tikrit, Mosul, Ramadi, Samarra, and Tal Affar, as well as the small towns south of Baghdad, fell under insurgent influence.

U.S. forces, joined by Iraqi forces, began operations in September 2004 to expel insurgents from these cities, beginning with Samarra. Most notable was "Operation Phantom Fury" on Fallujah (November 2004), involving 6,500 U.S. Marines and 2,000 Iraqi troops. U.S. forces captured the city within about ten days, killing an estimated 1,200 insurgents and finding numerous large weapons caches and a possible chemical weapons lab, but most of the guerrillas are believed to have left before the U.S. offensive began. Some fighting in parts of the city continues, as insurgents try to re-infiltrate it, but about half of the city's 250,000 have returned, and some reconstruction has begun there. Despite the U.S. operations, violence is prevalent in virtually all of these same two dozen cities, and election day turnout in them was far lower than in the Shiite and Kurdish areas of Iraq. (Turnout in all of Anbar province was well below 10%, and some cities, such as Ramadi, saw almost no voting at all.) U.S. funds from a \$246 million "post-battle reconstruction initiative,"⁴⁸drawn from funds appropriated in the FY2004 supplemental (P.L. 108-106), are being used to reconstruct Fallujah, but the pace of rebuilding has been slowed by the still uncertain security situation there. Funds from the initiative are also being used for reconstruction in other cities damaged by U.S. operations, such as Samarra and Najaf, which was damaged by the Sadr uprisings in 2004.

⁴⁸ These funds are derived from the FY2004 supplemental (P.L. 108-106), which provided about \$18.6 for Iraq reconstruction.

During May 8-14, 2005, U.S. forces began Operation Matador to clear a several hundred strong contingent of foreign fighters that had entered Iraq near the towns of Qaim, Husaybah, and Ubaydi, and had dug in there. U.S. forces claim to have killed about 125 fighters in the operation and to have cleared that area of insurgent pockets, although U.S. commanders say some of the fighters might have melted into neighboring areas.

To assist the counter-insurgent effort, in 2004 interim Prime Minister Allawi imposed emergency measures, including curfews, and he tried to diplomatically engage insurgent factions or their supporters to join the political process. A law offering amnesty to insurgents, except for those involved in killing coalition or Iraqi security forces, was issued in early August 2004. The death penalty, suspended after the fall of Saddam, was reinstated in August 2004. Some press reports say that U.S. officials have some doubts about the resolve of new Prime Minister Jafari to take tough steps against insurgents and their supporters.

U.S. Military and Reconstruction. The U.S. military has attempted to promote reconstruction to deprive the insurgency of popular support. A key tool in this effort is the funding of small projects to promote trust among the population and promote interaction of Iragis with the U.S. military. Called the Commanders Emergency Response Program (CERP), the DOD funds are controlled and disbursed by U.S. commanders at the tactical level. The total amount of CERP funds for Iraq for FY2004 was \$549 million, of which \$179 was from seized Iraqi assets, \$230 million was from Iraq's oil revenues; and \$140 million was from DOD operations and maintenance funds appropriated for this program in the FY2004 supplemental appropriation (P.L. 108-106). Additional funds for this program are being provided by the Iraqi government. Over 1,000 small projects were funded under this program in the first quarter of calendar 2005, and the program employs 24,000 Iraqis as of May 2005. According to the "2207" report issued in April 2005, the Administration has made available \$218 million in FY2005 funds for the "Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP)," and the FY2005 supplemental appropriation (P.L. 109-13) provides the requested \$320 million in additional FY2005 CERP funds.

A similar program began in October 2004, called the Commander's Humanitarian Relief and Reconstruction Projects (CHHRP). About \$86 million was allocated for this program from the FY2004 supplemental appropriation. These funds are for small projects mainly in restive Sunni towns such as Ramadi and Samarra, but also in the Kurdish areas.

Abu Ghraib Prison Abuses. U.S. efforts to calm ongoing violence were complicated somewhat by revelations in early May 2004 that U.S. military personnel had abused prisoners at the Abu Ghraib prison near Baghdad.⁴⁹

 $^{^{49}}$ For information on this issue, CRS Report RL32395, $\it U.S.$ Treatment of Prisoners in Iraq: Selected Legal Issues, by Jennifer Elsea.

Other Programs and Options to Stabilize Iraq/"Exit Strategy"

The Bush Administration cites the relatively successful elections and the formation of a new government to assert that existing transition plans will lead to stability and democracy. However, Administration concerns before the elections had prompted the January 2005 mission of Gen. Gary Luck to Iraq to conduct a broad review of U.S. operations, with particular attention to the training of Iraqi security forces. He reportedly made recommendations, some of which have become public and are discussed below, to Defense Secretary Rumsfeld in January 2005. The Washington Post reported on February 15, 2005 that Secretary of State Rice had sent in a separate State Department team to assess how U.S. officials might adjust to new leaders in Baghdad.

Building Iraqi Security Forces (ISF).⁵⁰ The thrust of U.S. policy is to equip and train Iraqi security forces (ISF) that could secure Iraq by themselves and enable U.S. forces to draw down. The conference report on the FY2005 supplemental appropriation (P.L. 109-13) requires an Administration report to Congress on the status of securing Iraq, particularly the building of the ISF.

