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Summary

Public charities, religious groups, social welfare organizations and other non-profit, tax-exempt organizations are not generally prohibited from engaging in all lobbying or public policy advocacy activities merely because of their tax-exempt status. There may, however, be some lobbying limitations on certain organizations, depending on their tax-exempt status and/or their participation as federal grantees in federal programs. Additionally, organizations, other than churches or their affiliates, which meet certain threshold expenditure requirements must register certain employees who are paid to lobby Congress or certain executive branch officials, and must file reports on lobbying activities, under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995.

As to the different categories of tax-exemption: charitable, religious or educational organizations which are exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, who may receive contributions from private parties that are tax-deductible for the contributor, may not engage in direct or grass roots lobbying activities which constitute a “substantial part” of their activities if they wish to preserve this preferred tax-exempt status. “Civic leagues or organizations not operated for profit but operated exclusively for the promotion of social welfare ....,” tax exempt under 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(4), on the other hand, have no tax consequence expressed in the statute for lobbying or advocacy activities. (But note restrictions on 501(c)(4)’s receiving federal grants or loans). Labor and agricultural organizations, tax-exempt under Section 501(c)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code, and business trade associations and chambers of commerce, exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(6), also have no specific statutory limitations upon their lobbying activities as a result of their tax-exempt status. Private foundations are generally not allowed to lobby.

A provision of the 1995 Lobbying Disclosure Act, commonly called the “Simpson Amendment,” prohibits section 501(c)(4) civic leagues and social welfare organizations from engaging in any “lobbying activities,” even with their own private funds, if the organization receives any federal grant, loan, or award. Because of the definitions under the Lobbying Disclosure Act, however, the “Simpson Amendment” limitations do not appear to apply to any “grass roots” lobbying or advocacy, nor to lobbying of State or local officials, and also exempts certain other official communications or testimony.

Finally, federal contract or grant money may not be used for any lobbying, unless authorized by Congress. No organization, regardless of tax status, may be reimbursed out of federal contract or grant money for any lobbying activities, or for other advocacy or political activities, unless authorized by Congress. This applies to direct or “grass roots” lobbying campaigns at the State, local or federal level (but exempts providing technical and/or factual information related to the performance of a grant or contract when in response to a documented request). The provision of law commonly referred to as the “Byrd Amendment” also expressly prohibits federal grantees, contractors, recipients of federal loans or cooperative agreements from using federal monies to “lobby” with respect to the awarding or modification of such contracts, grants, loans, or agreements.
Lobbying Regulations on Non-Profit Organizations

This report is intended to provide a brief overview of the various potential restrictions or regulations on lobbying activities of non-profit organizations. Public charities, social welfare organizations, religious groups, and other non-profit, tax-exempt organizations are not generally prohibited from engaging in all lobbying or public policy advocacy merely because of their tax-exempt status. There may, however, be some limitations and restrictions on lobbying by certain non-profit organizations, as well as general public disclosure and reporting requirements relative to lobbying activities of most organizations.

There are, in fact, several overlapping laws, rules and regulations which may apply to various non-profits which engage in lobbying activities. In some instances, the rules and restrictions that apply may be determined by the section of the Internal Revenue Code under which an organization holds its tax-exempt status. In other instances, certain rules and regulations may apply depending on the type of non-profit organization and whether it receives federal grants, loans or awards. Finally, organizations, depending on the amount and type of lobbying in which they engage, may be required to file public registration and disclosure reports under the federal Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995. It should be emphasized that the definitions of the terms “lobbying” or “advocacy,” and what activities may be encompassed in or excluded from those terms, may vary among the different regulations, rules, and statutes.

