REPORT No. 283

A PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION OF SUPERCHARGING AN AIR-COOLED ENGINE IN FLIGHT

By MARSDEN. WARE and OSCAR W. SCHEY Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory

81

.

.

.

.

·

.

REPORT No. 283

A PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION OF SUPERCHARGING AN AIR-COOLED ENGINE IN FLIGHT

By Marsden Ware and Oscar W. Schey

SUMMARY

This report presents the results of tests made by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics in a preliminary investigation of the effects of supercharging an air-cooled engine under airplane flight conditions.

This investigation comprises the first of its kind that has been conducted and for which results have been published.

Service training airplanes were used in the investigation equipped with production types of Wright J engines. An N. A. C. A. Roots type supercharger was driven from the rear of the engine.

In addition to measuring those quantities that would enable the determination of the climb performance, measurements were made of the cylinder-head temperatures and the carburetor pressures and temperatures. The supercharging equipment was not removed from the airplane when making flights without supercharging, but a by-pass valve, which controlled the amount of supercharging by returning to the atmosphere the surplus air delivered by the supercharger, was left full open.

With the supercharger so geared that ground-level pressure could be maintained to 18,500 feet, it was found that the absolute ceiling was increased from 19,400 to 32,600 feet, that the time to climb to 16,000 feet was decreased from 32 to 16 minutes, and that this amount of supercharging apparently did not injure the engine.

INTRODUCTION

The air-cooled engine has reached such a stage of development in recent years that investigation of its application to all kinds of service conditions becomes of great interest. In this respect, the investigation of the effects of supercharging air-cooled engines is of considerable importance.

While supercharging consists merely of the delivery to the engine of an air-fuel charge of greater density than it could induce normally, its influence upon the engine depends on the nature of the application. For instance, the application may require that an engine be supercharged at ground level in order to increase the power per unit volume of displacement. On the other hand, the amount of supercharging may be zero at ground level and may be increased in amount as the altitude of operation is increased for the purpose of compensating for the decrease in power due to the reduction in density of the atmosphere. In the former case, super-charging causes an increase in both heat and mechanical stresses, while in the latter, the mechanical problems are comparatively inconsequential. Aircraft engine supercharging is concerned primarily with the latter case, especially when any considerable amount of supercharging is involved. It is this phase of supercharging that will be considered in this report.

In the case of water-cooled engines the alteration of cooling conditions can be readily provided for by changing the amount of radiation. Regulation of the cooling as operating conditions require may be made in a given installation by means of shutters. Obviously this same condition does not apply to the present day air-cooled engine, where the amount of the cooling surface is fixed by the design of the engine and control of the amount of air passing over the surface in the usual installation is not as simple as in the water-cooled engine. If the air-cooled engine were to be designed with sufficient cooling surface to meet the extremes of cooling requirements encountered and with provision for controlling the amount of air passing over the surface, the problems of the two types of engines would be practically the same. At present, however, the problem of supercharging an air-cooled engine is not as simple as that of supercharging a water-cooled, and depends primarily on the cylinder design.

The purpose of the work reported herein was to make a preliminary investigation of the general problem of supercharging an air-cooled engine, using an engine that had proven successful in service under conditions of normal operation. The work was done at Langley Field, Va., by the staff of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

DESCRIPTION OF APPARATUS

Standard service airplanes and engines were used in this work. Two airplanes of the training type were used: The first was a single-seater (Navy symbol—TS); the second was a two-seater of more refined design (Navy symbol—UO-1), which is shown in Figure 1. The engines were production types of the Wright J series. Details of construction for this series of engines are given in a paper

entitled "The Development of the Wright Whirlwind Type J-5 Aircraft Engine," by E. T. Jones. (Reference 1.) An N. A. C. A. Roots type supercharger was installed at the rear of the engine and was connected directly to the end of the engine crank shaft. The supercharger was essentially

the same as described in Tech-

nical Report No. 230. (Ref-



FIG. 1.-UO-1 airplane with Wright J-4 engine and experimental installation of N. A. C. A. Roots type supercharger

erence 2.) Since this supercharger was designed for use with the Liberty engine, which has a displacement of 1,649 cubic inches, while the displacement of the Wright J engine is only 787 cubic inches, this supercharger is considerably oversize for the latter engine. For most of the tests the capacity of the supercharger was reduced so that ground-level pressure could be maintained to about 18,000 feet by driving the supercharger impellers at crank-shaft speed.

