Summary

Title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) (P.L. 105-220), the country’s chief job training legislation, authorizes several job training programs, including Youth, Adult, and Dislocated Worker Activities; Job Corps; Youth Opportunity Grants; and programs for Native Americans, migrant and seasonal farmworkers, and veterans. The funding authorization for WIA programs expires on September 30, 2003. On May 8, 2003, the House passed H.R. 1261, the Workforce Reinvestment and Adult Education Act of 2003. In addition to reauthorizing the Title I job training programs, the bill would also reauthorize the adult education and literacy programs and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. This report focuses on provisions related to the Title I programs, and will be updated after major legislative developments.

Introduction

The Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) (P.L. 105-220), was enacted in August 1998. Among other things, WIA repealed the Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA), and replaced it with new training provisions under Title I, Workforce Investment Systems. All states were required to implement Title I by July 1, 2000. The authorization for WIA programs expires on September 30, 2003.

1 29 U.S.C. 2811 et seq.
2 For more information see CRS Report 97-536, Job Training Under the Workforce Investment Act (WIA): An Overview, by Ann Lordeman.
On March 6, 2003, the Administration released its proposal for reauthorization of WIA.\(^3\) On March 20, 2003, the House Subcommittee on 21st Century Workforce Competitiveness of the House Committee on Education and the Workforce marked up H.R. 1261, which is based on the Administration’s proposal. On March 27, the full committee ordered to be reported H.R. 1261, as amended.\(^4\) On May 8, 2003, the House passed H.R. 1261, the Workforce Reinvestment and Adult Education Act of 2003 by a vote of 220 to 204.

H.R. 1261, among other things, amends and reauthorizes the Title I WIA training programs, adult education and literacy programs, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. These programs would be authorized through FY2009. This report focuses on Workforce Investment Systems, Title I of WIA.

### Summary of Amendments to Title I

**One-Stop Delivery System.** Under current law, services for adults are provided primarily through a coordinated service delivery system overseen locally by local workforce investment boards (WIB). This “one-stop” system is intended to provide a “seamless” combination of services to improve employment opportunities for individuals. The law mandates that certain “partners,” which are entities that administer programs such as adult education and vocational rehabilitation, provide “applicable” services through the one-stop system. In addition to these mandatory partners, WIA specifies certain programs as optional partners. Mandatory partners must enter into written agreements with the local WIBs regarding services to be provided, the funding of the services and operating costs of the system, and the methods of referring individuals among partners. H.R. 1261 would make Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) a mandatory partner unless the Governor notified the Departments of Labor and Health and Human Services that TANF was not to be a mandatory partner; TANF is an optional partner under current law.

H.R. 1261 would add the requirement that each Governor determine a portion of federal funds provided to state and local areas under the federal laws authorizing the one-stop partner programs (e.g., adult education and vocational rehabilitation programs) to be used toward paying the costs of the one-stop centers’ infrastructure (i.e., nonpersonnel costs). Only funds available for the costs of administration for each mandatory or participating optional partner program could be used. Each state WIB would develop the formula to be used by the Governor in allocating the funds to the one-stop centers it would certify for this purpose.

**Structure of State and Locally Administered Programs.** Under current law, the state WIB, which functions as an advisory body to the Governor, includes in its membership: the Governor; members of the state legislature; chief elected officials;

---

3 For more information on the Administration’s proposal, see [http://www.doleta.gov/whatsnew/WIA_Factsheet_Final_v3.cfm].

4 A notable change in the bill as ordered to be reported was the omission of provisions for Personal Reemployment Accounts. These provisions are contained in H.R. 444, the Back to Work Incentive Act of 2003. For information on the accounts, see CRS Report RL31825, *Personal Reemployment Accounts: Result from Bonus Demonstrations*, by Linda Levine.
representatives of the lead state agencies responsible for the programs carried out by one-stop partners, business, and labor organizations; and individuals and representatives of organizations having experience with youth. H.R. 1261 would add to the required membership the state agency officials responsible for economic development and would remove representatives of youth. H.R. 1261 would also amend the functions of the WIB by adding the requirement that they assist the Governor in certifying one-stop centers and awarding infrastructure funds.