The Department of Defense reports that, as of May 9, 2005, there are about 162,800 "trained and equipped" members of the ISF — about 74,700 military forces under Iraq's Ministry of Defense and about 88,000 police/lighter forces under the Ministry of Interior. They are organized into 52 "Army" (Army and other forces under Defense Ministry) and 44 "police" (police and other forces under Interior Ministry) battalions. The force is approaching the 271,000 goal set for July 2006. However, there are varying definitions and assessments of ISF size; in February 3, 2005, Senate testimony, Joint Chiefs Chairman Myers said that, of that total number, only about 40,000 (about one third) are fully capable of deploying anywhere in Iraq. In addition, the police-related component of the ISF totals include possibly tens of thousands (according to the GAO on March 15, 2005) who are absent-without-leave and might have deserted. The police generally live with their families, rather than in barracks, and are therefore hard to account for.

After the January 30 elections, senior U.S. military leaders praised the performance and tenacity of the ISF, noting that, on election day, some ISF put their lives on the line to protect voters and polling stations. U.S. commanders say that the election has spurred recruitment for the ISF, and they cite several operations led by various ISF units during March 2005 as evidence of their growing confidence. These operations include a raid on an insurgent encampment north of Baghdad that ISF officials say killed over 80 insurgents. The praise contrasted with statements before the elections, such as Gen. Abizaid's December 2004 comment that the ISF "just are not there yet" in their ability to secure Iraq. On December 20, 2004, President Bush described their performance as "mixed." Other U.S. commanders noted that the ISF lacked an effective command structure, and that ISF forces had often failed or refused on their own to forcefully combat the insurgency. Some U.S. military personnel told journalists that they are penetrated by insurgents. In one notable example, about

⁵⁰ For additional information, see CRS Report RS22093. *Iraq's New Security Forces: the Challenge of Sectarian and Ethnic Influences*.

three quarters of the 4,000-person police force in Mosul collapsed in the face of an insurgent uprising there in November 2004.

As a result of the deficiencies of the ISF, in early 2005 the U.S. military adopted plans, reportedly based on the review conducted by Gen. Luck, to shift up to 10,000 U.S. forces in Iraq from patrolling to training and embedding with Iraqi units. Under this reported shift, the U.S. military are increasingly turning over patrol operations to Iraqi units that are stiffened and advised by U.S. military personnel. U.S. forces are also in the process of turning areas over to Iraqi security control; one such locality is Baghdad's Haifa Street area which has been a hotbed of insurgent activity but is now said to be much quieter. On the other hand, U.S. commanders say some areas turned over to Iraqi control have subsequently seen collapses or withdrawals of Iraqi units; some examples include the towns of Tarmiya and Madain, where over 50 Shiites were reportedly massacred during April 2005. In March 2005, 400 Iraqi soldiers deployed to the border town of Husaybah virtually disintegrated, with about 370 deserting and the remaining 30 retreating to a phosphate plant outside the city, according to press reports.

Broader political disputes have also affected the ISF. During 2004, the United States and Iraq conducted some "emergency recruitment" of former Saddam military units, mostly Sunni ex-Baathists. They have stiffened some security operations but have also provoked threats by UIA and Kurdish leaders, who fear a future Ba'th coup, that there will likely be a "purge" of former regime elements from the ISF. During a visit to Iraq on April 12, 2005, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld warned Iraqi leaders against such a purge, for fear that doing so could cause turmoil in or collapse of the ISF. A related trend that worries some U.S. officers is that Iraqi and U.S. commanders are allowing unofficial militia forces to operate against insurgents; some of these are believed to be Badr Brigade forces retaliating against Sunni insurgent suspects. Other groups are led by former regime military figures who have recruited their own militias to try to calm Iraq, but insist on remaining outside the Defense Ministry structure.

The accelerated training and equipping of the Iraqis is a key part of U.S. planning. Maj. Gen. David Petraeus, who had served until late 2003 as commander of the 101st Airborne Division, is overseeing the training of Iraqi security forces as head of the Multinational Security Transition Command-Iraq (MNSTC-I).⁵¹ The Administration has been shifting much U.S. reconstruction funding into this security force training and equipping mission. According to the April 2005 "2207 report," a total of \$5.036 billion in FY2004 funds has been allocated to build (train, equip, provide facilities for, and in some cases provide pay for) the ISF. That is about 50% more than was originally allocated for this function when the supplemental funds were first apportioned.

The FY2005 supplemental request sent to Congress on February 14, 2005 asked for \$5.7 billion for this purpose in FY2005, to be controlled by the Department of Defense and provided to MNSTC-I. That amount is appropriated in P.L. 109-13. When spent, that would bring the total invested on ISF to \$11 billion. Of the \$5.7

⁵¹ For more information on this mission, see [http://www.mnstci.iraq.centcom.mil/].

billion supplemental:⁵² \$87 million is to be for facilities construction for various forces; \$809 million is for "support forces; \$180 million is for "quick response funding" for U.S. commanders in charge of building the Iraqi forces; and \$104 million is for training schools, including Iraqi Army Staff and War Colleges. Other funds are slated for the Army, Iraqi National Guard, and police, as noted below.