A large size duct of streamline form was provided on the intake side of the supercharger. This duct extended well above the fuselage to minimize the induction of hot air from the engine cylinder. The discharge side of the supercharger was connected directly to the engine carburetor by a pipe 5 inches in diameter, in a branch of which was located a valve for the control of the amount of supercharging. The control was effected by returning excess air to the atmosphere. The branch pipe carrying the control or by-pass valve may be seen in Figure 1 extending a short distance below the bottom of the fuselage.

When the work was started with the TS airplane, a receiver having a volume of 1.8 cubic feet was inserted in the air duct between the supercharger and the carburetor to dampen the pulsating pressure created by the supercharger and thus to prevent impairment of engine performance. Since later tests with a direct connection gave equal engine performance, no receiver was used for work herein reported.

In the usual engine installation, the fuel pressure is maintained somewhat in excess of the atmospheric pressure. Fuel would not flow into the carburetor if the system were tried in the supercharged engine installation with the carburetor located between the supercharger and the engine, due to the fact that the carburetor air pressure is considerably greater than the atmospheric pressure. Modifications were made to the fuel system to maintain the fuel pressure a definite amount above the carburetor pressure and automatically dependent on the amount of supercharging pressure, in the same manner as made in previous work at this laboratory with water-cooled engines as described in Technical Report No. 263. (Reference 3.)

Cylinder-head temperatures were measured by iron-constantan thermocouples and an indicating pyrometer. The couples were inserted in each cylinder at a point immediately in the rear of the spark plug located on the top and near the front of each cylinder, as may be seen in Figure 2. The pyrometer and instruments for measuring the carburetor air temperature, the free air temperature, the carburetor air pressure, and the engine speed were installed in a light-tight box and pictures of the instrument dials were taken automatically at regular intervals with a small motor-driven camera using moving-picture film. Since space permitted the use of only one pyrometer for the cylinder temperatures, and the temperatures of all nine cylinders were desired, the various thermocouples were connected consecutively to the pyrometer by means of a motor-driven switch.

The diameter of the propeller was 8 feet 9 inches and the pitch was 6 feet 2.3 inches. The weight of the airplane ready to fly was 1,980 pounds.

TEST RESULTS

Due to the preliminary nature of this investigation the work was confined primarily to the determination of the performance with and without supercharging. Cylinder-head temperatures were measured in all flights as an indication of the thermal stress created by supercharging. In addition, measurements were made of flight performance as given in Tables I to VI.

Figures 3, 4, and 5 show the results obtained with the UO-1 airplane in those tests where the supercharger impeller speed was equal to the engine crankshaft speed. These results are plotted from average data obtained in three supercharged and three unsupercharged flights. The fuel was a 30-70 blend of benzol and aviation gasoline.



FIG. 2.—Top view of cylinder head, showing location of hole for thermocouple plug between spark plug and center of cylinder

Some work was done with the supercharger impellers operating at 1.5 times crank-shaft speed. This change permitted ground-level pressure to be maintained to much higher altitudes, at which considerable trouble was experienced from detonation. This work was therefore discontinued.

The equipment was not changed in making the flights without supercharging, but the supercharger by-pass valve was kept full open. The power required to drive the supercharger under the condition of free inlet and discharge resulting from this method of operation is less than 5 HP., so that the power of the engine was essentially the same as that of the normal engine. Since the same propeller was used for all tests, higher engine speeds were obtained when supercharging.

A series of climbs to an altitude of 15,000 feet was made with the TS airplane and with some variation in the amounts of supercharging. While some differences in performance and temperature were noted, they were not definite enough to show the influence of the different amounts of supercharging. The work demonstrated, however, that little trouble would be encountered in maintaining full supercharging to moderate altitudes. In continuing with the work on the UO-1 airplane, practically all flights were made with the carburetor pressure maintained at approximately 29 inches of mercury.