One function of the state WIB is to assist the Governor in the designation of local workforce investment areas. Under current law, a request for designation from any unit of general local government with a population of 500,000 or more is automatically approved. H.R. 1261 continues this requirement, but allows the Governor to deny a request for designation if the unit of government “did not perform successfully” during the preceding 2 years. H.R. 1261 is silent on how successful performance would be determined.

Within each local area, a local WIB is certified by the Governor. These local boards have broad responsibility for developing a local workforce investment system. H.R. 1261 would eliminate the requirement that membership of local WIBs include representatives of the one-stop partners; they are required members of the state WIBs. Under current law, each local board is required to establish a youth council as a subgroup of the WIB to develop the youth portion of the local plan, to recommend eligible providers of youth activities, and to coordinate youth activities in the local area. H.R. 1261 would eliminate the requirement for youth councils; they would be optional.

State and National Programs. Under current law, there are three state administered programs: youth, adult, and dislocated worker, each of which has its own state grant. H.R. 1261 would retain the youth program, but refocus it as described below. H.R. 1261 would also create one state grant for adult activities by consolidating the state grants for the WIA adult and dislocated worker programs with the state reemployment grants and state employment service grants, both of which are authorized under the Wagner-Peyser Act (29 U.S.C. 49 et seq.)

There are several national programs under current law, such as Job Corps, Youth Opportunity Grants (YOG), and programs for Native Americans, migrant and seasonal farmworkers, and veterans. H.R. 1261 would eliminate YOGs, which are competitive grants made to local WIBs and other entities to increase the long-term employment of youth living in empowerment zones, enterprise communities, and high poverty areas. H.R. 1261 would replace the program with Youth Challenge Grants, which would include competitive grants to states and local areas and discretionary grants to public or private entities to provide assistance to both in-school and out-of-school youth.

State and Local Allocations. Separate formulas are currently used to allocate funds to states for adult activities, dislocated worker activities, the employment service, and reemployment grants. Under H.R. 1261, these four funding streams would be combined into one formula grant for a revised adult program. Of the funds appropriated

---

5 For a detailed description of how funds are allotted to states for adults, dislocated workers, and employment services, see [http://www.doleta.gov/budget/statfund.asp].
for adult activities, the Secretary of Labor would reserve 10% to provide for national dislocated worker grants (currently called national emergency grants), demonstration projects, and technical assistance. Of the remainder, not more than 0.25% would be reserved for grants to outlying areas. The remainder would be allocated by a two part formula. The first part would allocate 26% of the remainder based primarily on a state’s prior year share of the Wagner-Peyser state allotments. The second part would allocate 74% of the remainder as follows: 60% would be allotted to states on the basis of the relative number of unemployed individuals, 25% on the basis of the relative “excess” number of unemployed individuals (more than 4.5% of the civilian labor force), and 15% on the basis of the relative number of low-income adults. Each state’s total allotment would be the sum of its allotment under the two parts, except that no state would receive less than it received in FY2003 for the total of the four funding streams and, unless DOL determined otherwise, no state would receive 3% more than it had in FY2003 for the four funding streams.

Of the funds allocated for adult activities to the states under H.R. 1261, the Governor could reserve up to 50% for statewide activities. Of the remainder, 80% would be allocated to local areas based on a statutory formula and 20% would be allocated to local areas based on a formula developed in consultation with state and local WIBs.

Under current law, funds to states for youth activities are allotted by a formula based one-third on the relative number of unemployed individuals residing in areas of substantial unemployment (at least 6.5%), one-third on the basis of the relative “excess” number of unemployed individuals (more than 4.5% of the civilian labor force), and one-third on the basis of the relative number of low-income youth. Of the funds allocated to the state for adult and youth programs, the Governor can reserve not more than 15% for statewide activities. The remainder of the funds are allocated to the local areas.

Under H.R. 1261, of the funds appropriated for youth activities, the Secretary of Labor would reserve 25% for Youth Challenge Grants. Of the remainder, not more than 0.25% would be reserved for grants to outlying areas and not more than 1.5% for youth activities for Native Americans. The remainder would be allocated using the current law formula, except that any funds in excess of the amount available for states for FY2003 (i.e., $976,945,172) would be allocated as follows: one-third on the relative number individuals ages 16 through 19 in the civilian labor force; one-third, on the relative number of unemployed individuals; and one-third, on the relative number of economically disadvantaged individuals age 16 though 21.