ISF Components. The following, based on Administration reports from May 2005, are the status of the major Iraqi security institutions.⁵³

- *Iraqi Army*. The CPA formally disbanded the former Iraqi army following Bremer's arrival in Baghdad; the outcome of that move is still being debated. About 74,000 of both Army and National Guard (see below) are reported as trained and equipped thus far, of which about 14,000 might be strictly military (not National Guard). New recruits are paid \$60 per month and receive eight weeks of training.
- *Military Training*. Military training has been conducted by Jordan (1,500 Iraqi officers will be trained at Zarqa Military College), Italy (bilateral in addition to NATO-related), Egypt (146 officers), Poland (bilateral agreement) and by NATO,⁵⁴ both in NATO facilities outside Iraq (Norway, Germany) and by the NATO Training Mission Iraq, NTM-I inside and outside Iraq, including in Jordan.⁵⁵ The NATO training mission is supposed to expand to 300 trainers, graduating 1,000 officers per year, although the current level of trainers in Iraq is only about 120. A number of other countries Spain, Turkey, France (police), Malaysia, and Morocco have offered to do military training, but the offers were not responded to by Iraq. *Of FY2004 funds*, \$731 million is allocated for Army facilities; \$632 million is for equipment; and \$433 million for training and operations. *Of the FY2005 supplemental funds appropriated*, \$3.1 billion is slated for the Army.
- *Iraqi National Guard (ING)*. This force, formerly called the Civil Defense Corps, or ICDC, has now been made part of the "Army," although it is largely a paramilitary force that mans checkpoints and assists in combating insurgents. This force may consist of about 60,000 of the broader 74,000 figure for the "Army" force cited above. Recruits are paid \$50 per month and cannot have served in

⁵² Information provided by a DOD fact sheet. February 25, 2005.

⁵³ Most of the information in this section comes from State Department weekly summaries on Iraq. Numbers of some ISF categories are openly reported, but some specific categories are classified and can only be estimated from open sources.

⁵⁴ France, Belgium, Greece, Spain, Luxembourg, and Germany have thus far declined to send troops to Iraq to participate in the NTM-I, although some of these countries are doing bilateral training outside Iraq.

⁵⁵ For information on foreign contributions to the training of the ISF, see CRS Report RL32105, *Post-War Iraq: A Table and Chronology of Foreign Contributions*.

Iraq's former army at a level of colonel or higher. They receive three weeks of training but most of their training is "on-the-job," patrolling alongside U.S. forces. Its members tends to be deployed in areas where they are recruited. Of FY2004 funds, \$225 was allocated for ING operations; \$92 million for equipment; and \$359 million for facilities construction. Of FY2005 funds appropriated, \$268 million is slated for the ING.

- Special Operations Force. Within the "Army" is a Special Operations Force, consisting of a "Counter-Terrorism Force" and a "Commando Battalion." The forces are given 13 weeks of training, mostly by Jordanian officers in Jordan. Several hundred are estimated to have been trained or equipped, and the goal is 2,000.
- Air Force. It currently has about 190 personnel of its goal of 500. Pilots undergo up to six months of training. It now has four operations squadrons, and, despite the addition of three C-130's in January 2005, relatively few aircraft. About \$28 million in FY2004 funds was allocated for Iraqi Air Force airfields (of those funds for the Iraqi Army, above).
- Navy. This service has about 520 personnel trained or on hand, of a goal of about 600. It has a "Patrol Boat Squadron" and a "Coastal Defense Regiment." It is equipped with donated small boats to patrol Iraq's waterways to prevent smuggling and infiltration. In March 2005, it took control of its own naval base at Umm Qasr. The Royal Australian Navy is training some of the Iraqi navy personnel.
- *Iraqi Intervention Force*. This is a relatively new counterinsurgency strike force and part of the Iraqi Army. It is divided into 4 brigades (perhaps about 5,000 personnel) trained and equipped. Recruits receive thirteen weeks of basic and urban operations training.
- *Iraqi "Police."* Controlled by Iraq's Ministry of Interior (MOI), about 58,000 "Police," divided primarily into provincial police departments, are trained and equipped thus far, with the goal of 135,000. New police receive eight weeks of training, are paid \$60 per month, and must pass a background check ensuring they do not have a record of human rights violations or criminal activity. They are recruited locally, making them susceptible to intimidation by insurgents in restive areas.
- *Police Training*. Police training is taking place mostly in Jordan (Jordan International Police Training Center (JIPTC), Iraq, and the

United Arab Emirates (UAE).⁵⁶ Several countries — France and Belgium, as well as most of the countries discussed above under "military training" have offered to train Iraqi police forces. Of FY2004 U.S. funds, \$1.824 billion has been allocated for police training. The FY2005 request asks \$1.497 billion for police and related Interior Ministry forces, below.