A comparison was made of the effect of oil cooling with the TS airplane by making comparative flights with and without an oil radiator. At 20,000 feet the cylinder temperature was 505° F. with the oil radiator and 550° F. without the radiator. While these data are hardly sufficient to justify making a definite conclusion, they indicate that the lubricating oil has an appreciable effect on cooling and it may be an important consideration in the application of supercharging an air-cooled engine when the cylinder temperature approaches a dangerous limit.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Figures 3, 4, and 5 show that a material improvement in performance is obtained as the result of supercharging; the absolute ceiling is increased from 19,400 to 32,600 feet, and the time required to climb to 16,000 feet is reduced from 32 to 16 minutes. The supercharged engine performances were obtained without any impairment of the engine structure, and it seemed evident from the experiences of the entire work that the engine could endure satisfactorily this amount of supercharging.

While it would be necessary to have temperature measurements of other parts of the cylinder to form any very satisfactory conclusions of the effect of supercharging on the heat flow through



the cylinder, these tests indicate the temperature increase that may be expected to result from supercharging. According to the maximum permissible temperature of 550° F. for satisfactory operation given by Heron (Reference 4), the temperatures recorded under the supercharged condition can not be said to be excessive. Although no maximum permissible operating temperature has been published for this engine, its excellent performance may be taken as an indication that this temperature approaches that set by Heron. Tt is of interest to note that the maximum cylinder temperature is reached at an altitude slightly above that at which the carburetor pressure begins to drop or the altitude where the increase in engine power resulting from supercharging is a maximum.

There are a number of important specific problems entering into the question of supercharging that were left for further investigation. Among these, the influence of air-fuel ratio is one of the most important. Leron (Reference 4) has shown the relation between cylinder







FIG. 5.-Effect of supercharging on cylinder-head temperatures

· ------

temperature and air-fuel ratio. A portion of the data given in the reference is reproduced in Figure 6. As no reliable fuel flow meter was available at the time these tests were made, it was impossible to determine the air-fuel ratios. In order to obtain a rough estimate of the influence of mixture ratio in this work, a computation of the probable ratios based on carburetor characteristics was made. Similar computations made in connection with some later work with a supercharged water-cooled engine and after a suitable flow meter had been developed showed that the method probably would give a fair estimate of the order of the change in ratio. This computation indicated that the charge was enriched quite appreciably as the altitude was increased, and there is little doubt that the maximum temperatures shown are somewhat lower than they would be if the charge was not enriched. The cylinder temperatures were probably lower at high altitudes in the unsupercharged flights, due also to some enrichment of



the mixture, but in this case the enrichment serves no useful purpose, in that the temperatures are already well below the maximum which occurred at ground level.

CONCLUSIONS

The work reported herein has shown the possibility of obtaining a material improvement in airplane performance by supercharging a modern air-cooled engine. The absolute ceiling was raised from 19,400 to 32,600 feet, and the time of climb to 16,000 feet was reduced from 32 to 16 minutes. While no limit

of supercharging was established, it is evident that ground-level pressure may be maintained up to an altitude of 18,500 feet without imposing excessive stresses upon the engine.

LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS, LANGLEY FIELD, VA., July 30, 1927.

REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. JONES, E. T. The Development of the Wright Whirlwind Type J-5 Aircraft Engine. Journal of the Society of Automotive Engineers, 1926, XIX, 303-308.

2. WARE, MARSDEN. Description and Laboratory Tests of a Roots Type Aircraft Engine Supercharger. N. A. C. A. Technical Report No. 230, 1926.

3. GARDINER, ARTHUR W., and REID, E. G. Preliminary Flight Tests of the N. A. C. A. Roots Type Aircraft Engine Supercharger. N. A. C. A. Technical Report No. 263, 1927.

4. HERON, S. D. Air-cooled Cylinder Design and Development. Transactions of the Society of Automotive Engineers, 1922, XVII, Part 1, 347-430.

5. LANCHESTER, F. W. The Cylinder Cooling of Internal Combustion Engines. Proceedings of The Institution of Automobile Engineers, 1915-16, X, 59-158.