Of the funds allocated for youth activities to the states in H.R. 1261, the Governor could reserve up to 10% for statewide activities. Of the remainder, 80% would be allocated to local areas based on a statutory formula and 20% would be allocated to local areas based on a formula developed in consultation with state and local WIBs.

---

6 The word “relative” as used in this report means the number of individuals in a state compared to the total number in all states.

7 Of this amount, not less than half would be distributed to local areas by formula to support the provision of core services (e.g., outreach and job search assistance) through one-stop delivery systems.
Youth Activities. Under current law, youth are individuals not less than age 14 and not more than age 21. Under H.R. 1261, youth would be not less than age 16 and not more than age 24. At least 30% of the funds currently allocated to local areas have to be spent on youth activities for out-of-school youth. Under H.R. 1261, at least 70% of the funds would have to be spent on school dropouts, recipients of a secondary school diploma or the “General Equivalency Diploma” (GED), court-involved youth attending an alternative school, and youth in foster care or who have been in foster care. Within this group, priority for youth services must be given to school dropouts. No more than 30% of the funds could be spent on in-school youth who are low income.

Adult Activities. Under current law, three levels of services (core, intensive, and training) are provided to individuals sequentially. An individual must first receive at least one core service, such as outreach, initial assessment of skills and needs, and job search and placement assistance to be eligible to receive intensive services, such as comprehensive assessments and development of individual employment plans. To be eligible to receive training services, such as occupational skills training and on-the-job training, an individual must have received at least one intensive service, and must have been unable to obtain or retain employment.

H.R. 1261 would eliminate the sequencing of services in certain instances. Individuals would be eligible to receive intensive service if the one-stop operator determined they would be unlikely or unable to obtain “suitable employment” through core services and are in need of intensive services to obtain suitable employment. Likewise, individuals would be eligible to receive training services if the one-stop operator determined they would be unlikely or unable to obtain “suitable employment” through intensive services and in need of training services to obtain suitable employment. The Governor would define the term “suitable employment.”

At present, if a local area determines that funds are limited, a priority for intensive and training services must be given to recipients of public assistance and low-income individuals participating in the adult program. H.R. 1261 would add a priority for intensive and training services for unemployed individuals.

H.R. 1261 would permit local WIBs to use 10% of the allotment for adult activities for incumbent worker training programs to assist workers in obtaining the skills necessary to retain employment and avert layoffs. An employer match would be required.

Training, as in current law, would be provided primarily through individual training accounts. The one-stop operator is responsible for arranging payment to eligible training providers. In order for training providers to be certified by the Governor, they currently must report on certain performance measures, such as program completion rates and wages at completion, that are established in law. Under H.R. 1261, the Governor would

---

8 This is an apparent reference to the General Educational Development program, which is often seen as an equivalent to a high school diploma.

9 There is no similar priority for the dislocated worker program.

10 Under current law, statewide activities may include training for incumbent workers, but there is no similar provision for local areas.
establish the criteria and procedures regarding the eligibility of providers. The Governor would be required to take into account performance indicators, such as entry and retention in unsubsidized employment, or other “appropriate” indicators and other factors the Governor determines to be appropriate to ensure quality of services, accountability of providers, and the informed choice of participants. Local WIBs and one-stop delivery systems would continue to retain a list of eligible providers, and participants who have individual training accounts would continue to choose providers from this list in consultation with a case manager.

**Performance Accountability.** Under current law, there are 15 core indicators of performance for adults, dislocated workers, and youth and two indicators of customer satisfaction. H.R. 1261 would reduce the number of indicators from 15 to eight and would make indicators for customer satisfaction a local option.

**Nondiscrimination.** Under current law, discrimination regarding participation, benefits and employment because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability or political affiliation is prohibited. H.R. 1261 would continue these prohibitions, but it would add an exemption for religious organizations with respect to their employment of individuals of a particular religion.

**Authorization.** Under H.R. 1261, youth activities would be authorized at $1,250,000,000 for FY2004, and for such sums as necessary for each of the fiscal years 2005 through 2009. Adult activities would be authorized at $3,079,800,000 for FY2004, and for such sums as necessary for each of the fiscal years 2005 through 2009.