- Related forces, all under the Interior Ministry, include a Highway Patrol; with several hundred of a planned 1,500 (included in the overall "Police" figure above); a Bureau of Dignitary Protection, designed to protect Iraqi leaders, with about 500 personnel; and a more heavily armed Emergency Response Unit, recruited from among the police, intended to support police operations and conduct high-risk searches. It has several hundred personnel.
- There are several new counter-insurgency police support units. One is the Special Police Commando unit, which might have a few thousand personnel. A Police Civil Intervention Force unit of police, also designed to counter unrest and insurgents, might have about 5,000. This force is to consists of the 8th Mechanized Police Brigade and Public Order Brigades.
- Border Enforcement. Also part of MOI forces, this force, intended to prevent cross-border infiltration, probably has about 20,000 equipped and trained. It has a Border Police component and a Riverine Police component to secure water crossings (Shatt al-Arab, dividing Iran and Iraq). Members of these forces receive four weeks of training. Of FY2004 funds, about \$441 million has been allocated for this department.
- Facilities Protection Service. This is a force that consists of the approximately 75,000 security guards that protect installations such as oil pumping stations, electricity substations, and government buildings. This force is not counted in U.S. totals for Iraq's forces because it is not controlled by either the Ministry of Interior or Ministry of Defense. Of FY2004 funds, \$53 million has been allocated for this service.

As noted above, the military forces are being supplied with donated equipment and equipment fielded by the former regime that has been repaired. In February 2005, Hungary pledged to give the Iraqi Army 72 tanks. The UAE has said it would supply the Iraqi Air Force with some unspecified combat aircraft. On November 21, 2003, the Bush Administration issued a determination repealing a U.S. ban on arms exports to Iraq so that the United States can supply weapons to the new Iraqi security

⁵⁶ The following countries are contributing police instructors inside Iraq, in Jordan, and in the UAE: United States, Canada, Britain, Australia, Sweden, Poland, UAE, Denmark, Austria, Finland, the Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Slovenia, Slovakia, Singapore, and Belgium. Also, Egypt trained 258 officers in Egypt in August 2004.

institutions. Authority to repeal this ban was requested and granted in an FY2003 emergency supplemental appropriations (P.L. 108-11) for the costs of the war and was made subject to a determination that sales to Iraq are "in the national interest." On July 21, 2004, the Administration determined that Iraq would be treated as a friendly nation in evaluating U.S. arms sales to Iraqi security forces and that such sales would be made in accordance with the Foreign Assistance Act and the Arms Export Control Act. However, questions have been raised about the slow pace of equipping the new Iraqi security institutions.

Interim Prime Minister Allawi has also placed a high priority on rebuilding a domestic intelligence network. On July 14, 2004, he announced a new domestic intelligence agency (General Security Directorate) to infiltrate the insurgent groups.

Coalition Building and Maintenance.⁵⁷ Some in and outside the Bush Administration believe that the United States should have exerted greater efforts to enlist greater international participation in peacekeeping, including giving up some U.S. political influence in Iraq, if required. Those who advocated this option believed it was essential to reducing the financial and military burden of the war. About 90% of coalition casualties in Iraq have been American.

The Bush Administration asserts that it has consistently sought U.N. backing for its post-war efforts, and it has supported an increase in the U.N. role since late 2003. Resolution 1483 (adopted unanimously May 6, 2003) provided for a U.N. special representative to coordinate the U.N. activities in Iraq and it "call[ed] on" governments to contribute forces for stabilization. On August 14, 2003, the U.N. Security Council adopted another resolution, Resolution 1500, that "welcomed," but did not "endorse," the formation of the IGC and established a "U.N. Assistance Mission for Iraq (UNAMI)." In a further attempt to satisfy the requirements of several major nations, such as France, for a greater U.N. role in Iraq, the United States obtained agreement on Resolution 1511 (October 16, 2003, referenced above), authorizing a "multinational force under unified [meaning U.S.] command." Resolution 1546 restated many of these provisions.

The Bush Administration asserts that the United States still has a large coalition, pointing to the fact that 27 other countries are providing about 23,000 peacekeeping forces. Poland and Britain lead multinational divisions in central and southern Iraq, respectively. The UK-led force (UK forces alone number about 8,000) is based in Basra; the Poland-led force (Polish forces number 1,700) is based in Hilla. Japan has deployed about 600 troops to Samawah, in southern Iraq, and South Korea has deployed 3,500 troops to Irbil, where the Kurds predominate.⁵⁸

⁵⁷ For additional information on international contributions to Iraq peacekeeping and reconstruction, see CRS Report RL32105, *Post-War Iraq: A Table and Chronology of Foreign Contributions*.

⁵⁸ A list of countries performing peacekeeping can be found in the Department of State's "Iraq Weekly Status Report," and in CRS Report RL32105, *Post-War Iraq: A Table and Chronology of Foreign Contributions*.

In late July 2004, Secretary of State Powell said the United States would consider a Saudi proposal for a contingent of troops from Muslim countries to perform peacekeeping in Iraq, reportedly under separate command. However, the idea floundered because of opposition from potential contributing countries such as Pakistan and reported Iraqi sensitivities to the potential for Muslim foreign troops to meddle in Iraqi politics.