SUPERCHARGING AN AIR-COOLED ENGINE IN FLIGHT

titude (ieet) (minutes) (K. P. M.) (M. P. H.) (in. Hg.) (in. Hg.)	temperature (°F.)	temperature (°F.)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c} & 77 \\ 69 \\ 65 \\ 59 \\ 51 \\ 45 \\ 39 \\ 37 \\ 33 \\ 30 \\ 21 \\ 17 \\ 14 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ -5 \\ -8 \\ -11 \\ -15 \\ -16 \\ -19 \\ -20 \\ -20 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 97\\ 90\\ 86\\ 93\\ 95\\ 98\\ 102\\ 115\\ 126\\ 137\\ 148\\ 163\\ 165\\ 163\\ 165\\ 163\\ 157\\ 151\\ 145\\ 140\\ 134\\ 130\\ 126\\ 124\\ 122\\ 122\end{array}$

TABLE I.—Flight data for UO-1 airplane (supercharged)

TABLE II.—Flight data for UO-1 airplane (supercharged)

Standard al- titude (feet)	Time (minutes)	Engine speed (R. P. M.)	Air speed (M. P. H.)	Atmospheric pressure (in. Hg.)	Carburetor pressure (in. Hg.)	Atmospheric temperature (°F.)	Carburetor temperature (°F.)
$\begin{array}{c} 400\\ 550\\ 2, 150\\ 3, 900\\ 5, 650\\ 7, 650\\ 9, 200\\ 11, 100\\ 13, 000\\ 14, 600\\ 16, 550\\ 17, 750\\ 19, 300\\ 20, 650\\ 21, 600\\ 23, 800\\ 24, 550\\ 25, 400\\ 26, 950\\ 27, 650\\ 26, 950\\ 27, 650\\ 26, 950\\ 27, 650\\ 28, 000\\ 29, 300\\ 29, 700\\ 30, 000\\ 30, 750\\ 30, 800\\ 31, 200\\ 31, 350\\ 31, 450\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. & 53\\ .& .72\\ .& .2, 205\\ .& .95\\ .& .95\\ .& .13, 553\\ .& .15, 225\\ .& .253\\ .& .15, 225\\ .& .253\\ .& .15, 225\\ .& .253\\ .& .15, 225\\ .& .253\\ .& .15, 225\\ .& .253\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,480\\ 1,510\\ 1,570\\ 1,600\\ 1,600\\ 1,600\\ 1,680\\ 1,700\\ 1,720\\ 1,720\\ 1,740\\ 1,760\\ 1,760\\ 1,760\\ 1,760\\ 1,760\\ 1,760\\ 1,740\\ 1,740\\ 1,730\\ 1,740\\ 1,730\\ 1,740\\ 1,730\\ 1,740\\ 1,730\\ 1,730\\ 1,730\\ 1,730\\ 1,730\\ 1,730\\ 1,730\\ 1,725\\ 1,725\\ 1,725\\ 1,720\\ 1,715\\ 1,715\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 70\\ 73\\ 73\\ 74\\ 75\\ 77\\ 75\\ 77\\ 75\\ 77\\ 75\\ 77\\ 75\\ 77\\ 76\\ 74\\ 77\\ 76\\ 74\\ 77\\ 76\\ 74\\ 77\\ 76\\ 73\\ 74\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73\\ 73$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 65\\ 30.\ 35\\ 28.\ 38\\ 26.\ 70\\ 24.\ 95\\ 23.\ 80\\ 20.\ 25\\ 18.\ 90\\ 17.\ 80\\ 15.\ 75\\ 14.\ 90\\ 15.\ 75\\ 14.\ 90\\ 11.\ 35\\ 10.\ 70\\ 10.\ 20\\ 9.\ 9.\ 60\\ 9.\ 30\\ 9.\ 9.\ 8.\ 82\\ 8.\ 70\\ 8.\ 70\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 65\\ 28.\ 60\\ 28.\ 73\\ 28.\ 73\\ 28.\ 73\\ 28.\ 73\\ 28.\ 73\\ 28.\ 32\\ 28.\ 93\\ 28.\ 20\\ 27.\ 93\\ 28.\ 52\\ 28.\ 73\\ 28.\ 52\\ 28.\ 73\\ 27.\ 25\\ 25.\ 86\\ 24.\ 65\\ 23.\ 61\\ 22.\ 70\\ 22.\ 10\\ 22.\ 10\\ 20.\ 48\\ 21.\ 10\\ 20.\ 40\\ 20.\ 10\\ 19.\ 92\\ 19.\ 70\\ 19.\ 55\\ 19.\ 35\\ 19.\ 35\\ 19.\ 15\\ 18.\ 94\\ 18.\ 75\\ 18.\ 50\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 78\\ 74\\ 60\\ 53\\ 48\\ 40\\ 36\\ 33\\ 26\\ 22\\ 16\\ 11\\ -4\\ -8\\ -10\\ -14\\ -18\\ -23\\ -26\\ -29\\ -29\\ -29\\ -29\\ -29\\ -29\\ -31\\ -32\\ -35\\ -35\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 90\\ 90\\ 86\\ 86\\ 88\\ 90\\ 91\\ 99\\ 108\\ 115\\ 126\\ 138\\ 151\\ 164\\ 160\\ 151\\ 147\\ 142\\ 138\\ 138\\ 138\\ 138\\ 138\\ 138\\ 138\\ 135\\ 135\\ 133\\ 131\\ 131\\ 131\\ 127\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126\\ 126$