Tax Code Status and Lobbying

Section 501(c)(3) Charitable Organizations

Organizations which are exempt from federal income taxation under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3)) are community chests, funds, corporations or foundations “organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary, or educational purposes.” These charitable organizations, which have the advantage of receiving contributions from private parties which are tax-deductible for the contributor under 26 U.S.C. § 170(a)), are limited in the amount of lobbying in which they may engage if they wish to preserve this preferred federal tax-exempt status.1

The general rule for a charitable organization exempt from federal taxation under § 501(c)(3) is that such organization may not engage in lobbying activities

---

which constitute a “substantial part” of its activities. In 1976, a so-called “safe harbor” was offered to 501(c)(3) organizations where they could elect to come within specific percentage limitations on expenditures to assure that no violations of the “substantial part” rule would occur, or they could remain under the old, unspecified “substantial part test.” The specific statutory limitations upon organizational expenditures for covered lobbying activities (the “expenditure test” limitations) for electing 501(c)(3) organizations are as follows:

20% of the first $500,000 of total exempt-purpose expenditures of the organization, then
15% of the next $500,000 in exempt-purposes expenditures, then
10% of the next $500,000 in exempt-purpose expenditures, and then
5% of the organization’s exempt-purpose expenditures over $1,500,000;
up to a total expenditure limit of $1,000,000 on lobbying activities.
There is currently a separate “grass roots” expenditure limit of 25% of the “direct” lobbying limits.

The activities covered under the tax code limitations on “lobbying” by charitable organizations generally encompass both “direct” lobbying as well as “grass roots” lobbying (for which there is a separate included expense limitation). “Direct” lobbying entails direct communications to legislators, and to other government officials involved in formulating legislation (as well as direct communications to an organization’s own members encouraging them to communicate directly with legislators), which refer to and reflect a particular view on specific legislation. Indirect or “grass roots” lobbying involves advocacy pleas to the general public which refer to and take a position on specific legislation, and which encourage the public to contact legislators to influence them on that legislation.

The definitions of and the specific exemptions from the term “lobbying” are important in observing the expenditure limitations on an organization’s activities.

---

2 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(3). The Supreme Court has upheld the loss of the special tax-exempt status of charitable, 501(c)(3) organizations if they engage in “substantial” lobbying. Regan v. Taxation With Representation of Washington, 461 U.S. 540 (1983). The Court noted that although lobbying is a protected First Amendment right, and although the Government may not indirectly punish an organization for exercising its constitutional rights by denying benefits to those who exercise them, lobbying activities are not necessarily one of the contemplated “exempt functions” of these charitable or educational organizations for which they have received the preferred tax status. Since contributions to the 501(c)(3) organization by private individuals are eligible for a deduction from the donor’s federal income tax, the Government is in effect “subsidizing” those private contributions to the organization (through loss of tax revenue), and the Court found that Congress does not have to “subsidize” such lobbying activities through preferred tax status for contributions if it does not chose to do so, as long as other outlets for the organization’s unlimited, protected First Amendment expression exist. Id. at 544-546.

3 Religious organizations are not permitted to make the election to come within the specific monetary lobbying guidelines under 26 U.S.C. § 501(h), 26 U.S.C. § 501(h)(5). See IRS Form 5768, for election to come within “expenditure test.”

4 See 26 U.S.C. § 4911(c)(2).
For example, not all public “advocacy” activities of an organization are considered “grass roots lobbying.” As noted expressly by the IRS: “... clear advocacy of specific legislation is not grass roots lobbying at all unless it contains an encouragement to action.” Furthermore, not all communications to legislators are considered “direct lobbying.” The definition of “lobbying” for purposes of the tax code limitations expressly exempt activities such as:

(a) making available nonpartisan analysis, study or research involving independent and objective exposition of a subject matter, even one that takes a position on particular legislation as long as it does not encourage recipients to take action with respect to that legislation;
(b) technical advice or assistance given at the request of a governmental body;
(c) so-called “self-defense” communications before governmental bodies, that is, communications on those issues that might affect the charity’s existence, powers, duties, tax-exempt status, or deductibility of contributions to it; and
(d) contacts with officials unrelated to affecting specific legislation, even those that involve general discussions of broad social or economic problems which are the subject of pending legislation.