Critics say that coalition countries are donating only about 15% of the total U.S.-led coalition contingent in Iraq, and that major potential force donors such as France and Germany have refused to contribute. Some point to the several withdrawal announcements since Spain's May 2004 withdrawal of its 1,300 troops as an indication that the U.S. coalition-maintenance effort is faltering. Spain made that decision following the March 11, 2004 Madrid bombings and subsequent defeat of the former Spanish government that had supported the war effort. However, since the Iraqi election, Spain has said it might train Iraqi security forces at a center outside Madrid. Honduras, the Dominican Republic, and Nicaragua followed Spain's withdrawal, pulling out their 900 personnel, and the Philippines withdrew in July 2004 after one of its citizens was taken hostage and threatened with beheading. Among other recent changes:

- Hungary completed a pullout of its 300 forces in December 2004.
- Italy announced on March 15, 2005, that it would begin withdrawing its force of 3,200 in September 2005, although it later said that timetable would depend on progress toward Iraqi stability. The announcement came after the U.S. wounding of an Italian journalist who was leaving Iraq after being released by insurgents.
- Thailand, New Zealand, and Norway withdrew in early 2005, although Norway may still have about 10 personnel in Iraq.
- In March 2005, Poland drew down to 1,700 from its prior force level of 2,400. It said in April 2005 that the remainder would be withdrawn by the end of 2005, despite an Administration decision in February 2005 to request \$400 million (FY2005 supplemental) to help coalition partners such as Poland.
- In March 2005, the Netherlands withdrew its 1,350 troops from Iraq in March 2005. Some U.K. forces have taken over the Netherlands forces' current duties to help protect Japan's forces in Samawa. After the January 30 Iraqi elections, the Netherlands said it might send up to 100 trainers for the ISF.
- Ukraine, which lost eight of its soldiers in a January 2005 insurgent attack, withdrew 150 personnel from their base 25 miles south of Baghdad in March 2005. Ukraine says it will complete its withdrawal probably by October 2005, but it adds that it might give equipment to the Iraqi military.
- In February 2004, Portugal withdrew its 127 paramilitary officers.

- Following a "friendly fire" incident in which a Bulgarian soldier died, in March 2005 Bulgaria announced it would pull out its 460 member unit by the end of 2005, although it will continue to contribute to NTM-I and would increase its civilian reconstruction contingent in Iraq.
- South Korea is withdrawing 270 of its almost 3,600 troops by June 2005.
- British Foreign Secretary Jack Straw said on April 18, 2005, that Britain might start withdrawing forces by 2006.
- Japan, according to press reports in May 2005, is considering withdrawing its 600 person military reconstruction contingent in Samawah, by the end of 2005, and then focus instead on expanding financial aid to Iraq.
- On the other hand, some countries have increased forces to compensate for withdrawals. Singapore deployed 180 troops in November 2004 after a hiatus of several months, and Japan approved extending its deployments at least through 2005. Azerbaijan also has said it would increase forces.
- In February 2005, El Salvador agreed to send a replacement contingent of 380 soldiers to replace those who are rotating out.
- In February 2005, Australia said it would send an additional 450 troops to Iraq, bringing that contribution to over 900.
- In early March 2005, Georgia sent an additional 550 troops to Iraq to help guard the United Nations facilities, bringing its total Iraq deployment to 850. In late March 2005, Albania said it would increase its force by 50, giving it a total of 120 troops in Iraq.

NATO/EU/Other Offers of Civilian Training. One major issue in the debate over securing Iraq is the possibility of greater NATO involvement, and there has been some movement since the January 30 Iraqi election. Since mid-2003, NATO has been providing logistical support to the international forces in Iraq led by Poland, but increased NATO involvement has been discussed at every major NATO meeting since late 2003, particularly the June 2004 NATO summit in Istanbul. There, NATO agreed to provide training for Iraqi security forces (NTM-I), discussed above. In conjunction with President Bush's visit to Europe in late February 2005, NATO announced that all 26 of its members would contribute to training Iraqi security forces, either in Iraq, outside Iraq, through financial contributions, or donations of equipment. (A list of contributions of trainers and funds is contained in CRS Report RL32105, *Post-War Iraq: A Table and Chronology of Foreign Contributions.*)

As noted above, several NATO countries, and others, are offering to train not only Iraqi security but also civilian personnel. In addition to the security training offers discussed above, European Union (EU) leaders have offered to help train Iraqi police, administrators, and judges outside Iraq. Germany says it is willing to help the new Iraqi government set up its ministries and write its permanent constitution. Japan has made a similar offer on constitutional drafting, and Malaysia has offered to train Iraqi civil servants. The FY2005 supplemental appropriation (P.L. 109-13) provides \$99 million to set up a regional center in Jordan to train not only Iraqi security personnel but civil servants as well.

On July 10, 2003, the Senate adopted an amendment, by a vote of 97-0, to a State Department authorization bill (S. 925) calling on the Administration to formally ask NATO to lead a peacekeeping force for Iraq. A related bill (H.R. 2112) was introduced in the House on May 15, 2003.⁵⁹

Altering the Level of U.S. Military and Political Involvement. Others believe that the Iraqi security forces are unlikely to be able to secure Iraq alone and that new major international commitments of peacekeeping forces are unlikely, necessitating a major change in the U.S. approach to Iraq.