49296 - 29 - 7

- 10

REPORT NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Standard al- titude (feet)	Time (minutes)	Engine speed (R. P. M.)	Air speed (M. P. H.)	Atmospheric pressure (in. Hg.)	Carburetor pressure (in. Hg.)	Atmospheric temperature (°F.)	Carburetor temperature (°F.)	
$\begin{array}{c} 500\\ 700\\ 2, 450\\ 3, 800\\ 5, 400\\ 7, 200\\ 9, 600\\ 11, 900\\ 13, 900\\ 15, 300\\ 16, 900\\ 15, 300\\ 16, 900\\ 18, 650\\ 20, 050\\ 21, 400\\ 22, 700\\ 23, 550\\ 24, 350\\ 25, 950\\ 24, 350\\ 25, 950\\ 25, 950\\ 25, 950\\ 25, 950\\ 27, 150\\ 27, 700\\ 28, 200\\ 28, 700\\ 28, 850\\ 29, 300\\ 29, 500\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 60 \\ . \ 84 \\ 2. \ 61 \\ 4. \ 17 \\ 5. \ 75 \\ 7. \ 59 \\ 9. \ 62 \\ 11. \ 58 \\ 13. \ 56 \\ 15. \ 34 \\ 17. \ 31. \\ 18. \ 94 \\ 20. \ 87 \\ 22. \ 68 \\ 24. \ 50 \\ 26. \ 05 \\ 28. \ 08 \\ 29. \ 80 \\ 31. \ 71 \\ 33. \ 15 \\ 35. \ 35 \\ 36. \ 77 \\ 38. \ 80 \\ 40. \ 79 \\ 41. \ 97 \\ 43. \ 73 \\ 45. \ 28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ 1, 545 \\ 1, 530 \\ 1, 600 \\ 1, 630 \\ 1, 630 \\ 1, 680 \\ 1, 670 \\ 1, 730 \\ 1, 750 \\ 1, 750 \\ 1, 800 \\ 1, 800 \\ 1, 800 \\ 1, 800 \\ 1, 770 \\ 1, 770 \\ 1, 770 \\ 1, 770 \\ 1, 770 \\ 1, 770 \\ 1, 740 \\ 1, 740 \\ 1, 740 \\ 1, 740 \\ 1, 740 \\ 1, 720 \\ 1, 700 \\ 1, 700 \\ 1, 700 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & 71 \\ & 71 \\ & 69 \\ & 71 \\ & 73 \\ & 76 \\ & 77 \\ & 80 \\ & 81 \\ & 82 \\ & 88 \\ & 85 \\ & 85 \\ & 85 \\ & 85 \\ & 85 \\ & 85 \\ & 85 \\ & 81 \\ & 81 \\ & 80 \\ & 77 \\ & 78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30, \underline{36} \\ 30, \underline{20} \\ 28, 30 \\ 24, 93 \\ 23, 30 \\ 21, 45 \\ 19, 78 \\ 18, 41 \\ 17, 40 \\ 16, 30 \\ 15, 20 \\ 14, 40 \\ 13, 62 \\ 12, 90 \\ 14, 40 \\ 13, 62 \\ 12, 90 \\ 11, 50 \\ 10, 30 \\ 10, 10 \\ 9, 90 \\ 9, 80 \\ 9, 50 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.\ 00\\ 28.\ 95\\ 28.\ 80\\ 28.\ 95\\ 29.\ 20\\ 28.\ 10\\ 28.\ 10\\ 28.\ 30\\ 27.\ 95\\ 28.\ 70\\ 27.\ 15\\ 25.\ 75\\ 24.\ 40\\ 23.\ 60\\ 23.\ 20\\ 22.\ 40\\ 21.\ 80\\ 21.\ 20\\ 20.\ 80\\ 20.\ 40\\ 20.\ 10\\ 19.\ 80\\ 19.\ 50\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74\\ 74\\ 67\\ 58\\ 49\\ 42\\ 38\\ 34\\ 31\\ 24\\ 19\\ 14\\ 9\\ 5\\ 0\\ -4\\ -6\\ -9\\ -12\\ -16\\ -20\\ -21\\ -224\\ -26\\ -26\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88\\ 84\\ 84\\ 84\\ 88\\ 93\\ 102\\ 115\\ 124\\ 137\\ 158\\ 165\\ 162\\ 158\\ 157\\ 157\\ 157\\ 157\\ 149\\ 146\\ 145\\ 146\\ 145\\ 141\\ 138\\ 136\\ 134\\ 130\\ \end{array}$	