Section 501(c)(4) Civic Organizations

Organizations which are tax exempt under section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code are generally described as “[c]ivic leagues or organizations not operated for profit but operated exclusively for the promotion of social welfare ....” If a civic league or social welfare organization is tax exempt under § 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, there is generally no tax consequence for lobbying or advocacy activities (as long as such expenditures are in relation to their exempt function). In fact, in upholding the limitations on lobbying by 501(c)(3) charitable organizations against First Amendment challenges, the Supreme Court noted that a 501(c)(3) organization could establish a 501(c)(4) affiliate through which its First Amendment expression could be exercised through unlimited lobbying and advocacy. The 501(c)(4) affiliate should be separately incorporated, keep separate books, and spend and use resources which are not part of or otherwise paid for by the tax-deductible contributions to the 501(c)(3) parent organization. While 501(c)(4) organizations’ lobbying activities are generally unrestricted, if a 501(c)(4)

---

5 1990-39 Internal Revenue Bulletin, at p. 7. A communication “encourages a recipient to take action” if it (1) states that the recipient should contact legislators; (2) provides a legislator’s phone number, address, etc; (3) provides a petition, tear-off postcard, or similar material to send to a legislator; or (4) specifically identifies a legislator who is opposed, in favor, or undecided on the specific legislation, or is on the committee considering the legislation, if the communication itself is “partisan” in nature and can not be characterized as a full and fair exposition of the issue. Id. at 7.
7 Regan v. Taxation With Representation of Washington, supra at 544-546 (Opinion of the Court), see also 552-553 (Blackmun concurring).
organization receives federal funds in the form of a “grant” or loan, then there are express restrictions on its “lobbying activities,” discussed below.

**Section 501(c)(5) Labor Organizations and 501(c)(6) Trade Associations**

Labor and agricultural organizations are tax-exempt under section 501(c)(5) of the Internal Revenue Code, and business trade associations and chambers of commerce are exempt from federal income taxation under section 501(c)(6). Neither labor or agricultural organizations, nor business trade associations or chambers of commerce, have any specific limitations upon their lobbying activities as a result of their tax-exempt status.  

**Veterans’ Organizations - Section 501(c)(19)**

Veterans’ organizations are in a unique situation concerning lobbying, as compared to other non-profits, in that veterans’ groups may engage in unlimited lobbying activities relevant to their functions, while at the same time are able to benefit from contributions to them that are tax deductible to the donor. This preferred tax position available only to veterans’ groups has been justified as a policy choice of Congress to benefit those that have served the nation in its armed forces.

**Private Foundations**

Private foundations (as opposed to “public” charities) are generally restricted from lobbying, in a practical sense, by tax provisions which penalize expenditures by the private foundation for most forms of lobbying activities (although the law expressly exempts from the definition of lobbying such activities as issuing “nonpartisan analysis, study or research,” and engaging in so-called “self-defense” lobbying). Private foundations differ from public charities generally in the manner in which they are funded, in that private foundations receive a certain percentage of their funds from other than contributions from the general public or from the Government, and instead receive large bequests from those associated with the foundation and/or receive substantial amounts of their revenue from the investment income from the foundation’s financial holdings.

---


Receipt of Federal Funds

501(c)(4) Organizations Receiving Federal Grants

Restrictions on “lobbying activities” by certain non-profit groups, as a condition to receiving federal grants and loans, were enacted into law in 1995. Section 18 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 places statutory restrictions upon the lobbying activities of non-profit civic and social welfare organizations which are tax-exempt under section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code. This provision, which is commonly called the “Simpson Amendment,” prohibits section 501(c)(4) civic leagues and social welfare organizations from engaging in any “lobbying activities,” even with their own private funds, if the organization receives any federal grant, loan, or award.\(^\text{15}\)

The restrictions of the Simpson Amendment originally covered all 501(c)(4) organizations which received federal monies by way of an “award, grant, contract, loan or any other form.”\(^\text{16}\) The term “contract,” however, was subsequently removed from the provision by P.L. 104-99, Section 129, leaving the prohibition on lobbying activities with an organization’s own funds as a condition to the receipt of federal moneys only upon 501(c)(4) grantees and those seeking an award or loan, but allowing unlimited lobbying activities with organizational funds for 501(c)(4) contractors of the federal government. The Simpson Amendment now reads: “An organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 which engages in lobbying activities shall not be eligible for the receipt of Federal funds constituting an award, grant, or loan.”