Troop Increase. Some believe that the United States should greatly increase its own troops in Iraq in an all-out effort to defeat the insurgents. However, some believe that further troop level increases will aggravate Sunni Arabs already resentful of the U.S. intervention in Iraq and that even many more U.S. troops would not necessarily produce stability. Others believe that increasing U.S. force levels would further the impression in Iraq that the interim government is beholden to the United States for its survival, and that the United States is continuing to deepen its commitment to Iraq without a clear exit strategy or victory plan.

Troop Withdrawal. Some Members argue that the United States should begin to withdraw immediately and unconditionally, although gradually. Some who take this position tend to argue that the decision to invade Iraq and change its regime was a mistake in light of the failure thus far to locate WMD, and that a continued large U.S. presence in Iraq will inflame the insurgency and result in additional U.S. casualties without securing U.S. national interests. Critics of this view say the Iraqi government might collapse, harming U.S. credibility internationally and permitting Iraq to become a haven for terrorists.

Negotiating a Power-Sharing Formula/Negotiating with Insurgents.

Several senior U.S. officials have sought to promote power-sharing by stressing the need for inclusiveness on the part of the Shiite-led UIA bloc and the Kurds. As noted above, inclusiveness of disaffected Sunni Arabs was a major theme of Secretary of State Rice's comments in Iraq on May 15. However, with many senior Sunnis believing they are under-represented in the new cabinet, and with UIA and some Kurdish figures still advocating a purge of former regime members from official positions, it is not clear that national unity is being forged.

⁵⁹ See CRS Report RL32068, An Enhanced European Role in Iraq?

Others believe that the United States and its Iraqi partners should consider negotiating directly with representatives of the insurgents, possibly under the auspices of the United Nations, to include them and their grievances into a new or reworked Iraqi power structure. *Time Magazine* reported in February 2005 that just such negotiations were taking place between U.S. military officials and Baathist insurgents, although the talks do not appear to have yielded concrete results.

Rejuvenating Iraq's Economy

The Administration asserts that, despite the ongoing insurgency, economic reconstruction is progressing. Administration officials say that life has returned to normal in most of Iraq, that Iraq's economy is recovering, and that many Iraqis are demonstrating their confidence by buying appliances. However, U.S. officials acknowledge that the difficult security environment has slowed reconstruction. Electricity was above pre-war levels in mid-2004, but has fallen below pre-war levels for most of the time since October 2004. As noted above, lines for gasoline often last many hours. Sanitation, health care, and education have improved statistically, although some recent studies say that Iraq's health care system and some health indicators are in a state of crisis. In September 2004, the State Department decided to shift focus to smaller scale projects that can quickly employ Iraqis and yield concrete benefits.

The Oil Industry. As the driver of Iraq's economy, the rebuilding of the oil industry has received substantial U.S. attention. Before the war, it was widely asserted by Administration officials that Iraq's vast oil reserves, believed second only to those of Saudi Arabia, would fund much, if not all, reconstruction costs. The oil industry infrastructure suffered little damage during the U.S.-led invasion (only about 9 oil wells were set on fire), but it has become a target of insurgents. Insurgents have particularly focused their attacks on pipelines in northern Iraq. Those lines feed the Iraq-Turkey oil pipeline that is loaded at the Mediterranean port of Ceyhan, Turkey.

Table 1. Iraq's Oil Sector

| Oil Production (May 2005) | Oil Production (pre-war) | Oil Exports (May 2005) | Oil Exports (pre-war) | Oil Revenue (2004) | Oil Revenue (2005 to date) |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2.13 million barrels per day (mbd) | 2.5 mbd | 1.4 mbd | 2.2 mbd | \$17 billion | \$6.2 billion |

Note: Oil export revenue is net of a 5% deduction for reparations to the victims of the 1990 Iraqi invasion and occupation of Kuwait, as provided for in U.N. Security Council Resolution 1483 (May 22, 2003). That 5% deduction is paid into a U.N. escrow account controlled by the U.N. Compensation Commission to pay judgments awarded.

⁶⁰ Vick, Karl. "Children Pay Cost of Iraq's Chaos." Washington Post, November 21, 2004.

A related issue is long-term development of Iraq's oil industry and which foreign energy firms, if any, might receive preference for contracts to explore Iraq's vast reserves. Russia, China, and others are said to fear that the United States will seek to develop Iraq's oil industry with minimal participation of firms from other countries. Iraq's interim government has contracted for a study of the extent of Iraq's oil reserves, and it has contracted with Royal Dutch/Shell to formulate a blueprint to develop the gas sector.

CPA Budget/DFI.⁶¹ The Development Fund for Iraq (DFI), was set up by Resolution 1483 (May 22, 2003) as the repository for Iraq's revenue. The DFI is now held in Iraq's Central Bank. It contained about \$7 billion when it was established in June 2003, comprised of captured Iraqi assets, Iraqi assets held abroad, the monies (about \$8 billion) transferred from the close-out of the "oil-for-food program," revenues from oil and other exports, and revenues from other sources such as taxes, user fees, and returns from profits on state-owned enterprises.

In late October 2003, a multilateral board to monitor the Development Fund for Iraq (DFI), mandated by Resolution 1483, was established (the International Advisory and Monitoring Board, IAMB). It hired KPMG as external auditor. The IAMB met in late June 2004 and identified some possible problems in how the DFI was administered, and it produced the first formal audit on July 15, 2004. A KPMG report produced in October 2004 identified several examples of CPA mismanagement of the DFI and possible corruption in some cases. One example has been the finding that there might not have been proper accounting of about \$9 billion used by the CPA for rebuilding and trying to stabilize Iraq in the immediate post-Saddam period.