: #== <u>+1</u>:

TABLE III.—Flight data for UO-1 airplane (supercharged)

TABLE IV.—Flight data for UO-1 airplane (without supercharging)

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Standard al- titude (feet) (:	Time (minutes)	Engine speed (R. P. M.)	Air speed (M. P. H.) (¹)	Atmospheric pressure (in. Hg.)	Carburetor pressure (in. Hg.)	Atmospheric temperature (°F.)	Carburetor temperature (°F.)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c} 700\\ 1, 600\\ 3, 100\\ 4, 500\\ 5, 950\\ 7, 100\\ 8, 050\\ 9, 200\\ 10, 150\\ 10, 800\\ 11, 450\\ 12, 200\\ 12, 850\\ 13, 200\\ 13, 700\\ 14, 000\\ 14, 450\\ 14, 450\\ 14, 450\\ 15, 900\\ 15, 900\\ 15, 900\\ 16, 450\\ 16, 600\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 75\\ 1.\ 72\\ 3.\ 67\\ 5.\ 50\\ 7.\ 65\\ 9.\ 42\\ 11.\ 05\\ 15.\ 05\\ 16.\ 72\\ 18.\ 66\\ 20.\ 58\\ 23.\ 94\\ 25.\ 60\\ 26.\ 59\\ 23.\ 94\\ 25.\ 60\\ 26.\ 59\\ 23.\ 12\\ 34.\ 36\\ 137.\ 97\\ 41.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 42.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\\ 24.\ 52\\ 14.\ 52\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,520\\ 1,500\\ 1,500\\ 1,510\\ 1,510\\ 1,520\\ 1,500\\ 1,500\\ 1,500\\ 1,500\\ 1,500\\ 1,490\\ 1,490\\ 1,480\\ 1,490\\ 1,480\\ 1,470\\ 1,460\\ 1,460\\ 1,460\\ 1,450\\ 1,450\\ 1,450\\ 1,450\\ 1,450\\ 1,430\\ 1,$		$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 10\\ 28.\ 65\\ 27.\ 20\\ 25.\ 82\\ 24.\ 66\\ 23.\ 58\\ 22.\ 67\\ 21.\ 80\\ 21.\ 05\\ 20.\ 48\\ 20.\ 00\\ 19.\ 43\\ 19.\ 00\\ 18.\ 65\\ 18.\ 25\\ 17.\ 95\\ 17.\ 60\\ 16.\ 68\\ 16.\ 50\\ 16.\ 38\\ 16.\ 20\\ 16.\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 20\\ 28.\ 80\\ 27.\ 25\\ 26.\ 00\\ 24.\ 90\\ 23.\ 80\\ 22.\ 75\\ 21.\ 95\\ 21.\ 95\\ 21.\ 15\\ 20.\ 65\\ 20.\ 00\\ 19.\ 55\\ 18.\ 75\\ 18.\ 40\\ 18.\ 05\\ 17.\ 50\\ 17.\ 50\\ 17.\ 50\\ 17.\ 50\\ 17.\ 50\\ 16.\ 85\\ 16.\ 80\\ 16.\ 65\\ 16.\ 30\\ 16.\ 25\\ 20.\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 61\\ 56\\ 52\\ 51\\ 46\\ 41\\ 39\\ 37\\ 35\\ 33\\ 30\\ 28\\ 25\\ 23\\ 20\\ 17\\ 14\\ 14\\ 14\\ 14\\ 13\\ 13\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88\\ 84\\ 79\\ 72\\ 70\\ 68\\ 64\\ 62\\ 58\\ 55\\ 54\\ 52\\ 50\\ 48\\ 46\\ 45\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42\\ 42$	