The legislative history of the provision clearly indicates that a 501(c)(4) organization may separately incorporate an affiliated 501(c)(4), which would not receive any federal funds, and which could engage in unlimited lobbying.\(^\text{17}\) The method of separately incorporating an affiliate to lobby (or to receive and administer federal grants), which was described by the amendment’s sponsor as “splitting,” was apparently intended to place a degree of separation between federal grant money and private lobbying, while permitting an organization to have a voice through which to exercise its protected First Amendment rights of speech, expression and petition.\(^\text{18}\) As stated by Senator Simpson: “If they decided to split into two separate 501(c)(4)’s, they could have one organization which could both receive funds and lobby without limits.”\(^\text{19}\)


\(^{15}\) See now 2 U.S.C. § 1611.

\(^{16}\) P.L. 104-65, Section 18, 109 Stat. 704 (emphasis added).


\(^{18}\) See comments by the sponsors of provision, Senator Simpson and Senator Craig, at 141 Congressional Record 20041-20042, 20052-20053 (July 24, 1995).

\(^{19}\) 141 Congressional Record, supra at 20045 (Senator Simpson), see also Senator (continued...)
It may also be noted that while § 501(c)(4)’s which receive certain federal funds may not engage in “lobbying activities,” the term “lobbying activities” as used in the “Simpson Amendment” prohibition in Section 18 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act is defined in Section 3 of that legislation to include only direct “lobbying contacts and efforts in support of such contacts” such as preparation, planning, research and other background work intended for use in such direct contacts.\(^\text{20}\) A “lobbying contact” under the Lobbying Disclosure Act is an “oral or written communication (including an electronic communication) to a covered executive branch official or a covered legislative branch official” which concerns the formulation, modification or adoption of legislation, rules, regulations, policies or programs of the Federal Government.\(^\text{21}\) Organizations which use their own private resources to engage only in “grass roots” lobbying and public advocacy (including specifically any communication that is “made in a speech, article, publication or other material that is distributed and made available to the public, or through radio, television, cable television, or other medium of mass communication”)\(^\text{22}\) would, therefore, not appear to be engaging in any prohibited “lobbying activities” under this provision. The Lobbying Disclosure Act’s definitions of “lobbying activities” and “lobbying contacts” exclude, and do not independently apply to activities which consist only of “grass roots” lobbying and public advocacy.\(^\text{23}\)

Similarly, since the term “lobbying activities” relates only to the direct lobbying of covered federal officials, the “Simpson Amendment” would not appear to limit in any way an organization’s use of its own private resources to lobby State or local legislators or other State or local governmental bodies or units. While direct lobbying of the Congress, or of certain high level executive branch officials, is covered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act as a “lobbying contact,” and thus by definition a “lobbying activity,” the acts of testifying before a congressional committee, subcommittee, or task force, or of submitting written testimony for inclusion in the public record of any such body, or of responding to notices in the Federal Register or other such publication soliciting communications from the public to an agency, or responding to any oral or written request from a Government official for information, are expressly exempt from the definition of a “lobbying contact,” and thus in themselves can not qualify as a “lobbying activity.”\(^\text{24}\)

\(^{19}\) (...continued)
Simpson’s explanation of “splitting,” id. at 20052, 20053.


\(^{21}\) 2 U.S.C. § 1602(8), P.L. 104-65, Section 3(8).

\(^{22}\) Note this express exception to the term “lobbying contact,” at 2 U.S.C. § 1602(8)(B)(iii), P.L. 104-65, Section 3(8)(B)(iii).

\(^{23}\) Broader limitations on public “advocacy” and lobbying by organizations receiving federal grant money, and on entities wishing to do business with federal grantees, which had been considered by the House as appropriations riders in the 104th Congress (commonly known as the “Istook Amendment,” e.g., H.R. 2127, 104th Congress, H.J.Res. 114, 104th Congress), were not enacted into law.

\(^{24}\) See 2 U.S.C. 1602(8)(B), for list of 18 exceptions to the term “lobbying contacts.”
Restrictions on Use of Federal Funds Generally

Broad prohibitions on the use of federal monies for lobbying or political activities have been in force for a number of years. Express restrictions on the use of grant funds by non-profit organizations were adopted in 1984 as part of uniform cost principles for non-profit organizations issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in OMB Circular A-122, and are now incorporated into the Federal Acquisition Regulations. Under current federal provisions, no contractor or grantee of the federal government, regardless of tax status, may be reimbursed out of federal contract or grant money for their lobbying activities, or for political activities, unless authorized by Congress. These restrictions generally apply to attempts to influence any federal or state legislation through direct or “grass roots” lobbying campaigns, political campaign contributions or expenditures, but exempt any activity authorized by Congress, or when providing technical and/or factual information related to the performance of a grant or contract when in response to a documented request.