International Donations. A World Bank estimate, released in October 2003, said Iraq reconstruction would require about \$56 billion during 2004-2007, including the \$21 billion in U.S. funding. At an October 2003 donors' conference in Madrid, donors pledged about \$13.6 billion, including \$8 billion from foreign governments and \$5.5 billion in loans from the World Bank and IMF. Another donors' meeting was held in Tokyo during October 13-14, 2004, with commitments by donors to accelerated payments on existing pledges. Iran joined as a donor country, pledging \$10 million. Of the funds pledged by other foreign governments, about \$2.7 billion has been disbursed, as of May 2005.⁶³ Included in that figure is about \$400 million in International Monetary Fund (IMF) loans, which were disbursed in 2004 after Iraq cleared up \$81 million in arrears to the Fund dating from Saddam Hussein's regime.

⁶¹ For information on the status of legislative consideration of the request for supplemental funding, see CRS Report RL32090, FY2004 Supplemental Appropriations for Iraq, Afghanistan, and the Global War on Terrorism: Military Operations & Reconstruction Assistance.

⁶² Walker, Tony. "KPMG's Iraq Audit Turns Up the Heat." *Australian Financial Review*. October 16, 2004.

⁶³ For information on international pledges, see CRS Report RL32105, *Post-War Iraq: A Table and Chronology of Foreign Contributions*.

Supplemental U.S. Funding. Three supplemental appropriations include funds for reconstruction. A FY2003 supplemental, P.L. 108-11, appropriated about \$2.5 billion for Iraq reconstruction. A FY2004 supplemental appropriation (P.L. 108-106) provided about \$18.7 billion for Iraq reconstruction (not including about \$50 billion appropriated for U.S. military costs). The FY2005 supplemental (P.L. 109-13) appropriated the \$5.7 billion requested for Iraqi security forces, U.S. Embassy operations, and other functions discussed above.

FY2004 Supplemental Sector Allocations. A State Department report ("2207 Report") required by P.L. 108-106 discusses how reconstruction funds from that supplemental (\$18.6 billion) are allocated. According to the latest 2007 report (April 2005), the allocations are:

- \$5.036 billion: Security and Law Enforcement
- \$2.9 billion: Justice, Public Safety, Infrastructure, and Civil Society Includes the \$905 million for democracy and governance
- \$4.3 billion: Electric Sector
- \$1.7 billion: Oil Infrastructure
- \$2.16 billion: Water Resources and Sanitation
- \$510 million: Transportation and Telecommunications Projects
- \$355 million: Roads, Bridges, and Construction
- \$786 million: Health Care
- \$860 million: Private Sector Employment Development. Includes
 \$352 million for debt relief for Iraq
- \$363 million: Education, Refugees, Human Rights, Democracy, and Governance. Includes \$99 million for education, and \$25 million for "human rights" programs and "civic programs."

The continuing violence has slowed spending on reconstruction. As of late May 2005, of the \$21 billion appropriated in the FY2003 and FY2004 reconstruction supplementals, about \$15.2 billion has been obligated. Of that, about \$7.2 billion has been disbursed.

FY2005 and 2006. No new funds for Iraq reconstruction were requested in the Administration's regular budget request for FY2005. One FY2005 supplemental appropriation of \$25 billion will be used mostly for military costs in Iraq and Afghanistan. The second FY2005 supplemental request, submitted on February 14, 2005, is discussed in the sections above. Virtually all the funds requested — \$68 billion to cover U.S. military costs for the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, and \$5.7 billion to train and equip Iraqi forces — are appropriated in P.L. 109-13.

As noted above, the Administration regular FY2006 foreign aid budget request asked for \$360 million in funds for democracy and governance activities in Iraq. An additional \$26 million was requested to improve the capacity of Iraq's police and justice sector.

Lifting U.S. Sanctions. The Bush Administration has lifted most U.S. sanctions on Iraq, beginning with Presidential Determinations issued under authorities provided by P.L. 108-7 (appropriations for FY2003) and P.L. 108-11 (FY2003 supplemental):

- On July 30, 2004, President Bush issued an executive order ending the package of sanctions imposed on Iraq following the 1990 invasion of Kuwait. Those measures were in Executive Order 12722 (August 2, 1990) and 12724 (August 9, 1990), issued after Iraq's August 2, 1990, invasion of Kuwait. They imposed a ban on U.S. trade with and investment in Iraq and froze Iraq's assets in the United States. The Iraq Sanctions Act of 1990 (Section 586 of P.L. 101-513, signed November 5, 1990) reinforced those orders.
- On September 8, 2004, the President designated Iraq a beneficiary of the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP), enabling Iraqi products to have duty-free tariff treatment for entry into the United States.
- On September 24, 2004, Iraq was removed from the U.S. list of state sponsors of terrorism under Section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act (P.L. 96-72). Iraq is thus no longer barred from receiving U.S. foreign assistance, U.S. votes in favor of international loans, and sales of munitions list items (arms and related equipment and services). Exports of dual use items (items that can have military applications) are no longer subject to strict licensing procedures. The July 30, 2004 order did not unfreeze any assets in the United States of the former regime.
- The FY2005 supplemental request asks to remove Iraq from a named list of countries for which the United States is required to withhold from its voluntary contributions to international organizations. The requirement is for the withholding of a proportionate share of the cost of any programs such organizations conduct for those countries. That provision is included in P.L. 109-13.