¹ No air speed because of failure of recording instrument.

SUPERCHARGING AN AIR-COOLED ENGINE IN FLIGHT

Standard al- titude (feet)	Time (minutes)	Engine speed (R. P. M.)	Air speed (M. P. H.)	Atmospheric pressure (in. Hg.)	Carburetor pressure (in. Hg.)	Atmospheric temperature (°F.)	Carburetor temperature (°F.)
$\begin{array}{c} 500\\ 2, 100\\ 3, 750\\ 5, 200\\ 6, 500\\ 7, 750\\ 8, 800\\ 9, 950\\ 10, 800\\ 11, 700\\ 12, 400\\ 13, 200\\ 13, 750\\ 14, 350\\ 14, 950\\ 14, 950\\ 15, 300\\ 15, 650\\ 16, 000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 57\\ 2.\ 40\\ 4.\ 31\\ 6.\ 11\\ 7.\ 90\\ 9.\ 67\\ 11.\ 47\\ 13.\ 25\\ 14.\ 91\\ 16.\ 83\\ 18.\ 66\\ 20.\ 72\\ 22.\ 69\\ 24.\ 69\\ 26.\ 84\\ 28.\ 22\\ 30.\ 65\\ 32.\ 18\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 500\\ 1, 500\\ 1, 500\\ 1, 520\\ 1, 520\\ 1, 520\\ 1, 500\\ 1, 480\\ 1, 500\\ 1, 480\\ 1, 460\\ 1, 480\\ 1, 460\\ 1, 480\\ 1, 460\\ 1, 480\\ 1, 470\\ 1, 460\\ 1, 470\\ 1, 470\\ 1, 460\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 84\\ 83\\ 84\\ 83\\ 84\\ 85\\ 87\\ 87\\ 87\\ 87\\ 87\\ 87\\ 87\\ 87\\ 88\\ 90\\ 89\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88\\ 88$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.\ 20\\ 28.\ 50\\ 26.\ 90\\ 25.\ 48\\ 24.\ 25\\ 23.\ 10\\ 22.\ 18\\ 21.\ 20\\ 20.\ 45\\ 19.\ 78\\ 19.\ 25\\ 18.\ 72\\ 18.\ 35\\ 17.\ 95\\ 17.\ 60\\ 17.\ 28\\ 17.\ 10\\ 16.\ 82\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.\ 70\\ 27.\ 25\\ 25.\ 95\\ 24.\ 75\\ 23.\ 60\\ 22.\ 70\\ 21.\ 90\\ 21.\ 10\\ 20.\ 50\\ 19.\ 90\\ 19.\ 35\\ 18.\ 90\\ 18.\ 55\\ 18.\ 30\\ 18.\ 00\\ 17.\ 70\\ 17.\ 50\\ \end{array}$	$71\\65\\61\\56\\41\\38\\34\\32\\29\\28\\27\\25\\24\\22\\22\\22\\20$	$\begin{array}{c} 88\\ 84\\ 80\\ 73\\ 68\\ 62\\ 60\\ 55\\ 52\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 48\\ 46\\ 43\\ 42\\ \end{array}$

TABLE V.—Flight data for UO-1 airplane (without supercharging)

(in. Hg.) (in. Hg.) (**.)	(1.)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TABLE VI.—Flight data for UO-1 airplane (without supercharging)

- --