In addition to these restrictions of general applicability on the use of federal contract or grant money for lobbying activities, there may be specific statutory limitations and prohibitions on particular federal moneys or on particular federal programs. Appropriation riders, for example, may also expressly limit the use of federal monies appropriated in a particular appropriations law for lobbying, or “publicity or propaganda” campaigns directed at Congress by private grant or contract recipients.

Under the provisions of federal law commonly referred to as the “Byrd Amendment,” federal grantees, contractors, recipients of federal loans or those with cooperative agreements with the federal government, are expressly prohibited by law from using federal monies to “lobby” the Congress, federal agencies, or their employees, with respect to the awarding of federal contracts, the making of any grants or loans, the entering into cooperative agreements, or the extension, modification or renewal of these types of awards. Federal contractors, grantees and those receiving federal loans and cooperative agreements must also report lobbying expenditures from non-federal sources which they used to obtain such federal funds.

27 Note discussion of anti-lobbying appropriations provisions and grant funds in General Accounting Office, Principles of Federal Appropriations Law, Second Edition, at 4-179 to 4-184 (July 1991). It should be noted that the federal criminal statutory provision on lobbying with appropriated monies, 18 U.S.C. § 1913, applies only to federal officers and employees, and not to the private recipients of federal grants, contracts or other federal disbursements. See Grassly v. Legal Services Corporation, 535 F. Supp. 818, 826, n.6 (S.D. Iowa 1982).
program monies or contracts. Agencies of the Federal Government which administer loans, grants and cooperative agreements have issued common regulations implementing the “Byrd Amendment.” The restrictions of the “Byrd Amendment” apply to the making, with an intent to influence, any communication to or appearances before Congress or an agency on a covered matter. Any “information specifically requested by an agency or Congress is allowable at any time,” and certain other contacts are allowable depending on the timing and nature of the communication with respect to a particular solicitation for a federal grant, contract or agreement.

**Required Disclosures of Lobbying Activities**

**Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995**

Organizations which engage in a certain amount of lobbying activities through personnel compensated to lobby on the organization’s behalf will be required to register and to file disclosure reports under the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995. There is no general exclusion or exception from the disclosure and registration requirements for non-profit organizations who otherwise meet the threshold requirements on lobbying contacts, except for churches and their integrated auxiliaries which are exempt from reporting and disclosure.

The Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 was intended to reach so-called “professional lobbyists,” that is, those who are compensated to engage in lobbying activities on behalf of an employer or on behalf of a client. When registration is required for organizations which lobby through their own staff, such registration is done by the organization, rather than by the individual employee/lobbyist. That is, the organization which has employees who qualify as lobbyists for the organization (“in-house” lobbyists), must register and identify its employee/lobbyists.

An organization will be required to register its employee/lobbyists when it meets two general conditions. First, it must have one or more compensated employees who engage in “lobbying,” that is, who make more than one “lobbying contact,” and who spend at least 20% of their total time for that employer on “lobbying activities,” over a six month reporting period. A “lobbying contact” is an oral or written

---

31 55 F.R. 6739.
communication to a covered official, including a Member of Congress, congressional staff, and certain senior executive branch officials, with respect to the formulation, modification or adoption of a federal law, rule, regulation or policy. The term “lobbying activities” is broader than “lobbying contacts,” and includes “lobbying contacts” as well as background activities and other efforts in support of such lobbying contacts. Secondly, for an organization to register its lobbyist/employees, the organization must have spent, in total expenses for lobbying activities, $22,500 or more in a six-month reporting period.\(^{36}\) The $22,500 amount will include any money paid to an outside lobbyist to lobby on the organization’s behalf during the reporting period. If an organization hires an outside lobbyist, that outside lobbyist or outside lobbying firm will register on behalf of that client/organization when that lobbyist or lobbying firm meets the required criteria for contacts and income.\(^{37}\)