Debt Relief/WTO Membership. The Administration is attempting to persuade other countries to forgive Iraq's debt built up during the regime of Saddam Hussein. The debt is estimated to total about \$116 billion, not including reparations dating to the first Persian Gulf war. On November 21, 2004, the so-called "Paris Club" of 19 industrialized nations agreed to cancel about 80% of the \$39 billion Iraq owes them. On December 17, 2004, the United States signed an agreement with Iraq writing off 100% of Iraq's \$4.1 billion debt to the United States; that debt consisted of principle and interest from about \$2 billion in defaults on Iraqi agricultural credits from the 1980s. On December 13, 2004, the World Trade Organization (WTO) agreed to begin accession talks with Iraq.

⁶⁴ A May 7, 2003 executive order left in place the provisions of the Iran-Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act (P.L. 102-484); that act imposes sanctions on persons or governments that export technology that would contribute to any Iraqi advanced conventional arms capability or weapons of mass destruction programs.

⁶⁵ For more information, see CRS Report RS21765, *Iraq: Paris Club Debt Relief*.

Congressional Reactions

Congress, like the Administration, has divergent views on Iraq. On December 20, 2001, the House passed H.J.Res. 75, by a vote of 392-12, calling Iraq's refusal to readmit U.N. weapons inspectors a "mounting threat" to the United States. As discussion of potential military action increased in the fall of 2002, Members debated the costs and risks of an invasion, and they adopted H.J.Res. 114, authorizing the President to use military force against Iraq if he determines that doing so is in the national interest and would enforce U.N. Security Council resolutions. It passed the House October 11, 2002 (296-133), and the Senate the following day (77-23). It was signed October 16, 2002 (P.L. 107-243).

The 108th Congress held numerous hearings on post-Saddam Iraq. Although Congress has applauded the performance of the U.S. military and Iraq's new freedoms, some Members have criticized the Administration for inadequate planning for the post-war period. Criticism of Iraq policy subsided somewhat after the January 30, 2005 elections. Many Members have visited Iraq, and many who have done so say reconstruction is proceeding and that Iraq is more stable than is widely portrayed in the press.

Appendix

Table A1: U.S. Assistance (ESF) to the Opposition

(Figures in millions of dollars)

| | INC | War Crimes | Broadcasting | Unspecified Opposition Activities | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|---|-------|
| FY1998 (P.L. 105-174) | | 2.0 | 5.0 (RFE/RL) | 3.0 | 10.0 |
| FY1999 (P.L. 105-277) | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 2.0 | 8.0 |
| FY2000 (P.L. 106-113) | | 2.0 | | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| FY2001 (P.L. 106-429) | 12.0 (aid in Iraq) | 2.0 | 6.0 (INC radio) | 5.0 | 25.0 |
| FY2002 (P.L. 107-115) | | | | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Total, FY1998-FY2002 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 43.0 | 78.0 |
| FY2003 (no earmark) | 3.1 | | | 6.9 | 10.0 |
| FY2004 (request) | | | | 0 | 0 |

Notes: According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office (April 2004), the INC's Iraqi National Congress Support Foundation (INCSF) received \$32.65 million in U.S. Economic Support Funds (ESF) in five agreements with the State Department during 2000-2003. Most of the funds separate from drawdowns of U.S. military equipment and training under the "Iraq Liberation Act" were for the INC to run its offices in Washington, London, Tehran, Damascus, Prague, and Cairo, and to operate its Al Mutamar (the "Conference") newspaper and its "Liberty TV," which began in August 2001, from London. The station was funded by FY2001 ESF, with start-up costs of \$1 million and an estimated additional \$2.7 million per year in operating costs. Liberty TV was sporadic due to funding disruptions resulting from the INC's refusal to accept some State Department decisions on how U.S. funds were to be used. In August 2002, the State Department and Defense Department agreed that the Defense Department would take over funding (\$335,000 per month) for the INC's "Information Collection Program" to collect intelligence on Iraq; the State Department wanted to end its funding of that program because of questions about the INC's credibility and the propriety of its use of U.S. funds. The INC continued to receive these funds even after Saddam Hussein was overthrown, but was halted after the June 2004 return of sovereignty to Iraq. The figures above do not include covert aid provided — the amounts are not known from open sources. During FY2001 and FY2002, the Administration donated \$4 million to a "U.N. War Crimes Commission" fund, to be used if a war crimes tribunal is formed. Those funds were drawn from U.S. contributions to U.N. programs. See General Accounting Office Report GAO-04-559. State Department: Issues Affecting Funding of Iraqi National Congress Support Foundation. April 2004.

Figure 1. Map of Iraq



Source: Map Resources. Adapted by CRS. (K.Yancey 7/21/04)