Under the Act a “lobbyist” needs to be registered within 45 days after first making a lobbying contact or being employed to make such a contact. Registration will be on identical forms filed with the Secretary of the Senate and Clerk of the House. The information on the registrations will generally include identification of the lobbyist, the employer or the client, and any organizations other than the client that contribute more than $10,000 for the lobbying activities in six months and which plays a major role in supervising or controlling the lobbying activities; an identification of any foreign entity that owns 20% of the client, controls the activities of the client or is an interested affiliate of the client; a list of the issues in which the lobbyist is interested, and those on which he or she has already lobbied for the client or employer. In addition to the registration of lobbyists, semi-annual reports, covering January 1 - June 30, and July 1 - December 31, are required to be filed. These reports will identify the lobbyist, clients and employers, and issues upon which one lobbied, and the reports are to provide a good faith estimate of lobbying costs, rounded to the nearest $20,000 (if expenses exceed $10,000).

In addition to covering only those who are hired or retained to lobby, the provisions of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 cover as a threshold only activities which may be described as “direct” lobbying, that is, direct communications or contacts with covered officials. The registration and disclosure requirements of the law are not separately triggered by “grass roots” lobbying by persons or organizations. That is, an organization or entity which engages only in grassroots lobbying, regardless of the amount of “grass roots” lobbying activities, will not be required under the Lobbying Disclosure Act provisions to register its members, officers or employees who engage in such activities.\(^{38}\)


\(^{37}\) An outside lobbyist needs to register for a particular client when it makes more than one lobbying contact with a covered official, and when its total income from that client for lobbying related matters exceeds $5,500, in a six month filing period. 2 U.S.C. § 1603(a)(3)(A)(ii), as adjusted January 1, 2001.

\(^{38}\) Specifically excluded is any communication “made in a speech, article, publication or other material that is distributed and made available to the public, or through radio, television, cable television, or other medium of mass communication.” 2 U.S.C. § (continued...)
The Lobbying Disclosure Act also exempts from the definition of “lobbying contacts” the activities of lobbying State or local legislators or other State or local governmental bodies or units. Furthermore, while direct lobbying of the Congress, or of certain high level executive branch officials, is covered under the Lobbying Disclosure Act as a “lobbying contact,” the acts of testifying before a congressional committee, subcommittee, or task force, or of submitting written testimony for inclusion in the public record of any such body, or of responding to notices in the Federal Register or other such publication soliciting communications from the public to an agency, are expressly exempt from the definition of a “lobbying contact,” and thus in themselves can not qualify as a “lobbying activity.”

Certain public charities, that is, those that have “elected” the specific expenditure limit test for lobbying under 26 U.S.C. § 501(h), will have the option, under the Lobbying Disclosure Act, of using the Internal Revenue Code definitions of “influencing legislation,” rather than the Lobbying Disclosure Act definitions of “lobbying activities” to determine the organization’s reporting obligations. This option was provided so that such groups would need to have only one set of internal record controls and standards dealing with “influencing legislation” under both the tax code and the lobbying disclosure law. Since the definition of “influencing legislation” under the tax code is different than the definition of “lobbying activities” under the lobbying law, an eligible organization may need to decide which definition is more advantageous to use, from both a tax and record-keeping standpoint, as well as in relation to the extent and nature of its planned public policy activities.

**Tax Code Disclosures**

Most tax-exempt, non-profit organizations (other than churches) having annual gross receipts of over $25,000 must file with the IRS a Form 990 which is open to public inspection. Charitable 501(c)(3) organizations must also file Schedule A with Form 990, providing the reporting of lobbying expenditures, that is, expenses for “influencing legislation” under the Internal Revenue Code definitions. “Electing” organizations (electing the “expenditure test” for lobbying limits under 26 U.S.C. § 501(h)) must also compute and allocate expenses attributable to “grassroots” lobbying, as well as to “direct” lobbying; but non-electing organizations (under the “substantial part” test) must provide to the IRS a “detailed” description of their lobbying activities, information not required from “electing” organizations.

38 (...)continued)
1602((8)(B)(iii).
39 See 2 U.S.C. 1602(8)(B), P.L. 104-65, Section 3(8)(B) for list of 18 express exceptions to the term “lobbying contacts.”
40 2 U.S.C. § 1610(a),(c).
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